

```
1
               Meeting of the OCFEC Board of Directors,
 2
    reported by Janet M. Taylor, a Certified Shorthand
 3
    Reporter for the State of California, with principal
    office in the County of Orange, commencing at 9:03 a.m.
 4
 5
    and ending at 1:09 p.m., Thursday, May 26, 2016, in the
    Administration Building, Orange County Fairgrounds,
 6
 7
    Costa Mesa, California.
 8
9
    APPEARANCES OF BOARD MEMBERS:
10
      Gerardo Mouet, Board Chair
      Nick Berardino, Vice Chair
11
      Ashleigh Aitken, Member
      Barbara Bagneris, Member
      Sandra Cervantes, Member
12
      Douglas La Belle, Member
13
      Newton Pham, Member
      Stanley Tkaczyk, Member
14
    STAFF:
15
      Kathy Kramer, CEO
16
      Michele Richards, VP of Business Development
      Ken Karns, VP of Operations
      Ronald Wallace, VP of Finance & Administration
17
      Jerry Eldridge, Director of Facilities
18
      Jessica Zimmerman, Board Clerk
19
    APPEARANCE OF COUNSEL:
20
      OFFICE OF THE CALIFORNIA ATTORNEY GENERAL
           JOSHUA CAPLAN, ESQ.
2.1
      600 West Broadway
      Suite 1800
22
      San Diego, California 92186-5266
      (619) 645-2070
23
      (619) 645-2271 Fax
2.4
25
            (Public Speakers on the following page.)
```

1	PUBLIC SPEAKERS:
2	Jay Humphrey Beth Refakes
3	Resident Number One Flo Martin
4	Mrs. Drain
5	Reggie Mundekis Susan Meyer
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15 16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1 Thursday, May 26, 2016 Costa Mesa, California 2 9:03 a.m. - 1:09 p.m. 3 4 5 CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: I'm going to call this 6 7 meeting to order. I think we have a quorum, so let me 8 call this meeting to order. It's 9:03 right now. We 9 have a long agenda, and so we're going to try to be --10 I'm going to try to help and focus on the agenda items and stay on task. Thank you all for coming. 11 12 After starting the meeting, the next thing is 13 for all of us to focus on the mission of the 14 organization. And we have a great mission, and it's to 15 celebrate the county's communities. We're a very 16 diverse county, lots of communities. The communities' interests -- there's a lot of interests in the county. 17 18 Agriculture, very important focused area, agriculture. 19 And heritage. Lots of things to be proud of in our 20 history. 21 That's our mission. It's a very positive 22 mission. And I'm really proud to serve on this board 23 with my fellow Board Members. A fellow Board Member who 24 has served this country in a very courageous way is 25 going to lead us in our pledge.

1	Nick, please.
2	VICE CHAIR NICK BERARDINO: Well, in memory of
3	Memorial Day coming up, and it's a time when people go
4	to the beach and they do all kinds of things because
5	it's become more of a holiday than a day of remembrance.
6	So as we say this pledge, I would like all of
7	you to just keep in mind people, men and women, that
8	face death straight in the eye, and that's not
9	hyperbole. They face death in the eye. They face
10	losing their children, not being near their families,
11	their futures, and they didn't blink. And they made it
12	all possible for every one of us to be here.
13	So in their memory, if we all could begin.
14	Ready, begin.
15	(Pledge of Allegiance conducted.)
16	CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: Thank you, Nick. Thank you
17	for your service.
18	Jessica, could you please do roll call?
19	(Roll call conducted by Board Clerk Jessica
20	Zimmerman.)
21	CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: Staff has informed me that
22	Director Ruiz has asked for an excused absence. May I
23	hear a motion on that?
24	DIRECTOR DOUGLAS LA BELLE: So moved.
25	DIRECTOR SANDRA CERVANTES: Second.

1 CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: All in favor? BOARD MEMBERS: Aye. 2 3 CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: By acclamation, I guess. 4 Thank you. 5 At this time, we're going to listen to our CEO give her Operational Update and Announcements. 6 7 Kathy? 8 MS. KATHY KRAMER: Thank you, Gerardo. 9 Good morning. I'm going to -- it's great to 10 look across the table and see a full executive team. with that, I'd like to turn it over to Ron Wallace, our 11 VP of Finance and Administration, to represent the April 12 13 finances. 14 MS. REGGIE MUNDEKIS: The audio's not working. 15 Jason, the audio's not working. 16 CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: Here, let me put this 17 closer to you. Probably this -- this might help. 18 MS. KATHY KRAMER: All right. Can you hear me 19 now? 20 (Pause in meeting.) 21 MS. KATHY KRAMER: Okay. All right. Ron will 2.2 present our April financials. Thank you. 23 MR. RON WALLACE: The April financials are still 24 improving. As of -- for the four months ending 25 April 30th, the revenues were in excess of \$3 million.

1 And the expenses at this point are 6 million, but it's because we're not in the fair mode yet. It will turn 2 3 around after we get into the fair. There's a deficit of over \$3.2 million. 4 5 we're in a much better position because of the fact that the budget was 4.7, so we're one and a half million 6 7 dollars ahead of where we should be regarding the 8 deficit. 9 The next is the cash position. And our cash 10 position has improved over -- as a result of the improvement in our finances, has improved from 11 28 million to 33 million for the -- as of June -- or 12 13 April 30th, 2016. So --14 MS. KATHY KRAMER: Great news. Thank you, Ron. 15 Our legislative representative firm 16 Gonsalves & Son have advised us that AB-1907 is set for 17 hearing on Friday, May 27th, in the Assembly 18 Appropriations Committee. The chair of the committee 19 will present the bill to either pass or hold in 20 committee, and there will be no testimony around the bill at this time. 21 2.2 Gonsalves has indicated with the support of 23 all the bill's co-authors and both parties supporting 24 this bill, it should easily pass out of the Assembly 25 Appropriations Committee. Next step will be the Senate

floor where the bill's author, Assemblymember Daly, will take it for vote. It is expected to pass through the Senate in early June.

2.2

We hosted our first Red Barn farm to fork dinner at Centennial Farm on Saturday, May 14th, with 80 people participating. I want to thank Chair Mouet and Board Member Cervantes for participating and supporting this event. I also want to recognize and thank staff for graciously taking on creating a new OCFEC event between Imaginology and the OC Fair.

We received a lot of favorable media around the event from local bloggers and food writers. The dinner was a huge success. Many of the guests I talked to that evening were so thrilled with the experience that they plan on attending our next Red Barn dinner on October 1st.

Our guest executive chef, Chef Cathy Pavlos, was the perfect choice for our inaugural event. Not only does she practice sustainable restaurant operations, she exhibited as a 4-H member in the Junior Livestock Auction for over ten years. She humored our guests with some great stories around her experiences as a 4-H-er at the OC Fair.

At this time, I'd like to ask Michele Richards, VP of Business Development, to provide an update on events that will be coming in June.

2.

MS. MICHELE RICHARDS: All right. We have three more weekends of great events at the OC Fair & Event Center in June before we kind of pack things up for the fair.

Starting in the first weekend on June 4th and 5th, Crossroads of the West Gun Show is back. It's a two-day gun and western Americana show. Saturday, June 4th, from 9:00 to 5:00, and Sunday, June 5th, from 9:00 to 4:00. Admission is \$16.

Speedway is back again, as well, their 48th year here at the OC Fair & Event Center. Costa Mesa's Speedway has put fans right on top of all the action from the comfort of the Grandstand Arena, Action Sports Arena, since 1969. Saturday, June 4th, and again Saturday, June 11th, from 6:00 to 10:00. General admission is \$20; seniors, teens, and military with I.D. \$15; and kids, \$10.

And Fight Club OC is back again on Thursday,
June 9th, Orange County's only professional boxing and
Mixed Martial Arts show on one night in one venue.
June 9th, 7:00 to 10:00, in The Hangar. Admission is
\$60; kids 5 and younger are free.

And on June 12th -- Saturday, June 12th, from 25 | 10:00 to 4:00, we will host Bride World, where our

- guests will be able to shop and compare 135 bridal salons, florists, photo booths, photographers, disc jockies, you name it. Anything to plan the best wedding. Admission is \$12.
- And on that same weekend, Saturday,

 June 12th, is Bugorama. Sounds like it's an insect

 show, but it's actually a one-day car show all about VW

 cars with after-market parts, vendors, and swap meet,

 plus food vendors. General admission is \$15; kids 6 to

 10 12, \$5; and kids five and younger are free.

2.2

- And then wrapping up our June calendar is OC Night Market. It's a three-night event based on the famous night bazaars of Asia. Will feature hundreds of food, retail, art walk, and live musical performances. And that will go June 17th through the 19th, Friday and Saturday from 4:00 p.m. to midnight and Sunday from 4:00 to 10:00.
- The Night Market that was held in May attracted 45,000 people to the fairgrounds in that three-day period. So it's -- it's a really great event. Admission is \$5, and kids six and under are free.
- Our ongoing weekly events, of course, include visiting Centennial Farm. Admission is free. Monday through Friday, 1:00 to 4:00; Saturdays and Sundays from 9:00 to 4:00.

1 And, of course, the Orange County Swap Meet, Saturday and Sunday, 7:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m., with Cars & 2 3 Coffee every Saturday from 7:00 to 9:00. And don't forget about our double dose of 4 5 gourmet food trucks in front of the box office at Pacific Amphitheater Wednesday for dinner, 5:30 to 9:00, 6 7 and for lunch on Thursdays from 11:00 to 2:00 in 8 conjunction with our farmers market on Thursdays from 9 9:00 to 1:00. 10 And let's not forget about the granddaddy of them all, the fair. Fifty days from today we will open 11 the 2016 OC Fair on Friday, July 15th, running through 12 13 August 14th. And I will be back next month with the 14 rest of our team to give you a full preview of the 2016 1.5 fair. 16 MS. KATHY KRAMER: Thank you, Michele. 17 DIRECTOR ASHLEIGH AITKEN: May I ask a question? Did we ever on the fairgrounds have a camp -- I've been 18 19 inundated recently now that the kids are getting out of 20 school -- with different camp activities? And I know 21 F.E. (phonetic) runs, I think, with the Costa Mesa 2.2 School District the year-round --23 CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: After school. 24 DIRECTOR ASHLEIGH AITKEN: -- after-school 25 program. Have we ever maybe -- because I know you guys

```
1
    would love to have another activity right before fair or
    after fair. But have we ever thought of doing a --
 2
 3
    partnering as a way to fundraise or really --
           CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: Like an overnight camp?
 4
 5
           DIRECTOR ASHLEIGH AITKEN: Not overnight. But I
    mean even a 9:00 to 12:00 --
6
 7
           CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: Oh, I see. Like a day
 8
    camp.
9
           DIRECTOR ASHLEIGH AITKEN: -- day camp for
10
    parents that are looking -- or even 9:00 to 3:00 --
    bringing that after-school program into a one-week
11
12
    program and trying to bring Centennial Farm to the
13
    forefront with the community and either, obviously,
    before fair or after fair with the farm.
14
15
           CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: If I may, I think, as you
16
    know, we just established an agricultural committee, and
17
    our first meeting is going to be today at 1:00 o'clock.
18
    I think that's an excellent idea to -- to ask staff on
19
    the feasibility and how we would do it and report back
    to the Board.
2.0
21
               But that's, I think, part of the reason why
2.2
    it made sense to enact the agricultural committee.
23
    like the idea. I personally like the idea. I know that
24
    we have a camp -- similar camp at the Santa Ana Zoo, for
25
    example. So --
```

1 DIRECTOR ASHLEIGH AITKEN: And that's fantastic. 2 My kids go every year. It's fantastic. 3 CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: I have a little bit of 4 experience doing camps, so I kind of know what you're 5 talking about. DIRECTOR ASHLEIGH AITKEN: Overnight is --6 7 CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: I've done overnights. Ι'm 8 sending a group of kids, by the way, to Catalina. 9 DIRECTOR ASHLEIGH AITKEN: I'm a helicopter mom. 10 I don't do overnight. CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: I send kids to the 11 mountains of Catalina and have done all kinds of camps. 12 13 But I think with regards to Centennial Farm and your 14 concept, I think it's a really natural one for us to --15 DIRECTOR ASHLEIGH AITKEN: And we've never done it before now? 16 17 MS. MICHELE RICHARDS: No. We have had camping groups here in conjunction with Imaginology. For 18 19 example, Girl Scouts and Boy Scouts will camp over the 20 weekend. But something outside of a self-produced event 21 we haven't done yet. 2.2 MS. KATHY KRAMER: Good. Thank you, Michele. look forward to hearing about how we're going to get our 23 24 fair face on. 25 CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: Are there any other

1 questions or comments on -- you probably have more. MS. KATHY KRAMER: Yeah. Absolutely. 2 3 CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: Kathy's not done, but let's hear from her before we open it up for questions or 4 5 comments. MS. KATHY KRAMER: Absolutely. I'd like at this 6 7 time to ask Ken Karns, our VP of Operations, to provide 8 an operational update. 9 MR. KEN KARNS: Thank you, Kathy. 10 First I wanted to update everyone on the current status of our annual emergency preparedness 11 12 training, sessions completed thus far, and update with 13 regards to our new magnetometer program, which is also 14 coming together nicely. 15 So with regards to emergency training, 16 through the end of the day on Thursday, the 18th of May, 17 we had held ten different departmental work area-specific sessions. To date 95 staff members have 18 19 been given training. Both Civil Service and seasonal 20 have attended. Five sessions yet to take place. But by the end of that, we'll have had 15 sessions, and over 21 2.2 165 staff members will have received the training. 23 This year the training is focusing on four 24 major modules: Emergency preparedness with regards to 25 evacuation, fire procedures, AED -- and that's our

automated external defibrillators, their usage,
locations -- and our active shooter in the workplace
compliance procedures.

2.2

Magnetometers new for this year, we have taken receipt of 19 Garrett PD 65 magnetometer machines, eight of which are ADA compatible. Now, we've had a chance to assemble a few of them thus far. They're very easy to assemble, extremely easy to operate.

We've done some testing and some training, and they are absolutely effective. And as we expected, these machines will dramatically reduce human error. As well, our timing at our gates will improve exponentially on last year with regards to the wanding.

So we anticipate through the bag check and the magnetometer program, we'll now have a 20-second transition through the gate compared to last year, which took a full minute.

So this year all staff, contractors, and guests will be required to pass through a security screening upon entering OCFEC property, and that also includes the front of our Pac Amp theater gates.

Any questions on that before I move on?

DIRECTOR STAN TKACZYK: Do we have any actual police officers that are at the gate entrances stationed at all times while people are coming through?

1 MR. KEN KARNS: We do not have static positions, and I'll ask Nick to jump in in case I speak 2 3 incorrectly. Our sheriffs are always actively roaming. 4 5 They are not assigned static positions. DIRECTOR STAN TKACZYK: My concern is because if 6 7 you do find something and if there is an issue, then I 8 would hope that we'd have someone there with authority 9 that would be able to address it immediately. 10 MR. KEN KARNS: So it's being carried out through our trained security staff currently, and then we have a 11 12 communication procedure. So we -- absolutely we will 13 review that and take it under advisement and see what 14 that process is and how robust it is since we have -- it 15 is, obviously, something new. DIRECTOR STAN TKACZYK: Yeah. Because we've seen 16 17 a lot of things take place before you got in, and that's 18 why I'm bringing this up. 19 MR. KEN KARNS: Excellent point. Thank you. 20 DIRECTOR STAN TKACZYK: Okay. You're welcome. 21 MR. KEN KARNS: A little update on our West Coast 2.2 EMS performance review. So in the first three months, 23 they have provided coverage on 13 events. West Coast 24 EMS to date has met all requirements and has provided 25 the type of service that was initially agreed upon

1 | through the RFP and contracting process.

2.2

Event staffing levels and arrival times have

been met according to the requests for service. All

required equipment, including vehicles and staff

uniforms, have been present when West Coast EMS is

operating on our site.

The staff members from West Coast EMS have been accommodating, easy to work with thus far.

Services rendered thus far have been minor in nature,

Band-Aid, cuts, scrapes, twisted ankles, things of that nature. We have had no emergency medical transports or medical services to date. So from the inception of the contract and the discussions we've had, it's been working very well.

A quick update on Verizon. On May 3rd, a team from Verizon and OCFEC met and did a tour of the grounds to continue the process of reviewing opportunities and options. We will also see how this creates additional opportunities for other cellular providers on our site. So those meetings and discussions continue.

We have a Heroes Hall update for you that Jerry's going to give, and we also have a bit of an update on the Pac Amp.

Do you want to do that before Heroes Hall or

1 after? Sorry. MS. KATHY KRAMER: 2 It's up to you. 3 MR. KEN KARNS: Okay. We'll let Jerry give you the Heroes Hall update, and then we'll give you the 4 5 Pac Amp update. MR. JERRY ELDRIDGE: Good morning, all. Welcome 6 7 back for this month. I just want to give you a quick 8 update on where we're at. 9 The building itself is secured to its 10 foundation, so the bird's landed. The hole that you see there in the middle -- I'll use the pointer. I don't 11 know which one it is. 12 13 BOARD CLERK JESSICA ZIMMERMAN: There you go. 14 MR. JERRY ELDRIDGE: So this -- this right here 15 is where -- these holes are where the monument signs 16 will be going, the pillars with the Walk of Honor signs. 17 We -- so they're doing a lot of grading, a lot of 18 flatwork. They poured yesterday, and the day before 19 they poured all the footings for those monument signs. 20 This right here is one of the monument signs 21 that they brought out that will have -- in the center 2.2 right here will have the brass letters that says "Walk of Honor" -- "Orange County Walk of Honor." 23 24 And this is just another picture of how much 25 progress they've done on the grading out there and

1 setting it up. So we are currently still on budget. 2 3 currently still on schedule. And it's actually looking really good. Things are coming together real well. 4 5 Any questions? CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: Nick? 6 7 VICE CHAIR NICK BERARDINO: Thank you. 8 First, you know, a real big thank-you to you, 9 Ken, to Jerry, and the whole team, Michele, the whole --10 Kathy -- I'll miss somebody, so I'll stop there, but the whole team of people that are working on this. You guys 11 are doing an incredible job moving this along, and it 12 13 just looks beautiful. 14 I go out there, kind of talk to people. 15 I want to say that I was talking to one of the 16 carpenters who had -- who came out, a young man, and he 17 was saying that he had some issue with his nephew in 18 Afghanistan. And he said, literally, "When I pound 19 these nails," he said, "I get chills." And I just think 20 it's, you know, just marvelous. But you guys are 21 incredible in doing this. 2.2 And the second thing I just want to bring up, I asked Bolton to check the -- when we do the 23 24 Congressional Medal of Honor inlay, to check those 25 colors because the -- I mean I don't want us to pour

- 1 it -- the color in the drawing looked a little green,
- 2 | but maybe it was supposed to be green. I don't know.
- 3 | But I asked Bolton to check it because I'd hate to pour
- 4 | it and then, you know, "Oh, we missed that." So I
- 5 | think --
- 6 CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: Nick, could you explain to
- 7 us who Bolton is?
- 8 VICE CHAIR NICK BERARDINO: Oh. Bolton is the
- 9 newly hired supervisor and curator and guru and head man
- 10 in charge of Heroes Hall. So he's --
- 11 CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: OC Fair & Event Center
- 12 staff or --
- 13 VICE CHAIR NICK BERARDINO: Oh, yeah. Oh, yeah.
- 14 This guy knows his stuff too.
- MS. KATHY KRAMER: Is Bolton here?
- 16 MS. MICHELE RICHARDS: He's at an American Museum
- 17 | Association conference right now.
- 18 CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: Bolton, the curator.
- 19 MS. MICHELE RICHARDS: Yes. Curator.
- 20 CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: Okay. Oh, I know who he
- 21 is.
- 22 VICE CHAIR NICK BERARDINO: I think we call him
- 23 | supervisor. I forget what his title is.
- MS. MICHELE RICHARDS: He is the supervisor. We
- 25 | call him supervisor.

1 DIRECTOR ASHLEIGH AITKEN: Bolton. Bolton. Like 2. Cher. 3 MR. JERRY ELDRIDGE: But, so if I could address 4 that, Nick, we did -- we spent quite a bit of time and, 5 you know, it didn't take a lot of time. But in one afternoon at a construction meeting we pulled up over 20 6 7 different versions of the Medal of Honor, depending on 8 the era, the time. And the -- your concern was that it 9 should maybe be blue. It's actually a turquoise green 10 color inlay. The blue is the ribbon on it. 11 VICE CHAIR NICK BERARDINO: Right. Right. MR. JERRY ELDRIDGE: So the medal itself is 12 13 inlaid with green. 14 VICE CHAIR NICK BERARDINO: Gotcha. 15 MR. JERRY ELDRIDGE: And then we've got a -- kind 16 of a -- close to a yellow color to resemble the gold 17 that is the medal part. We looked into that. Thank 18 you. 19 VICE CHAIR NICK BERARDINO: So to everybody, I 20 mean on this Memorial Day -- we'll talk more about it. 21 But when I watch the staff and watch them with this 2.2 project, man, it is -- it's heart-warming. So much 23 appreciated. 24 CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: Cool. 25 MR. JERRY ELDRIDGE: Any more questions?

1 CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: Thank you. 2 MS. KATHY KRAMER: Thank you, Jerry. 3 And thank you, Ken. I'll continue. As Michele said, we only have 4 5 50 days and counting -- no -- no pressure -- away before we open the doors to fair. I want to share we're seeing 6 7 some significant increases in our year-to-date 8 entertainment ticket sales and revenue over 2015. 9 The Action Sports Arena has sold 12 percent 10 more tickets than last year with a 5 percent increase in gross revenue. The Hangar has sold 28 percent more 11 12 tickets with a 57 percent increase in gross revenue. 13 We've sold 15 percent more tickets for the 14 Pacific Amphitheater, resulting in a 23 percent increase 15 in revenue for the 2016 Summer Concert Series. 16 round of applause to the team. 17 (Applause.) MS. KATHY KRAMER: Our guests are, as I like to 18 say, voting with their feet and their wallets, and 19 20 they're voting early, which we like. And we look 21 forward to a great fair lineup. So we also have two 2.2 shows that are sold out, Styx and Boston. 23 Additionally, the Pacific Amphitheater VIP 24 parking, great program for those folks. We're seeing 25 exponential growth in that year over year.

14 percent with an 18 percent increase in gross revenue. 1 So it is true. People want to tie their horses up close 2 3 to the front of the building. Additionally, we sold seven more -- 7 percent 4 5 more Super Passes this year over last year. Our annual craft beer festival Brew Hee Haw has sold 69 percent 6 7 more tickets with a 77 percent increase in gross 8 revenue. 9 Michele, is that in its third year this year? 10 MS. MICHELE RICHARDS: Yes. MS. KATHY KRAMER: Third year. So really getting 11 established with that event. 12 13 I'm pleased to announce that we've released 14 the RFP for our new website redevelopment. Certainly as 15 I came on board 15, 16 months ago, it was a project that 16 we knew -- Barbara's shaking her head because we had 17 conversations when we met that it was an important but very large undertaking for that. 18 19 So the team will work closely with the entire 20 organization, as well as stakeholders, to seek feedback to ensure we build a robust website that promotes all 21 2.2 areas of the operation. Speaking of marketing, we are partnering with 23 24 the San Diego County and Ventura County Fair for a

bounce-back ticket promotion this year. Guests from the

25

San Diego County Fair can bring their paid admission ticket for one free admission to the OC Fair.

So, Josh, you got to help us because don't you live down there?

Guests from the OC Fair can bring their paid admission tickets for one free admission to the Ventura County Fair. All three fairs will be cross-promoting this opportunity to fair guests.

This is a great way to market to an already known fair fan that may not otherwise come to one of the participating fairs. It's these types of partnerships that will help us continue to not only maintain attendance numbers but increase them.

Last month I shared with you that I toured the Valley High School campus where they have a program called High School Inc. One of their academies that we agreed had some natural connection was their culinary arts program. I see Juan from Spectra back there smiling. He was part of that tour.

We hosted the president and program coordinator, toured the fairgrounds, and coordinated a meeting with the Spectra team. We're working to hopefully get some of their interns where about 20 of them will potentially be joining the Spectra rank.

These students had two components. They have

- 1 | an internship that they have to do -- was it 400 hours,
- 2 | I believe, Juan, I think they told us? -- 400 hours of
- 3 | voluntary internship to get a graduate out of the
- 4 academy, plus they also are looking for paid interns.
- 5 | So we'll keep you updated on that, but it looks like
- 6 there's about 20 students that we'll be able to
- 7 | integrate into our culinary operations this week. So
- 8 thank you.
- 9 And last, but not least, at the Board's
- 10 request, I'm providing the number of hours staff has
- 11 spent performing public records requests. This past
- 12 month a total of 59 hours at a cost of \$2,773.
- That concludes my report. I'd be happy to
- 14 answer any questions.
- 15 CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: Let me open it up to the
- 16 | Board if there's any questions or comments. I have one,
- 17 | but I'll wait until others are done. Any questions or
- 18 comments? Yes.
- 19 VICE CHAIR NICK BERARDINO: On the public records
- 20 requests, we chatted about the idea of finding somebody
- 21 to help because we're right in the middle of the -- you
- 22 know, right in the middle of the fair season. So the
- 23 amount of time that is taken to do that -- you know,
- 24 how's the progress on that?
- MS. KATHY KRAMER: You know, it's such a unique

1 set of skill sets. We do have that position open, and we're recruiting. So -- yeah. As of today, we haven't 2 3 found anyone. You know, we need -- it's got to have a high skill level for detail, confidentiality, and that. 5 So if you know of anyone, please send them our way. VICE CHAIR NICK BERARDINO: Second follow-up 6 7 question is that in an effort to -- 59 hours. We talked 8 last meeting in order to reduce that -- try to reduce 9 the 59 hours was to just have a meeting where the people 10 that have these questions can just simply come and get the answer, you know, which is -- you know, I think the 11 12 quickest and best way to try to, you know, resolve that 13 issue. And that was the idea to try to reduce these 14 hours. 15 So jump in whenever I go off. You know, I 16 won't throw anything at you. 17 MR. JOSHUA CAPLAN: I will. VICE CHAIR NICK BERARDINO: I know you will. 18 You 19 son of a gun. He's good at it. He's good at it, which 20 is good, by the way. I don't like it, but I know it's 21 good. 2.2 The -- the -- so to move in that direction, 23 one of the things we talked about --24 CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: Okay. I can share with you 25 what's happened.

1 VICE CHAIR NICK BERARDINO: Okay.

CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: So this was brought up last time, last month, when we were discussing -- and, in fact, a meeting did take place. I met with an individual who has submitted a public records request.

And, by the way, I'm not -- I'm familiar with public records requests in my day job. I'm very familiar.

And so I like the suggestion that Nick did last month because it's actually something I do in my day job. When people have a reason to want to understand what's going on, sometimes I go ahead and I meet with whomever it is. I always check with the city attorney, making sure that I'm doing the right thing.

I had a good meeting. I think it was a good meeting that I had with this individual. It was -- I don't know -- a couple weeks ago I think it was. And so all I can say is that I followed up on the suggestion, and the meeting was very positive. I believe it was very positive.

VICE CHAIR NICK BERARDINO: Wonderful.

CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: And I appreciate them willing to get closer to where I work. So we met in Santa Ana, the best city, and -- I have to say that because I take a vacation day off when I -- when I come over here so that I'm not accused of, like, I'm working.

1 I have to say that so that later on people talk to the city manager, my boss, and say I'm always promoting 2 3 Santa Ana. VICE CHAIR NICK BERARDINO: We'll send him a 4 5 letter. CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: But, anyway, all I can tell 6 7 you -- the former city manager knows the importance of 8 that. 9 But it was a very good meeting, and I can say 10 I think it was a good suggestion, Nick. And -- and I'm willing to continue doing that and getting to areas that 11 12 need shedding light appropriately. 13 VICE CHAIR NICK BERARDINO: Well, good. I'm glad 14 to hear that because I think these meetings will work --15 I think some of this is left over from maybe some -- for 16 understandable reasons some years ago some suspicions 17 that exist. And that's understandable, and it's helpful too. I mean I'm not saying it's unhealthy. 18 19 I can just say that -- the staff that we 20 have, our counsel, everybody, I can just say they're --21 because I'm the biggest critic of critics of critics. I 22 mean I, you know, look at this stuff. I'm very 23 suspicious of this whole industry. It's not a secret. 24 I'm suspicious of the people that are in it. I'm

suspicious of how people make money here and how much

25

1 they make. I'm very suspicious of that and continue to be and continue to talk about it at the legislature and 2. 3 everywhere I can. It needs great reform. But the staff here are fantastic. 5 (Applause.) VICE CHAIR NICK BERARDINO: And I don't think 6 7 that there should be any concern -- I mean, really, can 8 I do this? They are fantastic. And there isn't --9 because I'm always asking questions. And I can tell you 10 that I think they just do an incredible job. And that goes for everybody here. And the 11 one thing that I know -- if you say, well, let's not 12 13 just take it on the Board saying -- because the Board, 14 you know, they get close to the staff, and, you know, 15 they build relationships. 16 Honest to God, I don't see -- because I just 17 think that the people we've got in place now are doing a 18 great job. And then I can assure you that there's 19 another layer, and that's the lawyer. 20 I mean having the AG back -- and Roger, I 21 thought, did a wonderful job too, by the way. But we've 2.2 got the AG. I mean this guy's up our nose on everything. I mean he is, like, watch -- I mean he is. 23 24 He gets in your hair like --25 CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: Those are compliments, by

1 the way. 2 VICE CHAIR NICK BERARDINO: But I'm saying for 3 the public, I mean there are -- no. I mean that is a compliment. So -- that's what you're supposed to do. 4 5 You'll know when I'm not complimenting you. But the -but the fact is that's that other layer that's here 6 7 that's doing an incredible job. 8 So I'm glad people are communicating because 9 I think that will resolve a lot of the issues as they 10 get to know more about what's happening and reduce -getting back to -- and will reduce that 50 hours. 11 12 CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: Nick, any other questions 13 or comments, or the Board, before I make some thoughts 14 on the CEO report? 15 Yes. DIRECTOR NEWTON PHAM: I'm not sure if I'm 16 17 supposed to say it out loud, but, you know, you spoke 18 about discussing reception and negotiating with wireless 19 carriers a little bit earlier. 20 One of my clients is Mobilitie. 21 specialize in wireless infrastructure, basically. 22 what they do is they sign a lease with a venue, and then 23 they pay the venue, like, \$10,000 a month.

they sublet that and farm it out to the wireless

24

25

carriers.

1	I don't know if that's something worth
2	interest to this particular venue, but they do the Honda
3	Center, Churchill Downs, the Kentucky Derby, set the
4	record last year. In a one-hour period, they did
5	15.4 million social media posts within one hour. So
6	CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: That's good.
7	DIRECTOR NEWTON PHAM: I'm not sure if that's
8	something that would interest
9	CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: I think what I heard Ken
10	mention is that they recently had a meeting with Verizon
11	and that they're going to be meeting with other cellular
12	providers. I think that's the process.
13	MR. KEN KARNS: That's correct.
14	CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: I think you just heard
15	about another one that might be good to consider.
16	MR. KEN KARNS: And most of them do work through
17	that third-party provider.
18	DIRECTOR NEWTON PHAM: Okay. Okay.
19	CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: So I appreciate that. And
20	it's always important for Board Members to share any
21	kind of information they have that might help staff with
22	the day to day.
23	MS. KATHY KRAMER: If I may add to that, it's
24	become apparent with the amount of vendors out there
25	that to keep the equitability and fairness aspect, that

1 we'll be entering into an RFP process once we understand footprints, demand, and some of those things. 2 3 So we're not racing to any finish line, albeit Verizon, you know, was vocal in a past Board 4 5 Meeting. That's -- that's the fairest way to do it so 6 we can quarantee the service and all the elements are 7 there. So --8 CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: Sounds good. 9 MS. KATHY KRAMER: Thank you. 10 CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: Any other Board Member 11 comments or questions? 12 I wanted to share early on in Kathy's report 13 on -- on great things that have been happening this last 14 month, as she was talking about the Red Barn dinner, I 15 had a wonderful evening with Sandra chatting and 16 enjoying amazing food. 17 And I got there a little early, and I was able to chat with people. I was interested in why --18 19 how did they find out about the event. There were two 20 sisters, and they were saying "We just -- we like doing 21 sister things and we like food and wine and we" --2.2 VICE CHAIR NICK BERARDINO: Were they nuns? 23 CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: No. No. I -- I had a kind 24 of bad experience in Catholic elementary school. 25 don't know if I would have approached nuns. But --

1 (Laughter.) CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: No. They were sisters, 2 3 like from a family. And they do things together. And they're retired, and they go and they do -- they love 4 5 this concept. And then two different groups. Parents were 6 7 taking two daughters because they had early May 8 birthday, late May birthday, and they were bringing them 9 to this dinner for their birthday. 10 Similarly, another family was a birthday thing. So birthday's a marketing thing. Birthday's a 11 12 good thing. 13 MS. KATHY KRAMER: We got that. 14 CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: The Marriott from Irvine, 15 there was a couple there, a gentleman. I said, "Why are 16 you here?" 17 He says, "My boss from the Marriott told me to come and check it out." That's good. That's not a 18 19 bad thing. 20 Anyway, I have to tell you so the -- the 21 Red Barn and everything was really exquisite. You know, 2.2 Sandra and I are in the agricultural committee. going to be talking about how that is an important 23 24 component, among other components, in efforts to focus 25 on agriculture.

1 And let me tell you that as we were walking in the -- the Centennial Farm, what a beautiful, amazing 2 3 resource we have. That day earlier, these lambs were born. Their legs were wobbly. And people were around, 4 5 surrounding before dinner and started taking photos. The -- my favorite of all are the baby goats. 6 7 The highest energy and playfulness that you can imagine. 8 Jumping on top of each other and on top of mom. 9 such a great ambience and such a great venue, and it's 10 helping advance agriculture. I -- you know, there's always a business 11 12 aspect to it, and I think that's also what we're going 13 to understand. And -- because, you know, we have a 14 variety of different segments in the community. 15 helping increase their appreciation of agriculture in so 16 many different ways is what we're all about, I think. 17 So I wanted to say that. And then as I was coming in and parking, 18 there were a lot of people coming in. And I'm thinking, 19 20 wow, first dinner. They were going to this night 21 market. And I was asking them. And I want to go next 22 time. I want to buy my ticket and go because it sounds like it's a fabulous eating experience. 23 24 I understand it's just a variety of cuisines

from -- from all of Asia; right? So I'm interested in

25

1 that. I'm a fan of food. So I think that's really good. I wanted to 2 3 give that feedback because I personally was there and I witnessed it and I thought it was really, really 4 5 positive. So very exciting, and I appreciate -- unless there's anything else, I think we're going to go to the 6 7 next agenda item, which is Public Comments. 8 There are -- Jessica, you don't have any more 9 I have them all? cards? 10 Okay. So I have two specific cards that are specific to agenda items. One is 9B and one is 9E. 11 12 I'll call on those when we get to those agenda items. I 13 have one, two, three, four, five, six speaker cards on 14 general comments. So I'm going to do that right now. 15 And I'm doing them in the order that I received them. 16 Okay? 17 So the first one is Jay Humphrey. You have 18 three minutes. 19 MR. JAY HUMPHREY: Good morning, Board. 20 for the moment, the opportunity to talk to you. I would like to thank this Board for bringing Costa Mesa and 21 2.2 this event center into -- back into the national news. 23 Unfortunately, it wasn't very positive national news 24 that we got on that event. And with any small thought, 25 it was completely predictable that something like this

could and, in fact, would happen.

2.2

It did happen. And because of that, I believe that this Board should take a close look at why it happened. And it's very important that we keep in mind clearly that we're -- I'm a firm believer of good neighborliness. I'm a firm believer of making choices that help our neighbors.

And since Costa Mesa completely surrounded -completely surrounds -- pardon me -- the fair, it would
make sense that we would work hand in hand to make sure
these kind of things do not happen again.

This could have been avoided simply by not allowing it to happen, just simply not renting to the association. And before there's any kind of comment that has to be about we had to do it, it's part of our mantra and what have you, the truth is is it protecting the health and safety of the community and property of the community? And that's community as a whole. I'm not talking about just the fair, but the community as a whole. And I would ask that the Board would think about that.

I have two concerns that -- that stand out in my mind. One of them is that I've been informed that the Costa Mesa P.D., who would have the ultimate control over the streets right outside your borders, were given

fairly short notice, like a day and a half. That's almost impossible to prepare adequately for the kind of event that was clearly predictable.

There's no doubt in my mind, having just watched the news, that this was a possibility. And I chose not to be here for that exact reason. Because I anticipated that it would turn nasty.

Secondly, there's been a substantial amount of community costs that has come from this event.

Police vehicles damaged, property damage of other types, and I would ask this Fair Board to step up to the plate and communicate with City of Costa Mesa and find out what it can do to help assuage those costs. Because if this event had not happened, those costs would not have happened.

So I look forward to this Board to step up and do what they think is appropriate. I would also ask this Board to actually bill Mr. Trump for the event since he — bill for the damage to the event since he was, in fact, part and parcel of why it did happen. And I would ask that the Board, they would follow that out. Thank you very much.

CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: Thank you, Mr. Humphrey. So there will -- it is on the agenda, 9E, and there's going to be more information when we get to 9E with

1 regards to doing or giving permits to these type of Again, that's 9E, which I think is titled 2 3 Discussion on Board Policy on Third-Party Events. So next up is Beth Refakes. 4 5 MS. BETH REFAKES: Good morning. Thank you for letting me speak. 6 7 I agree with a lot of what Mr. Humphrey said. 8 There was short notice given to the surrounding 9 organizations. I know I attended the Coin Challenge 10 Ceremony or Challenge Coin Ceremony at Vanguard, which was scheduled from 3:00 to 5:00 on the day of the Trump 11 12 rally. 13 And a lot of people, I think, had trouble 14 getting to the event, first of all. And then we had to 15 exit a different route so we weren't going out onto Fair 16 Drive because of all the congestion and everything for 17 those that were arriving. 18 I -- one of my neighbors attended the event, and apparently inside the amphitheater there weren't any 19 20 It was when everybody was pushed out into the problems. 21 street, and then it became a serious problem. 2.2 I have a lot of concerns. I think this 23 event -- I know it was all over the news for, like, a 24 week. And I don't like to see Costa Mesa be in the news 25 like that. In fact, they were even talking about it the

1 other day when they had the event at Anaheim Center.

2 | They were comparing it and saying, "Oh, we're not going

3 to let the same thing happen here that happened in

4 | Costa Mesa."

So I have some serious concerns. And I think a lot of the good that you've done in mending the relationship with the neighborhood has been undone by this event and the violence and everything that

9 occurred.

And the other problem I have is that because all of the Costa Mesa Police were brought in to try and quell the unrest, that left everybody else in the city unprotected from police. And that is a big concern for me as a resident.

I also have a lot of problems that the taxpayers in Costa Mesa are going to wind up footing the bill for the damage to the police car, one of which was totaled, and the other thing, for all the overtime that was incurred, as well.

So I think you really need to look at policies having to do with this type of event. And I know at the previous Fair Board meeting I raised concern about this, as well, that there — based on some of the other locations, that there was a potential for the surrounding residents to be affected by this, and they

certainly will. So I hope you will take some kind of action.

I'm very happy to see that the Heroes Hall project is going along. It's really great, and I can't wait for it to be completed and to have it opened and -- later this year. Thank you very much.

CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: Okay. Next person -- thank you, Beth -- is Resident Number One. No name. Just identified as Resident Number One.

RESIDENT NUMBER ONE: I'm a 44-year -- is this
on?

12 CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: Yes.

2.

RESIDENT NUMBER ONE: Okay. I can barely hear you back there. So I don't know if your mics aren't working or what's happening.

I'm a 44-year resident, and this is the first time I've actually been to a Fair Board meeting. And it was driven by the events of last week. And I don't know how many here -- I was at that affair on the protester side, and I had my Bernie sign.

And I want to tell you that not only was I concerned about the overall safety of the event, but the distance between the -- where the Trump people lined up and where there was a thin yellow piece of plastic tape and then a thing of horses right at the tape.

1 The Trump people were allowed to come in and infiltrate within the protesters crowd, which made --2 Several times. And there were incidents that 3 yes. happened. And luckily, it was just a matter of throwing 5 a hat and -- and moving them out. But the safety seemed to be on the side of 6 7 the Trump, yet the safety was of no concern for the 8 protesters. You know, if it goes one way, it should go 9 the other way. 10 And also, this situation where the fair is located, it's surrounded by residential. I live on the 11 east side. I've lived on the east side for 40 years. 12 13 The fair affects the residents. It even affects me. 14 You had a program a couple months ago that 15 the neighbors even -- on the 2400 block of Orange were 16 calling each other asking who has the party because it 17 sounded like next door. But overall is the concern for 18 the residents.

And what I'm wondering is if you're saying you can't turn any group away, what happens if the KKK wants to hold their convention here? Do you have no right to deny them? And Anaheim, if you watched it, there was live streaming on — live streaming of it, Behind the Badge. They did an excellent job.

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

But how they handled that is, number one,

1 they were in no residential area; number two, they had a great area of separation between Trump supporters and 2. the protesters. And they were able to move it in a much more orderly way so they didn't get out of -- out of 5 order. Costa Mesa P.D., we are woefully 6 7 understaffed, number one. They had short notice. 8 They're understaffed. It did put the entire city at 9 risk. They had reciprocal calling all units in from 10 other cities. Had there been a major problem, I don't know where the law enforcement would have been called. 11 The inside security was good. I -- I have to 12 13 say that the sheriffs did a great job, although there 14 was that distance between the protesters and the Trump 15 people. 16 The number one thing that caused most of the 17 problem was the overissuing of these tickets. So the residents that lined up to get in, however many, 25 --18 19 25 to 3,000, they didn't disburse once they couldn't get 20 in. They stayed on that sidewalk area. 21

So then once the Trump people were released from the rally, you still had that whole sidewalk area full of all the people that — that were there. So when you're getting 8,000-plus out of that area, another maybe 2500 leftovers on the sidewalk, and another 3500

22

23

24

25

1 protesters, I mean that's a perfect storm, and that's 2 exactly what we got. 3 CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: Thank you. RESIDENT NUMBER ONE: Thank you. 4 5 CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: Okay. Thank you, Resident One. 6 7 Next person is Flo Martin. 8 MS. FLO MARTIN: I have lived three blocks from 9 here for the last 46 years. Over these almost five 10 decades, my family and I have gotten used to concert music streaming into our bedrooms and our bathrooms at 11 12 night. We have accepted that. 13 We have also gotten used to impacted traffic 14 just one block away from our house. We've gotten used 15 to that. We were faced with neighborhood parking for 16 many decades until the Costa Mesa P.D. started 17 monitoring the entrances into our tract. We got used to 18 that. 19 Four weeks ago, helicopters were directly 20 over my house for up to four hours until 1:00 o'clock in I humbly 21 the morning. I can't get used to that. 22 request that you Board Members take charge of what goes on on this facility in regards to illegal activity, 23 24 dangerous activity. 25 The night run raves, dangerous illegal

1 activity. The Trump rally, known for dangerous violence. I humbly request that you take charge of what 2 3 goes on at the fairgrounds. Thank you. CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: Thank you, Flo. 4 5 I think it's Mrs. Drain. MRS. DRAIN: Thank you. Thank you to my 6 7 neighbors. I'm not as eloquent. I had to write notes. 8 I'd like to first address the right to the 9 citizens to access public records when they are 10 requested. On a side note, if it had not been for a 11 12 public records request, the demolition of the Memorial 13 Building would have not have been brought to our 14 attention, would not have been brought to the attention 1.5 of the Board. Staff had this demolition slated in a 16 17 construction contract for the amphitheater as a condition, just buried in those details. The network of 18 19 historians, veterans, and volunteers were alerted. We 20 rallied. And we now have that pride in heritage that 21 you now call Heroes Hall. So there are unsung heroes 2.2 that are not just sitting at that table. 23 I don't want to hear about any more denials 24 or any resistance in providing the public with the 25 information due to us. It's better that you have one

person that we go to to get a public records request than to have 20 people asking for the same thing.

2.2

When you refuse to provide information, you choose not to inform the neighbors. Not only is this against the law, it is not in the spirit of providing open communication that you had promised previously was your goal.

Now, back to the Trump rally. By not agendizing the Trump rally, there was no notification. The notification given was a few hours before the event, and it was too little and too late. By not letting the neighbors know, this prevented open communication.

Instead of being able to prepare or make plans, we had neighbors that were not able to come home for hours. They were in their house afraid, not knowing what was going on, not being notified.

We had neighbors that had parking and trash problems, a concern that you previously promised was of utmost concern to you. Do you remember why the Ambassador Program was established?

By not letting our CMPD know ahead of time, it caused a scramble for coverage and costs of overtime. Every single available officer was sent to cover the areas around the rally. What happens to the other neighborhoods? No coverage. It is not in the spirit of

being a good neighbor as you promised was your goal.

2.2

The last Board Meeting was held on the
morning of the rally, and the crowds had already started
to arrive before the meeting was adjourned. It was
already known that the number of tickets issued exceeded
the number of seating. Was any effort made to mitigate
for the excess in attendance? Was there any backup plan
in place?

Your choice to hold this rally without adequate notification and notice, we as the neighbors of the fair are now saddled with cost of police overtime, property damages, five police vehicles, including one completely totaled, trash removal, et cetera.

While the fair's contractor made money from booking the event and the parking, the neighbors are literally paying extra for the obvious lack of appropriate security. We are subject to a decrease in our safety, as well as the overburdening of our first responder services.

I have just one more note. I notice that on the agenda you state your mission. And at the bottom in parentheses, it says "with results justifying the resources expended." Well, you might as well add "especially when the resources expended do not come from your pocket."

1 CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: Thank you. 2 (Applause.) 3 CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: Reggie Mundekis. MS. REGGIE MUNDEKIS: Good morning, and thank you 4 5 for the opportunity to address you this morning. I'm switching subjects. I have a question 6 7 about capital expenditures. On page 20 of the agenda 8 packet, labeled "Capital Expenditures and Major Project 9 Spending, "there's a line item for AG Memorial, which is 10 the agricultural workers memorial. The project was finished and dedicated on 11 Labor Day 2015, and the budget was about \$95,000. Now 12 13 there's an additional \$75,000 showing being spent. What 14 is this \$75,000 capital expenditure being used on a 15 completed project? And how is the memorial currently being used to educate students in the work and 16 17 achievements of farm workers? 18 Regarding hiring practices, the current VP of 19 Operations has been hired using NAFTA immigration documents and will be converted to an H-1B Visa in 20 21 September 2016. It is not clear to the public why this 2.2 Board, a state agency, is supporting this action. 23 The Board is requested to discuss and create 24 policy regarding the hiring of foreign workers for 25 permanent Civil Service jobs using NAFTA and H-1B

1 immigration documents.

2.2

Regarding purchasing, why is the state agency spending nearly \$10,000 for mascot costumes made by Hogtown Mascots, a foreign company. These mascot costumes are used during the OC Fair and other events to represent this state agency. Why are these expensive mascot costumes not being made by a local company or at least made in the United States?

Regarding document requests, there are still requests from March and April outstanding. There have been discussions regarding that it may be easier to ask questions and try to get information that way. This method has been tried.

This week there were two sets of questions e-mailed to CEO Kramer, and those replies have not been received. And there are still unanswered questions regarding the Musink and Tet Festival that were e-mailed to CEO Kramer, and I received basically a "Thank you for your reply. We have everything under control" kind of e-mail that could have been sent by an auto responder.

So I just wanted to let you know about that.

And thank you very much, guys, and have a great day.

CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: Thank you, Reggie.

That concludes the general comments of the

25 public -- from the public.

1	With that, I think I'm going to move, unless
2	there's any questions or clarifications, to our next
3	agenda item, which is approval of the minutes.
4	DIRECTOR ASHLEIGH AITKEN: I'd like to make a
5	motion to approve the minutes.
6	DIRECTOR SANDRA CERVANTES: Second.
7	CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: Ashleigh made the motion,
8	seconded by Sandra Cervantes.
9	Can you call for the vote, Jessica?
10	BOARD CLERK JESSICA ZIMMERMAN: Chair Mouet?
11	CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: Yes.
12	BOARD CLERK JESSICA ZIMMERMAN: Vice Chair
13	Berardino?
14	VICE CHAIR NICK BERARDINO: Yes.
15	BOARD CLERK JESSICA ZIMMERMAN: Director Aitken?
16	DIRECTOR ASHLEIGH AITKEN: Yes.
17	BOARD CLERK JESSICA ZIMMERMAN: Director Tkaczyk?
18	DIRECTOR STAN TKACZYK: Yes.
19	BOARD CLERK JESSICA ZIMMERMAN: Director
20	La Belle?
21	DIRECTOR DOUGLAS LA BELLE: Yes.
22	BOARD CLERK JESSICA ZIMMERMAN: Director
23	Bagneris?
24	DIRECTOR BARBARA BAGNERIS: Yes.
25	BOARD CLERK JESSICA ZIMMERMAN: Director

1	Cervantes?
2	DIRECTOR SANDRA CERVANTES: Yes.
3	BOARD CLERK JESSICA ZIMMERMAN: Director Pham?
4	DIRECTOR NEWTON PHAM: Yes.
5	CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: Thank you.
6	The next item is consent calendar. Staff or
7	Board Members, any yes, Nick.
8	VICE CHAIR NICK BERARDINO: Pull R-130-16.
9	CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: We're pulling R say the
10	number again.
11	VICE CHAIR NICK BERARDINO: R-130-16.
12	CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: R-130-16.
13	Any additional items to pull? If not, may I
14	hear a motion to consider approving the balance of the
15	consent?
16	DIRECTOR BARBARA BAGNERIS: So moved.
17	DIRECTOR DOUGLAS LA BELLE: Second.
18	CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: Jessica, could you call
19	for
20	BOARD CLERK JESSICA ZIMMERMAN: Uh-huh.
21	Chair Mouet?
22	CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: Yes.
23	BOARD CLERK JESSICA ZIMMERMAN: Vice Chair
24	Berardino?
25	VICE CHAIR NICK BERARDINO: Yes.

1	BOARD CLERK JESSICA ZIMMERMAN: Director Aitken?
2	DIRECTOR ASHLEIGH AITKEN: Yes.
3	BOARD CLERK JESSICA ZIMMERMAN: Director Tkaczyk?
4	DIRECTOR STAN TKACZYK: Yes.
5	BOARD CLERK JESSICA ZIMMERMAN: Director
6	La Belle?
7	DIRECTOR DOUGLAS LA BELLE: Yes.
8	BOARD CLERK JESSICA ZIMMERMAN: Director
9	Bagneris?
10	DIRECTOR BARBARA BAGNERIS: Yes.
11	BOARD CLERK JESSICA ZIMMERMAN: Director
12	Cervantes?
13	DIRECTOR SANDRA CERVANTES: Yes.
14	BOARD CLERK JESSICA ZIMMERMAN: Director Pham?
15	DIRECTOR NEWTON PHAM: Yes.
16	CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: Very good. Thank you.
17	Motion passes.
18	Now, the pulled item, R-130-16, Director
19	Berardino.
20	VICE CHAIR NICK BERARDINO: Yeah. I just wanted
21	to check and see that the item I pulled has to do
22	with payment by the Trump people of the money that they
23	owed us for the event. And I'm just following up to be
24	sure that we got one, we got payment, that they're
25	paid in full, that they've paid us. Somebody did

1 that's my question, have we been paid. 2 CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: Okay. 3 MR. RON WALLACE: Yes, we have been. CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: Question, Kathy. Do we 4 5 know? MS. KATHY KRAMER: We do have an outstanding 6 7 invoice of some additional services that were ordered 8 during the event that we have billed them, and we are 9 having ongoing conversations line by line with their --10 with their promoter. VICE CHAIR NICK BERARDINO: So tell us what's 11 12 happening with that in terms of the ongoing 13 conversations with the money they owe. What are the --14 what are the -- what do they owe us and what are we 15 doing to get it and what's their response? 16 MS. MICHELE RICHARDS: There were additional 17 amounts, as Kathy said, for services that were ordered 18 while on site. 19 VICE CHAIR NICK BERARDINO: So tell us what those 20 were. 21 MS. MICHELE RICHARDS: It's a variety of things. 22 Use of equipment, stanchions, forklift time, additional 23 personnel for rest room, you know, maintenance. There's 24 also a significant additional charge by the fire 25 marshal.

```
1
               So the -- the initial cost of the event was
   paid for in full prior to the event. And they've been
 2
 3
    invoiced for these additional charges, and we're working
    with their team to get payment on those additional
 5
    charges.
           DIRECTOR DOUGLAS LA BELLE: What is the amount,
 6
 7
    roughly, of the --
 8
           MS. MICHELE RICHARDS: It's about 24,000.
9
           DIRECTOR DOUGLAS LA BELLE:
                                       Twenty-four thousand.
10
           MS. MICHELE RICHARDS: And roughly half of that
   is fire marshal related.
11
12
           CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: Twenty-four thousand for
13
   the additional?
           MS. MICHELE RICHARDS: Correct.
14
15
           CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: The original was?
16
          MS. MICHELE RICHARDS: Thirty-nine, almost
17
    40,000.
18
           CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: And that was paid.
          MS. MICHELE RICHARDS: Correct.
19
20
          CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: That was paid. So 39 was
21
    paid, and there's an additional outstanding of about 24.
2.2
           MS. MICHELE RICHARDS: Correct.
           CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: Most of it is fire marshal.
23
24
          MS. MICHELE RICHARDS: Correct.
25
          VICE CHAIR NICK BERARDINO: And when you say -- I
```

1 mean because it's -- and I know -- I know that you don't intend it to be. But I'm just saying, so you know, it's 2 3 coming off kind of vague. So I just kind of want to make it that so we don't have people thinking it's too 5 vague. 6 When you say you're working with them to get 7 the money, we're not running into problems. You're just 8 saying normal --9 MS. MICHELE RICHARDS: No. 10 VICE CHAIR NICK BERARDINO: Okay. MS. MICHELE RICHARDS: You know, it takes a while 11 12 after an event for us to gather all of the additional 13 expenses. And those are, you know, put into a 14 settlement and -- and then invoiced to the -- the 15 promoter. 16 And it's a normal part of how we do business 17 with all promoters, too, that there's a settlement 18 afterwards. So we're, you know, in active communication 19 with them. 20 VICE CHAIR NICK BERARDINO: Okay. And you will 21 report back, then, since -- you will report back to us 2.2 at the next meeting what the progress is --23 MS. MICHELE RICHARDS: Sure. 24 VICE CHAIR NICK BERARDINO: -- in getting money 25 from the Trump people?

1	MS. MICHELE RICHARDS: Sure.
2	CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: Thank you.
3	Any other questions?
4	DIRECTOR ASHLEIGH AITKEN: Yeah. I'm assuming
5	this is something that we're going to be discussing
6	under item E.
7	CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: Yes. Correct. As far as
8	improving board discussion on improving the process
9	of these types of events.
10	DIRECTOR ASHLEIGH AITKEN: Okay. Because what
11	I I know there's a lot of people here that are here
12	on this issue.
13	CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: Yes.
14	DIRECTOR ASHLEIGH AITKEN: And I don't want to
15	make them wait unnecessarily, but I just want to ask two
16	clarifying questions. Because as a Board Member, my
17	number one question was who's paying for this and all of
18	this stuff that's going on.
19	And it was made clear that the campaign was
20	going to be reimbursing the state agency for all police,
21	for all items like that. They pay for that. So we've
22	been reimbursed for all of the police that we had to
23	hire and the costs that we had to encumber.
24	CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: I'll go ahead and move 9E
25	right after 9A because there's some relevant information

1 with regards to 9A about this issue. DIRECTOR ASHLEIGH AITKEN: Right. And then I 2 3 think we need to make clear that the City of Costa Mesa also has the powers to, then, address with the campaign 5 their reimbursements. CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: Yes. I think that -- I 6 7 mean --8 DIRECTOR ASHLEIGH AITKEN: So I mean it's all a 9 relevant issue, and I really want -- I think there's 10 people here that are just here on that issue. And maybe -- that's a great suggestion, Chair, and I 11 12 appreciate you doing that. 13 CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: So when we're done with 9A, 14 instead of 9B I'll call for the question of 9E so that 15 we can get to these issues. 16 DIRECTOR ASHLEIGH AITKEN: Thank you. 17 CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: Now, unless there's any other questions, I want to ask a question on this 18 19 R-130-16 that we haven't voted on that we pulled. Ιt 20 is -- the need for action is to approve what? A 21 contract? 2.2 MS. MICHELE RICHARDS: Correct. A rental 23 agreement. 24 CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: After the fact. We're 25 just -- we're just -- because it's already happened.

1 MS. MICHELE RICHARDS: Correct. 2 CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: So it's the contract for 3 the -- close to 40,000? MS. MICHELE RICHARDS: Correct. 4 5 CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: Okay. So I understand that. I understand that. So I think we need to approve 6 7 that so that -- we don't? 8 VICE CHAIR NICK BERARDINO: I mean --9 CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: Okay. Go ahead. 10 VICE CHAIR NICK BERARDINO: Yeah. And I'm not sure. The only thing that I would -- I just want to be 11 12 sure -- Counsel, if you can help on this. 13 I don't want to give up -- I mean from a 14 negotiations perspective with these people, I think, as 15 our community members mentioned, that this campaign we 16 all know predictably what happens around them, and it's 17 nothing new. 18 And one of the things that we know is that it's difficult and it has been difficult in other places 19 20 to get money from them. I mean they are not easy to 21 deal with. 2.2 So if we approve -- if we approve this item, 23 are we in any way, in your view, giving up any leverage 24 that we might have if -- if we wanted to -- so if they 25 say "We're not going to pay you," and, you know, this is

1 a huge problem and, you know, we're not going to --2 we're not going to --3 CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: We did get paid for the 4 40,000. 5 MS. MICHELE RICHARDS: We did. CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: Yeah. 6 7 VICE CHAIR NICK BERARDINO: No, no. But we have 8 other outstanding bills that are huge. 9 And so is it better for us maybe to table 10 this and not approve it so that if we do have to litigate it, there's no -- we didn't approve anything, 11 12 and we're still trying to get it? It gives us a little 13 more leverage? MR. JOSHUA CAPLAN: I can't advise the board 14 15 on -- leverage is a business decision. It's my 16 understanding from the comments during this meeting that 17 the contract that's on the agenda to be approved was for 18 an event that has occurred, and the amount set forth in 19 the contract has been paid. 20 It's also my understanding that there was a 21 settlement process that the district goes through on 2.2 every rental agreement if there's overages. So I can't speak to the issue of leverage and how this Board wants 23 24 to approach this particular contract. That's -- that's 25 out of my purview.

VICE CHAIR NICK BERARDINO: So I'm going to move not to approve it.

2.2

CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: So I think what might be reasonable, since there's going to be more discussion on 9E and it's relevant, why don't we allow our opportunity to deal with your suggestion of not approving at that time because we may end up having further discussion on 9E.

DIRECTOR STAN TKACZYK: Okay. Question for staff and the attorney. We have an agreement that the Board hasn't approved, if we run into a situation and we want to start legal -- or do we start legal to get the money from the Trump organization, by not having approval by the Board, does that hinder us from proceeding to go after the legal if they haven't paid it if we don't have an agreement verifying?

I'm looking at this as a business decision that we breached the contract.

MR. JOSHUA CAPLAN: First, my answer is much easier than yours, Michele, which is if we're going to get into any discussion regarding pending or potential litigation, it can't happen in the open public session.

So addressing that question for you, the facts and circumstances would need to be such that we have that discussion in closed session, which would be

1 need to be properly noticed. DIRECTOR STAN TKACZYK: Can I phrase it this way? 2 3 In the past, if we have had someone that hasn't paid, what did we do? 5 MS. MICHELE RICHARDS: I'm not aware of -- first let me back up. My oversight of this department only 6 7 goes back as far as October in the fall. It was under 8 someone else's oversight. So I don't have a long -- you 9 know, a long history of that settlement process to be 10 able to say, oh, you know, six years ago this happened. And I believe that in the history of the 11 12 organization, there have been unsettled amounts that 13 have then been needed to be written off at some point in 14 time. But I can't speak to that. I don't have

CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: Yeah, Nick.

experience with anything like that, in particular.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Ashleigh, didn't you have your hand up?

DIRECTOR ASHLEIGH AITKEN: No. I just wanted to ask counsel, as well. I mean is there a reason that we should not -- I guess kind of going on is there a reason that we should not approve this contract? Does it in any way put this Board -- are we giving up any legal rights by approving a contract for an event that has already happened?

MR. JOSHUA CAPLAN: Based on the information that

Michele has shared during open session today, I think
the answer to that question is no. Because the rental
agreement was for a set amount, and that set amount has
been paid.

And now part of the processes are, as I'm aware from what Michele has told me the way the process works, is the amount -- when I say the negotiations, I don't mean negotiation as a legal term of art. I mean negotiating the outstanding amounts that apparently is part of the regular process here at the district.

CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: I understand.

Yes, Nick.

2.2

VICE CHAIR NICK BERARDINO: I'm going to —
because I think we need to sort this out. And I think
on behalf of our citizens here and on behalf of our
neighbors that we owe it to them to table it until we
have the opportunity in closed session to examine all
our options up to and including the impact of approving
or not approving when it's difficult for counsel to deal
with this, like, you know, kind of putting him on the
spot.

I think we internally -- I mean from a body of negotiating position in terms of bargaining, which maybe we'll have to do, maybe we won't. And by tabling it, we may not even have to get into it. Maybe they pay

```
1
   up and we're done.
 2
           CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: I hear you.
 3
          VICE CHAIR NICK BERARDINO: So I'm going to move
 4
   to table it.
 5
           CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: Well, here's what I -- as
    the Chair, I want to make -- we can -- we can vote to
6
 7
    table it now or in 30 minutes from now when we finish
 8
    doing the 9E discussion, which might or might not make a
9
    difference in -- in the decision to vote. But I know
10
    that the topic will continue to be discussed in 9E.
           DIRECTOR ASHLEIGH AITKEN: I agree. Let's just
11
12
    get to it. These nice people are --
13
           CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: Okay.
           DIRECTOR BARBARA BAGNERIS: Yeah. In 30 minutes.
14
15
          CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: Are you okay with that, in
16
    tabling it in -- I mean considering the motion, to table
17
    it until 9E, or do you want to call for the vote now?
18
          VICE CHAIR NICK BERARDINO: Well, I'm not going
   to call for the vote now, but --
19
20
           DIRECTOR ASHLEIGH AITKEN: Can we do the Consent
21
   Calendar --
          CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: Well, we already -- did --
2.2
   I think we did --
23
24
           DIRECTOR ASHLEIGH AITKEN: I mean he pulled from
25
   the Consent Calendar. So can we then vote on the rest
```

```
1
    of the Consent Calendar after --
           DIRECTOR BARBARA BAGNERIS: We did. We just did.
 2
           CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: We did the balance of the
 3
    Consent Calendar already. We voted on that and --
 4
 5
           DIRECTOR ASHLEIGH AITKEN: The balance. I'm
    saying we don't have to vote on the remainder --
6
 7
           CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: Counsel can say something,
8
    but I think we can vote on this particular contract a
9
    little later on during the Board Meeting. So --
10
           DIRECTOR ASHLEIGH AITKEN: That -- that was my
11
    question.
           CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: Timingwise, it's not a --
12
13
    it's not a problem. So we're just kind of continuing it
14
    for a little while, and then we'll call for the question
15
    of 9E in order to honor Nick's request, which is, I
16
    think, a valid request.
17
           DIRECTOR BARBARA BAGNERIS: Yeah.
                                              I think so.
18
           CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: And so with that, I'm now
    going to go to committee/task force. And we'll be
19
    concise, and then we'll deal with 9E.
20
21
                      So the -- I'm calling on myself
               Okay.
2.2
    because I'm the liaison for Centennial Farm Foundation
23
    on -- this is 9A, Committee, Task Force, and Liaison
24
    Reports.
25
               At 3:30 today, I believe, Michele -- I have a
```

- 1 lot of e-mails, but I believe one of the e-mails says,
- 2 | yeah, the meeting is on at 3:30 today. I'll be there.
- 3 | I'm anticipating and waiting for the Centennial Farm
- 4 | Foundation -- I recused myself from their discussion of
- 5 | the draft of the MOU that OC Fair & Event staff provided
- 6 them.
- 7 I think it's fundamentally important that our
- 8 | partnerships with the Centennial Farm Foundation has a
- 9 Memorandum of Understanding. That's the way to do
- 10 business when you have relations with foundations.
- So I'm looking forward to hearing what they
- 12 have to say. I don't know there will be a report back.
- 13 | I know they're seeking their own counsel from -- from
- 14 their pro bono attorney or whomever it is. And I think
- 15 | it will be much clearer in how roles and processes and
- 16 how we exchange things with regard to Centennial Farm
- 17 Foundation.
- I love the volunteers. They have the right
- 19 | mind-set of Centennial Farm. And I believe if we have a
- 20 | Memorandum of Understanding, it will increase the
- 21 expectations on how they can be more helpful to what
- 22 | they want to be helpful.
- So I'm looking forward to that meeting.
- 24 | That's my update. I'm going to call on -- unless
- 25 | there's any questions -- on Nick and Doug if there's any

1 additional information on Heroes Hall. VICE CHAIR NICK BERARDINO: Our fundraising --2 3 just so you know, the foundation is busy trying to -trying to raise money. The foundation's focused on 5 that. And it's not easy, you know. It really, really isn't easy. 6 7 And, you know, there are very, very bright 8 moments in our fundraising effort, and I think there are 9 some distinctive disappointing moments with, of course, 10 some of the people who you would think would be stepping up to help not. So we continue to work on that and 11 12 continue to do everything we can. 13 CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: But don't be so humble. 14 I've seen some dollar figures that sound pretty 15 impressive to me. VICE CHAIR NICK BERARDINO: Well, it's not really 16 17 enough, you know, but --18 CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: Well, it's never enough, but it's impressive. 19 20 VICE CHAIR NICK BERARDINO: Well, you know, the 21 thing I have to say in terms of dollar figures it's the 22 unions that are stepping up, that are funding this thing. And they're -- you know, they are tighter 23 24 than -- for money than any corporation or a lot of

people that we know very intimately in terms of our

25

1 operations. 2 And we go to them, and it's pretty much 3 pretty tough getting money out of them. And one group that's been very tight and has declining membership and 5 really having a difficult time are putting in -probably out of the close to 180-, 190,000 that we've 6 7 raised, they've put in about 130 of it. 8 And so I think it's a very bright side on the 9 fundraising for them, and they need to stand up and take 10 I think our business community has been very, very difficult for us, very difficult. 11 So --CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: All I know is that if 12 13 anybody can do it, it's the team here of Doug and Nick and others that are in the --14 15 VICE CHAIR NICK BERARDINO: We struggle. 16 struggle. 17 CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: You guys are doing a great job in bringing in -- we very much appreciate the 18 19 success that labor is being a partner. And I think the 20 business community needs to. 21 I think -- as you continue to work on that, 2.2 I'm certainly looking forward to figuring out how we can 23 help as an entire organization in getting the entire 24 community to help. It's really their museum, it's the 25 community's museum, and it's just a great thing.

1 So anything, Doug? DIRECTOR DOUGLAS LA BELLE: Just to add to what 2 3 Nick said, Michele and I -- pardon me -- attended the monthly OC Management meeting on May 4th. It was well 4 5 attended. Michele did her usual excellent job. And we indicated to all the managers there that a letter would 6 7 be forthcoming from the president of the foundation, 8 Director Berardino, and he prepared that letter. It's 9 going to go out to each city that has not been -- pardon me -- has not made a contribution as of this point. 10 Between Nick and I and other members of the 11 12 foundation, we have some contacts in these various 13 cities that we will be actively pursuing. And Nick has 14 done just an outstanding job of generally twisting arms, 15 I guess, for lack of a better term. 16 VICE CHAIR NICK BERARDINO: Don't say that in 17 front of this guy here. 18 DIRECTOR DOUGLAS LA BELLE: And we have been very successful thanks to the efforts of the foundation 19 20 members to this point in time. 21 So we're going to continue the approach, and 2.2 we're going to -- to work to bring in additional 23 dollars. 24 CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: Fantastic. Thank you so 25 much for that work.

1 Let me call on Barbara and Newton if there's anything to report on the finance committee. 2 DIRECTOR BARBARA BAGNERIS: No. We have nothing 3 4 to report at this time. 5 CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: And let me call on Nick and Ashleigh if there's anything to report on the Workers 6 7 Memorial Task Force. DIRECTOR ASHLEIGH AITKEN: I do not believe so. 8 9 CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: Okay. Let me call on Doug 10 and Sandra on City Liaison. 11 DIRECTOR DOUGLAS LA BELLE: Michele used the opportunity when we met with the managers, again, to put 12 13 out the pitch for the fair, when it was coming up, did a 14 little --1.5 CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: That was May 3rd; right? 16 DIRECTOR DOUGLAS LA BELLE: Yeah. May 3rd or the 17 I can't remember. The first Wednesday of the 4th. month I think it was. I don't know if Michele has 18 19 anything additional, or Sandra. 20 MS. MICHELE RICHARDS: Yes. Staff, as we speak, is reaching out to the cities to invite them to 21 2.2 participate in the fair on their day. We invite elected 23 officials and different community groups to come out 24 each day to celebrate their day at the fair. So those 25 discussions and invitations are -- are active right now.

1 Each year we see more and more participation from the Orange County cities, so it's a growing 2 3 program. CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: And you do a wonderful job. 4 5 I -- I have personally witnessed the children's librarians in the Santa Ana Public Library give out 6 7 those \$2 coupons to low income families during storytime 8 with the little ones, for example. And so we really 9 appreciate that program, as well. I know the families 10 that can't afford that need some help are very appreciative of that program. 11 12 Anything else? 13 Okay. Let me call Nick and Ashleigh on 14 Legislative. 15 DIRECTOR ASHLEIGH AITKEN: I think that we in the 16 CEO report kind of gave an update on the legislation 17 that we're following. So as far as this task force --18 I'm sorry -- this committee is going, I think that it's 19 usually covered in the CEO report, but I don't think we 20 have anything to add with the monitoring that we are in 21 weekly contact and Kathy's been amazing in keeping us up 2.2 to date in what's going on. And now with the lobbyists, 23 we are more informed than ever and have less questions 24 from the public as to where we're going. 25 CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: Yeah. And I can tell you

- 1 how optimistic I am. I mean I don't -- I don't have the
 2 experience with regards to Sacramento meetings that Nick
- 3 has, but I'm optimistic that AB 1907, which is what
- 4 | we're talking about -- right? -- is going to become law
- 5 and is going to clarify some things in the past that
- 6 | need clarification.
- 7 VICE CHAIR NICK BERARDINO: Yeah. I think we get 8 it out of suspense. You know, and we have -- Kathy
- 9 is -- again, she has done an excellent job. And I think
- 10 a thank-you to the public for pushing us on this. This
- 11 is one we needed to get pushed on, and they did a great
- 12 | job of doing it. And Kathy's doing an absolutely
- 13 | wonderful job of following up.
- I think we have a good shot of getting off
- 15 | the suspense on that. If we do, we should just sail
- 16 right through.
- 17 CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: Yeah. No. Absolutely.
- 18 know you know some people in Sacramento. And so I'll do
- 19 my job as much as I can. When I bumped into Senator
- 20 | Nguyen, for example, I mentioned how important it is.
- 21 | She is a coauthor with Assemblyman Tom Daly. I'm very,
- 22 | very appreciative of their leadership and the people
- 23 | they're getting support from. It's the right thing to
- 24 do.
- 25 And I know we're going to do it. I mean I'm

1 very optimistic, so much so that I think we're planning on recognizing and showing a little bit of appreciation 2 3 during fair time to our legislators that are helping us with that effort because they -- that's how -- that's 5 why people do it is because they know it's the right thing and we want to thank them for that. 6 7 DIRECTOR ASHLEIGH AITKEN: I would just like to 8 echo about the public. I mean if anybody has -- if 9 there's legislation that -- that people see and they 10 have questions about, please e-mail me directly, Legislative Monitoring Committee question. I follow up 11 on all of that. But, you know, I would like to be 12 13 contacted directly. 14 If you guys might see something that I don't 15 see following the process that concerns this fairgrounds 16 or concerns fairgrounds in the state of California, Nick 17 and I always appreciate it, and we would like to address it or at least respond back to you if it's in between a 18 19 Board Meeting. So thank you very much. 20 CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: Very good. Thank you so 21 much. 2.2 Moving on to the next report, let me call on Doug and Robert on the Organizational Needs Assessment. 23 24 DIRECTOR DOUGLAS LA BELLE: Yes. Kathy and Ken 25 and I and Robert met to discuss not only the ONA but the

Master Plan process, and I'll have some comments when we 1 get to 9D, I believe it is, on that. 2. 3 The one thing I did want to mention is Kathy has done an outstanding job of moving all of the parts 4 5 for the ONA forward. I think we're well under way. There are, obviously, things that will go on for the 6 7 next several years in terms of implementation. 8 But I think Robert and I would like to 9 suggest to you as Chair that we maybe change the name 10 from the ONA Task Force to the Master Plan Task Force because that's going to be a very important part of it. 11 12 CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: I think that's an excellent 13 suggestion. I think it's -- it's -- it makes a lot of 14 sense. I -- unless there's any -- any discussion, I 15 think -- I think the CEO can proceed in making that name 16 change for that task force. Thank you, Doug. 17 Now, on the next one, Tenant Liaison 18 Committee, at the last month's meeting, I suggested as 19 Chair that Stan and Barbara -- no -- I'm sorry -- Stan and Nick on the Tenant Liaison Committee will -- will 20 21 need to be prepared to do some follow-up on -- on the 2.2 procedures and policies that we're about to discuss with

regards to third-party events.

23

24

25

closely with staff on the follow-up to Board discussion on improving the process for third-party events.

So I'm just prefacing. Now I'm calling on Stan and Nick if there's anything that you want to report.

DIRECTOR STAN TKACZYK: What you just mentioned, we're waiting to let the other item come forward, and I think that will give us direction. And we'll -- we're anxious to hear that.

What I will report to you, though, is I did meet with Jeff Teller yesterday. And I know that we've had some of the people from the Swap Meet here complaining about issues before we signed the contract with Spectra.

And I just want to say I was very excited.

And I had a meeting with him, and he was bringing us up to -- bringing myself up to date on some of the programs that they hope to launch now and actually when, I guess, the fair is over. We're kind of caught in a little situation right now. They want to do some things, but they're going to have to stop because of the fair. But after the fair, they're really going to hit the ground running with a lot of programs.

So I just want to share with the Board that -- having Jeff here and Spectra -- that we approved

1	the new contract. I certainly am seeing activity. And
2	compared to what we had previously, it's great. And
3	thank you, Jeff, for being here.
4	MR. JEFF TELLER: Thank you.
5	CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: Thank you very much.
6	Kathy, why don't you share what you just
7	shared with the Chair on a prospect for the next
8	related to this at the next June 23rd meeting.
9	MS. KATHY KRAMER: We have been having
10	conversations, as well, with Spectra, and Nick Nicora
11	has indicated he would like to come back in June.
12	Doug, that was one of the items you talked
13	about, what are we doing, what's it look like going
14	forward. So they will be prepared to do a brief
15	presentation. We'll agendize it for the June meeting,
16	what we can expect some of the things post fair at the
17	Marketplace.
18	DIRECTOR DOUGLAS LA BELLE: Great. Sounds good.
19	CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: Fantastic. Thank you very
20	much.
21	Going to the next task force item, and I'm
22	calling on Stan and Barbara. Anything to report on
23	Consumer Initiatives Task Force?
24	DIRECTOR STAN TKACZYK: Nothing.
25	CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: And then Sandra and I are

going to have our first meeting at 1:00 o'clock in

Agricultural. I'm looking forward to that. We already

talked a little bit about the dinner. There's a lot of

other ideas that we want to talk to staff about. It's a

very important part of the mission, so I'm very excited

about that. And we'll talk about the day camp. I think

that's a very great idea.

So with that, I think that concludes the Task Force agenda item, and I'm now going to move to 9E. And so before we have staff share the staff report on 9E and then a Board discussion, we have three requests to speak publicly on this item. And I'm going by the order that I received them.

So I'm going to do that right now. First person, you have three minutes, is Reggie Mundekis.

MS. REGGIE MUNDEKIS: Thank you for the opportunity to speak on this matter.

2.2

The public needs a copy of the invoices sent to the Trump campaign that the staff is currently trying to collect on. Stakeholders need information about the current processes and procedures used to book and manage events and how they are changing from what was done in the past.

Reviewing prior events provides some of the needed information. The L Festival, held in

October 2015 and returning in October 2016 and '17, is an event to review. Universal Music, the event promoter, requested and received over \$40,000 in free rent, parking, and other perks authorized by business

development.

L Festival Records show that budget drove security and safety decisions. Concerns about a massive outdoor sound system, which blasted out the neighborhoods, were ignored for many months despite problems being raised multiple times.

The terms of the contract were changed substantially from what the Board approved and was not brought back for approval. There are also additional issues which need to be reviewed from this event.

The Board must acknowledge that Tandem

Partnerships now runs this facility who insists that

processes be put into place to maintain oversight and

control of their activities.

Tandem Partnerships now books all year-round events. Executive management gives Tandem Partnerships wide authority to manage events, including requiring discounts to be given to promoters, cutting security and safety functions, and allowing activities which disrupt the neighborhood.

Weekly sales activities reports created by

Tandem Partnerships need to be available for the public to review the events being booked and resolve potential issues.

2.2

The fairgrounds has multiple duties to the community, one of which is allowing quiet enjoyment of one's home. There are additional duties owed to the community.

The fairgrounds cannot be only about making money and paying commissions to Tandem Partnerships.

The multiple needs of the community, including quiet enjoyment, must be on the same level or higher than making money. Meeting the needs of the community must become part of the standard operating procedures.

One of the current needs of the community that takes a back seat to the need to make money is safety, security, and environmental compliance. A security, safety, environmental compliance organization with the authority to require changes and stop events is needed to create and maintain the needed checks and balances which are currently missing from the organization.

Risk management guidelines adopted by

Los Angeles County to be -- could be used -- for mass

gatherings at the Pomona Fairplex could be used as a

starting point for development of guidelines for the

1 OC Fairgrounds. Thank you. CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: Okay. Thank you, Reggie. 2 3 The next person is Susan Meyer. MS. SUSAN MEYER: Good morning, Board. My name 4 5 is Susan Meyer. I live right across the street in College Park for 25 years. I wanted to give you a 6 7 little feel of how I felt during the Trump event. 8 About 2:00 o'clock the helicopters started to It was police helicopters. And I just walked 9 10 out to -- to Fairview and saw that the parking lot was beginning to fill with people coming in to the event. 11 12 But I knew it was going to be big. I've been reading 13 the newspapers like everyone else, so I felt fairly 14 prepared. 15 The helicopters began to increase since now 16 the news channels were coming in. And I know that you 17 cannot control the news. The sound of six helicopters going for almost six solid hours was unbearable, just 18 19 unbearable. The house was rattling and shaking from the 20 noise of the helicopters. 21 We're used to the helicopters. We live right 2.2 by city hall. We've had the helicopters for years. 23 when you have six helicopters going at once, it is 24 frightening. It is very, very frightening. It wasn't 25 just frightening for me.

1 It was frightening for the dog. The dog was circling around. Brought the dog in, put the dog into a 2 kennel, tried to soothe the dog, and all I could think 3 about were all the other dogs in the neighborhood. 4 5 the impact was huge. Now, I lived in a country in war for three 6 7 years while I was studying agriculture. And I know when 8 you begin to hear that many helicopters, there's a 9 problem going on on the ground. Where I lived -- I 10 lived in the country in Israel. And when helicopters came, it was time to find a bomb shelter. 11 12 It felt to me like a war was going on over 13 I had a meeting to attend at 6:00 o'clock that here. 14 night on the other side of town. I've never been so 15 happy in my life to go to a meeting because I could get away from that noise for a little while. 16 17 I got back home around 9:00 o'clock, and the helicopters were still going. I sat in the house for a 18 19 little bit. The windows were rattling. Really, I 20 couldn't stand it. I just couldn't stand it. And I 21 thought, well, I'll come out to the street because all I 2.2 have to do is walk a few houses down and see what's 23 going on. 24 Well, the riots were going on. There was a 25 car screeching in the middle of the road. There are

1 people screaming, yelling, shouting, cars, helicopters, police, horses, the police on the horses. I just want 2. to tell you I then began to think about all of our veterans that live in the neighborhood College Park and 5 how they felt hearing those helicopters going. Now, I know you can't control those 6 7 helicopters, but you can control who comes to the 8 fairgrounds. And so as you're deliberating over the 9 third parties, I hope you really think about the impact 10 it has on the neighborhood. Thank you. 11 (Applause.) 12 CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: Thank you. 9E, last person 13 is Resident One. RESIDENT NUMBER ONE: I want to echo what Susan 14 15 was saying about the effect on the neighborhood of this 16 party. But my question -- one -- my question is 17 regarding the contract. 18 I'm assuming that contract includes any 19 damage they do on the fairground that they're responsible for. But does the contract also reflect 20 21 that they're responsible for the damage that occurs 22 outside the perimeter of the city? So for Costa Mesa, 23 all of the overtime, all of the vehicles that were 24 damaged. 25 If you look around the poles, there's still

1 "F Trump" all over posts all over the city. In fact, one right here -- I believe it is Fair -- Merrimac --2 3 and hasn't been evidently cleaned up and reported. that's also something else that's responsible for 4 5 Costa Mesa and the code enforcement. So I'd like to know if the perimeter -- who 6 7 is going to pay for this? When I asked our chief who's 8 going to pay for all the cars, he sort of indicated it 9 had to be the -- the individual that did the damage. 10 However, it's sort of a course of events like if this had not been put in place, we wouldn't have had 11 12 these events, and we wouldn't have had this damage. 13 I think the residents, they've already suffered with the 14 They've already suffered through the police, the event. 15 humiliation on national television and what went on, and 16 now we've got to suffer the consequences in our tax 17 dollar. And I think this is patently unfair to the 18 residents.

So if this is good neighbor -- this is your view of a good neighbor, I think you really need to rewrite your good neighbor policy because this is really unacceptable. Thank you.

CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: Thank you so much.

That concludes the public comments on 9E.

25 I'm going to turn it over to staff to do their

19

20

21

2.2

23

1 presentation, and then we'll open it up for Board 2. discussion. 3 Kathy? MS. KATHY KRAMER: Thank you, Gerardo. 4 5 Staff has been working with Board leadership 6 to understand lessons learned around the Donald Trump 7 rally. As part of this ongoing process, staff along 8 with Board leadership will be meeting in early June with 9 the City of Costa Mesa officials and law enforcement 10 agencies. Our goal is to establish new operational procedures that we can incorporate into our booking 11 policies and procedures that will help us better assess 12 13 and manage large high-risk events that may impact the 14 community. 15 At this point, I would turn it over to Board 16 Chair Mouet and ask that there be an opportunity for 17 Board of Directors discussion around Board policy and 18 how it aligns with the OCC operating policies and 19 procedures. 2.0 CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: Thank you, Kathy. 21

Let me start off because there's been some meetings that I've been involved, as well as Vice Chair Nick, and so that we can all be on the same page and we can have a discussion.

22

23

24

25

I think it's fairly well established that the

1 Board was informed that the contract had already been approved after the fact, and I think -- at least at the 2 3 last Board Meeting, I think I spoke to the fact that I think it was a mistake not to inform the public -- the 4

5

9

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Board prior to approval.

Now, I'm not saying that that should be the 6 7 case for all third-party events, but then that spoke to 8 the issue of the need to define better in the policies and procedures the types of events that have higher 10 risk. So that's the -- I said that last month, and I still stand by that. And I believe there's been 11 12 acknowledgment, in my opinion, that there needed to be 13 an improved level of communication.

So that's one thing because the DAA -- the 32nd DEA is a state agency, and the Governor appoints all Board Members so we can be good stewards for the public and a conduit for communication with staff and operations and the public. So I think that's an important first lesson that we need to improve.

Then because I had experience with regards to events -- Santa Ana Stadium, for example, is overseen by me -- I reviewed the policies and procedures that the public has on third-party events, and I reviewed briefly the Board policy.

And it stands to reason that in -- in the

1 meetings that I had immediately as the Chair with -with the CEO, and Nick joined, and then with Michele, 2 3 who was acting CEO the following week, I think, I started gathering more information. 4 5 And, for example, in the policies and procedures, there's, like -- there's two types of 6 7 categories that are mentioned with regards to amount of 8 time that staff needs to process the consideration of a 9 request for an event. 10 And -- and the word "typical" is used. typical between the first category, the less complicated 11 12 one, is a certain number of days that we say we might 13 need a certain number of days to process and consider 14 for these type of typical events. What are the numbers? 15 MS. MICHELE RICHARDS: Five to 15. 16 CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: Five to 15, typically these 17 noncomplicated events. 18 Okay. The complicated events is -- what are the number? It's probably 5 to 10. It's a smaller 19 20 In other words, you're telling the third-party amount. event petitioner "Your event is a little complicated. 21 2.2 We -- no. We need probably longer, more -- more time to 23 consider because there's risk factors." That's the 24 thing. 25 So I don't like word "typical" because it's

not black and white. And it's one of those words that,
well, typically -- and I already received feedback from
legal counsel in saying, well, that gives discretion.

I don't -- I don't believe there should be discretion when there's absolute certainty that there are public risk factors and potential for negative impact on the neighbors. I think that is something you can calculate if you have sufficient time and make a good professional decision.

And if -- and if the organization believes that they have capacity and are on the public safety communications with the sheriff department, with Costa Mesa P.D., and -- these are presidential nominees -- with the Secret Service, if there seems to be a reasonableness with regards that one can have capacity to ensure order and good neighborly, then there's enough time that needs to be communicated in order not only to communicate to the public but to the Board. Does that sound reasonable? I think that sounds reasonable.

So to me, I think there's a lot of need and a lot of opportunity for the -- for the Tenant Liaison

Committee to review these policies and in -- also the Board policies. And I've already started doing that because I think, as the Chair, I can provide my feedback

to the committee to -- to provide suggestions on improving the process.

2.2

But as of right now, I think staff is getting the message that tomorrow or today you might have gotten another request. And I think there's lessons learned with regards to a good proper planning and with risk management and public safety, the highest priority, the highest priority. Then ability to pay and recover costs.

If you think you're going to give a permit to -- to an event that you can calculate that there's probably going to be additional costs, clue. You may not want to approve it because it might be substantial. Right now it's 24,000. That's substantial. That's more than half of what the original cost is.

So and then do you have enough staff capacity? Is there enough assurance from Costa Mesa P.D. and the deputy sheriff and all that? Those are absolutely critical responsible things to do.

So I've had several meetings with staff.

I've had the opportunity to review these policies and procedures. I'm going to do more. I've had discussions with legal counsel.

I understand First Amendment. I understand that we're not talking about infringing on anybody's

Constitutional rights. I'm not about that. I believe in our system, and I believe and I understand this is a public agency.

2.2

Anyway, I wanted to start off the discussion with that perspective since I've been pretty much following it very closely. And, by the way, during my day job, I get these types of requests all the time, and you should know that there hasn't been a Trump rally in Santa Ana.

Now, not because I have the power to say

"yes" or "no," but because when a request like that

says -- I don't really care if it's the Secret Service.

They need to meet with the police chief and me right

away, and then I can give a recommendation to my CEO,

which is the city manager. And then he better

communicate to the mayor and council, or else he's going

to be in trouble.

So I believe in lessons learned. I believe in people recognizing where there's opportunities to be improved, and I believe that is the environment we have. People are going to be focusing, professional staff, on improving. Good thing. Lessons learned. Never lose the opportunity for whatever is happening to improve the system. That's been my motto in my career. Let's figure out how to improve the system and lessons

learned.

2.2

It's not about punishment, and it's not about figuring out how -- how to -- to have some kind of additional agenda item. My main focus is on the next one, there ain't gonna be no more problems. That's the thing. And I want to make sure that black and white on paper it states that, and staff has the tools to be able to follow policy in a way that it makes very responsible.

So let's start. Any -- any other additional comments and questions?

DIRECTOR STAN TKACZYK: I would like to start with I heard a lot of comments from the general public, and I know the Board has heard a lot of comments from the general public. And one of the comments that seems to come to all of us is why did we allow this to happen.

And one of the questions I would like to ask our attorney is, as a Board, do we have any legal rights not to allow an event happen at the fairgrounds? And the reason I'm asking is because, being on the Tenant Liaison Committee, I need to have that knowledge so I can look at this agreement or what we're attempting to do, and ultimately if there is some type of event that we feel in our judgment is not in the best interest of our community, that we have a legal right to say no.

MR. JOSHUA CAPLAN: Sure. I'm not going to give advice on specific events. We would certainly communicate that on a privileged basis, but speaking generally --

DIRECTOR STAN TKACZYK: Yes.

2.2

MR. JOSHUA CAPLAN: -- certainly if the Board determines that any particular event -- if there are content-neutral reasons to reject the event, for example, an event promoter calls and wants to book the Pacific Amphitheater on a night where there is already a concert that's been scheduled or if an event that needs to accommodate 30,000 people wants to host an event at some facility on the property that can only host 8,000 people, if there the Board thinks or staff believes that a particular event creates security concerns that can't be addressed by local law enforcement in a timely manner, certainly if those issues come up, then the Board or staff, given the current policy, has the discretion to say no to those events. But there needs to be a content-neutral reason.

In other words, the reason for saying no can't be because of the nature of the event. It needs to be the no needs to come because of a justifiable reason, a content-neutral justifiable reason to say no to the event, capacity, security concerns, things of

that nature.

DIRECTOR STAN TKACZYK: So security can be one?

MR. JOSHUA CAPLAN: It can be, and it needs to
be -- I want to remove the legalese from the discussion,
but there needs to be fact-specific justifiable reasons.

Certainly, staff has a lot of discretion to determine with their own in-house security officials if there's going to be security concerns. And if those concerns cannot be addressed before an event, then staff certainly would have the ability to say no.

DIRECTOR STAN TKACZYK: Let me throw this at you because I really want the public to hear these, and I want to learn too.

Taking what you've just said, security concerns on that issue specifically, the Tenant Liaison Committee is talking to someone who wants to come in, and we say that we have security concerns and that it's going to cost us \$200,000 for additional security. And they say okay.

MR. JOSHUA CAPLAN: Well, then staff needs to decide, given that they are going to put that money up front, whether it's going to be in some sort of escrow account and/or pay it before the event, and that now putting aside that financial concern, whether they will have sufficient funds to adequately prepare security

1 personnel, then the question to me would be timing. But, again, these are all business 2 considerations. So staff would need to make the 3 determination if the vendor is going to be providing 4 5 \$200,000 for security, is there enough time for security to prepare for this event. 6 7 DIRECTOR STAN TKACZYK: Well, where I'm going is 8 the perfect storm against us. Okay. And that is that 9 they say, "Yes, we will do it." The date that we say 10 that we have available is available. We address all the issues that we have, and they say yes. Then what? 11 MR. JOSHUA CAPLAN: Then staff determines whether 12 13 they're going to sign the contract with this particular 14 promoter or vendor. 15 CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: And staff needs to listen 16 to Board policy with regards to protocol for 17 communication because we need to make sure that the 18 public is well communicated. 19 And, like, I know you don't want to address 20 specifics, but let's theoretically think about that 21 you're about to consider it needs to occur really right 2.2 away, a \$40,000 event in the Pac Amp, and you've 23 calculated that there could be some additional costs, not just security, just additional costs of, say, 24 25 30,000. They not only write a \$40,000 check, write a

1 \$30,000 deposit check. 2 DIRECTOR STAN TKACZYK: Correct. I get that --3 CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: That's a procedure. That's a procedure, and that's insurance. If it turns out that 4 5 the staff calculations with security and P.D. and sheriff and fire marshal says it's not just 30,000. 6 7 It's 60,000 on top of the 40,000. Then, by the way, if 8 you want the venue enough time, 40- plus a \$60-, you 9 know, cashier's check, if you will, you know? So you 10 make sure. And that's how you do it. You know, whether security or just adding more conduit or cabling or 11 12 anything like that --13 DIRECTOR STAN TKACZYK: What I'm trying to do is 14 take this exercise down the road that the individuals 15 we're dealing with agree with everything. 16 CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: Yeah. 17 DIRECTOR STAN TKACZYK: So ultimately what I'm hearing is that they -- everything, the numbers, the 18 19 financial numbers, then it's going to come down to staff will have to make the recommendation. 20 21 CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: Yes. Yeah. But they have 22 new revised policies and procedures, and there's better -- because I don't like this whole typically 23 24 Typically, you know -- so you could have the thing. 25 discretion to say yes as they did with two-day notice.

1 That's -- for this high level of complicated thing, In my opinion, as a Board director, 2 that's a problem. 3 that's a problem, you know? DIRECTOR STAN TKACZYK: I'm not debating that --4 5 that part of it, Gerardo, at all. I'm looking for the future. 6 CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: 7 Sure. 8 DIRECTOR STAN TKACZYK: Because I heard some 9 comments about different organizations, if they were to 10 approach us. CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: Yes. 11 12 DIRECTOR STAN TKACZYK: And what I really want to 13 know as a Board Member, and -- and staff should hear and 14 the public should hear, do we have any -- the bottom 15 line is do we have the right to say no? 16 CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: There -- Josh, if you --17 there are certain things that if someone wants to rent the facility and they're doing things that go against 18 19 the law, hate speech or something like that, then, you 20 know, staff has an obligation to -- to say no to --21 we're not going to allow illegal things to occur in 2.2 our -- in our -- so there are some categories of things 23 that it's just not gonna be allowed because it's against 24 the law, you know? 25 DIRECTOR STAN TKACZYK: Well, breaking the law

1 is -- that's --CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: Yeah, but I'm telling you 2. 3 that it's not an uncommon request in this line of business for someone to say "Allow us to do this." 5 if you do and you're not aware of some of the hate 6 speech laws or whatever the case may be, then you're 7 going to end up having a problem. So it is something 8 that needs to be considered. 9 DIRECTOR STAN TKACZYK: What I don't want us to 10 be is the poster child for the legal fight that comes 11 back and says you have no right --12 CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: Absolutely. 13 DIRECTOR STAN TKACZYK: -- to do that. 14 MR. JOSHUA CAPLAN: And, Director Tkaczyk, to 15 directly respond, if there was ever a question at all, 16 let's say they -- the promoter met all the milestones, 17 and it's the final point where staff or the Board has to make the determination can we say no to this event, our 18 19 office -- that's why we're at this table. Our office is 20 always available to consult not only with staff but with 21 the Chair and Vice Chair or any other members of the 2.2 Board if there's any concern regarding potential risk. 23 DIRECTOR STAN TKACZYK: Thank you. I just want 24 the general public to hear it. I needed to hear it 25 because I know a lot of the Board Members have been

asked that question, why. And thank you. 1 CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: Other Board Members? 2 3 Barbara. 4 DIRECTOR BARBARA BAGNERIS: Yes. I was going to 5 refer to page 28, "Our sole effort is to insure the 6 success of your event and safeguard the safety and 7 experience of all our visitors." And when we know or we 8 think that that's going to happen, it goes beyond costs. 9 I don't care if they give me a million dollars. If that 10 experience is going to cause negative, for the city, for the community and neighborhood, for us, then we should 11 be looking at not having that event. 12 13 I have --14 (Applause.) 15 DIRECTOR BARBARA BAGNERIS: -- what I heard today about this event. 16 17 AUDIENCE MEMBER: Thank you. Thank you for listening. 18 19 DIRECTOR STAN TKACZYK: What I want to hear is 20 the legal ranks that we have. And if that's there, then 21 that's great. 2.2 CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: Yes. Go ahead, Nick. 23 VICE CHAIR NICK BERARDINO: And, Barbara, 24 congratulations to you. I agree a hundred percent. And 25 I think it is important that we know.

1 I'll also just say that in this particular case, as Gerardo said, when we did that, you know, we 2 3 didn't have the opportunity to -- to weigh in. So it happened. 5 And some of us, because of our work and our stature or position in the community, got the holy heck 6 7 beaten out of us publicly, you know, and I didn't --8 personally, my point of view, I didn't like receiving my 9 lifetime achievement award for 40 years of work, walk 10 into a room of 600 people, and basically got my head taken off because "Why didn't you stand up," you know, 11 "Why did you let this happen?" 12 13 I didn't like it. And it may not mean much 14 to other folks, but it means a lot to me. 15 And, Barbara, you're a hundred percent right 16 that, you know, we have the right and the ability to use 17 common sense. Those of us who -- for me, in my experience, I have a legal -- had -- I'm not there 18 19 anymore, but I had a legal staff, I mean in-house, 20 general counsel, two lawyers. Oy vey.

Talk about -- and lawyers will come in to you, and they go to our Board and they tell you what's legal and what's not. You don't have to take their advice. I mean they're only there to advise you. You know, it's -- you don't have to. And many times, I

21

22

23

24

25

- 1 haven't. And on occasion it hasn't worked out so well.
- 2 So as I've gotten older, I try to listen more because I
- 3 | don't want to be -- (indiscernible).
- 4 But we don't have to take their advice.
- 5 | They're there to give us advice, but we're there -- here
- 6 to make business decisions and to make decisions that
- 7 | fulfill the conscious -- conscience of the community.
- 8 | We have -- we take the heat for it, and the lawyers tell
- 9 you, which I've had happen -- and, again, if you have
- 10 in-house lawyers that are part of your staff, they will
- 11 be on you all the time about things that -- that's what
- 12 | they're supposed to do.
- So I think to your point, Barbara, it's a
- 14 hundred percent right. We don't have to say yes to
- 15 everybody. We should probably. We're sworn to uphold
- 16 | the Constitution. And under the Constitution is free
- 17 | speech.
- And, you know, some people don't like some of
- 19 the terrible things that come out of this particular
- 20 person's mouth. I mean it's sad. Really, really,
- 21 really sad. But regardless, he has a right to spew that
- 22 | stuff. It's his -- it's his right. And there's,
- 23 | obviously, a lot of people that are gonna agree with
- 24 that.
- 25 So I want to say as we look at these policies

that we understand there's opportunities to ensure that
when we know that there's going to be a problem, that we
know there's going to be a problem. And, candidly, I
think most of us at the last Board Meeting sat here, and
we heard somebody had decided to allow this rally here.
I think we all sat here — not all of us, but I think
practically all of us sat here and said we're going to

practically all of us sat here and said we're going to have trouble.

I mean that wasn't going to be -- I mean you have to have your head in the sand to not -- I mean you got to be not even awake not to realize by booking that event we're going to have problems here. It's -- something bad's going to happen. Because wherever this has happened, something bad has happened.

So it's kind of like you don't need to go put your hand in the door and, you know, get it slammed 15 times. Sooner or later you kind of say, man, I'm not going to put my hand in that door. It's probably going to get slammed.

So I think the policy needs to be sure that when we do approach it, we approach it with what Barbara, I think, so articulately put. Hey, we got to ensure that this isn't going to have an impact on the community and -- and not be saying I -- I just don't agree with saying, hey, I know that we're lighting off a

1 stick of dynamite and -- but, you know, legally our lawyers will probably tell us we have to light off the 2 3 stick of dynamite. Well, the lawyers aren't going to be -- and 4 5 this isn't negative to you, Josh. I mean I -- you know, I get this opportunity because he says you can't talk 6 7 outside of this. You can't say this. So we have to 8 bring it all here. So it's all good, but sometimes it's 9 airing dirty laundry, which isn't so flattering, but, 10 you know, we knew we were lighting off a stick of dynamite. And if we didn't know we were lighting off a 11 12 stick of dynamite, then somewhere we were not thinking. 13 And we don't have to light off a stick of 14 dynamite because a lawyer tells you you have to. 15 Because he -- God bless him -- is going to be in 16 San Diego with his family and enjoying life. People 17 around here are going to be listening to six helicopters 18 and wondering if it's safe to come out of their homes. 19 DIRECTOR STAN TKACZYK: There's an event in 20 San Diego coming up. 21 MR. JOSHUA CAPLAN: It's not just me, by the way. 22 It's my office. My office has attorneys that live in 23 this county. 24 VICE CHAIR NICK BERARDINO: So I think we have to 25 be careful.

1 CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: I want to make sure Nick is done with his comments. 2 3 VICE CHAIR NICK BERARDINO: Yeah. CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: Okay. Stan, you also want 4 5 to talk, but let me first call on Ashleigh. DIRECTOR STAN TKACZYK: No. Go ahead, please, 6 7 Ashleigh. 8 DIRECTOR ASHLEIGH AITKEN: For those of you that 9 weren't at the Board Meeting last month, this was 10 discussed. And I really appreciate us looking at our policies and procedures and telling the public how we 11 12 are constrained when it comes to speech issues. But, 13 obviously, there were things we could have done better. 14 Should there have been so many tickets 15 released when we don't have that type of capacity? 16 That's a fire marshal issue. There are, obviously, 17 certain things that could have been done better, and that should have been done better. 18 19 And what I, you know, haven't heard and what 20 I would like to convey, and I know I speak on behalf of 21 the Board, is an apology. You know, I am, as a Board 22 Member, extremely sorry to all of the people that came up to me before this event on two days' notice and said 23 24 "What are you going to do with all the protesters that 25 are coming? What are you going to do?"

And I said on behalf of this Board that we are assured that the Costa Mesa Police Department, the Secret Service, the Sheriff's Department had met with the campaign, and there was going to be adequate police presence there. And I told that to people. And it, obviously, was not enough.

2.2

So as a Board Member, I apologize because that should not have happened, and I apologize that we did not do more.

Are we constrained if he wants to come back? I mean I live in Anaheim. So anyone that's read the news about what went on yesterday in my hometown and where I live, it's terrible. We got notices. I got a text message a week ago from downtown from — we have a text message system — from the City of Anaheim saying don't go to where you live or expect extreme delays where you live from Thursday night — I'm sorry — Wednesday night — I'm sorry. It was yesterday. So Tuesday night through — 9:00 a.m. to 10:00 o'clock p.m. on Wednesday where I live because he was at the Anaheim Convention Center.

I got that text message a week ago, and I appreciated it. I realize there's nothing that my city with the convention center can do about it. But we had adequate police. We had notification. There was a

1 whole process that we set through.

And I really want to look into people that live in the neighborhood, if they accept my apology, signing up for some type of text notification system that lets you know --

AUDIENCE MEMBER: We already have it. It just wasn't -- it wasn't invoked.

DIRECTOR ASHLEIGH AITKEN: That -- then I appreciate you telling me that because I don't live here. But I got that notification from my city. I appreciated it. There's nothing I could do about it, and there's nothing we can do about it in the future. So I apologize that that was not invoked.

I sincerely apologize for everything, and I would like to look into how we negotiate with the city as to how they're going to be reimbursed. Because there's certain things we can do to be reimbursed for the damage on our property. Your City of Costa Mesa has their own contract with the campaign.

And let's follow up. Maybe we can have somebody from the Costa Mesa Police Department here at our next Board Meeting saying what are they doing to get reimbursed for this police car and for the damage, and let's make sure that we are not — that this doesn't happen again. Because it's — I agree with you with the

1 stick of dynamite. Like that morning -- I know people that were 2 3 here last month, I was enraged. And I only get to text one Board Member, so, of course, Stan's phone was 4 5 blowing up because I was like "What?" But I hope the people that are here in the 6 7 city of Costa Mesa realize, as a Board Member, I 8 apologize. And to the extent we can prevent it again, 9 no, we can't. You know, this country's an amazing 10 thing. This country gives us indelible rights, and some of those indelible rights make us extremely 11 12 uncomfortable. We can't prevent it. 13 But what we can do is all of the suggestions 14 of these Board Members, making sure we are better 15 neighbors. 16 CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: And you -- I know -- I 17 don't know if you mentioned, Kathy, but a meeting has been scheduled with the city manager, the chief of 18 police in Costa Mesa, and the CEO. I know I'm 19 20 attending. I think Nick is looking at his calendar. 21 VICE CHAIR NICK BERARDINO: Yeah. 2.2 CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: And so we're going to get 23 to -- even further on that. 24 I think Doug had his hand first, and then 25 I'll call on Barbara.

1 Doug?

DIRECTOR DOUGLAS LA BELLE: Yeah. I just wanted to ditto Ashleigh's comments. I think it was very well said. And I too apologize for what occurred.

As Gerardo deals with this on a daily basis,
I used to deal with it on a daily basis but don't do
that anymore. I think there's some things that we can
look -- and I assume that this is going to go to the
Tenant Liaison Committee, Stan and Nick, to draft a more
detailed policy.

The experiences that I've had, obviously, you need time to evaluate proposed events. So time is important. I think the initial — or comment of the deposit, if we assume that there's going to be potentially added costs, then we need to get enough money up front in the form of a fee, as well as the deposit to make sure that we're not in the situation of having to after the fact go for the additional compensation.

Sometimes events occur that you think are going to be very routine and something happens. So I think we, obviously, need to recognize that could occur in a situation. Some events you plan for the entire year, i.e., the fair.

In my last community, we had an annual boat

parade, and we had no lakes in this community. And what
the boat parade was was just all of the service clubs
got together and they decorated their boats and they
paraded them through the community. And that was kind
of planned on an annual basis. So, you know, they start
in January planning for the event in December. So these
are some things that I think the committee can look at
when they draft the policy.

And, you know, things like hours of operation, so on and so forth, that, I think, are important. I don't think we want anything occurring here at midnight and to 2:00 in the morning, and I think there's things we can control from that perspective. So those are my comments.

CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: Those are good comments.

Thank you, Doug.

Barbara and then --

2.2

that, you know, we don't know what we don't know. And we are in a situation across this country that I don't think we've dealt with before. And I believe in freedom of speech and all of those things, but I don't think we really — I don't think we've seen the worst of the kinds of things that we've been seeing over these past few months.

And so for us to predict what's really going 1 to happen, we don't know. We assume everything was 2 going to be okay. It was inside, but it wasn't outside. And those things just have to be considered. 5 What we're doing with the policies and procedures is the right thing to do so that we can 6 7 anticipate in the future. I believe there are going to 8 be more incidences like this. There will be other 9 groups that come out because people are now emboldened, 10 and they feel it's okay to do things that are harmful and hurtful to other people and don't have any regard 11 12 for it. 13 So the policies and procedures, coming up with some direction so the staff can have that I think 14 15 is the right thing to do, but I'm going to say I had no 16 idea it would get as bad as it got. So we don't know 17 what we don't know. This is how you prevent or make sure these things don't happen again is by writing 18 19 policy for them. So thank you for giving us this document. 2.0 2.1 CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: Thank you. 2.2 Nick, I think you wanted --

VICE CHAIR NICK BERARDINO: Yeah. And the other

things in terms of we talked about recovering costs

that -- so one option that I would like to -- through

23

24

25

the Chair for staff to look at, one of the things is

Tandem is a private organization with whom we contract

with. They book the event.

So also let's look at if -- in terms of recovering costs, what we got to get back is -- as folks have said, we got to make this right with the community.

We got to make it right. And we got to make it right here with the taxpayers of the State of California that own this property. They own it.

They -- people owe money. So let's look at also Tandem as what their area of responsibility would be in making up the shortfall should we not be able -- since they were the contractor that booked the event to see if -- what their responsibility would be in terms of making it whole.

So I would ask through the Chair if we could ask staff to pursue with Tandem another option of making up the money.

CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: Yeah. I'll respond, but you have something to add?

DIRECTOR STAN TKACZYK: Okay. And what you bring up just with this comment is something that I think we may have to look at all of our leases that we have or rental agreements. I haven't taken the time, I must admit, to go in detail to see what remedies we have if

1 there is an issue like this. So if there are not any remedies in our agreements, then we certainly need to 2 3 address that. So it's not just this Tenant Liaison. 4 5 think it goes beyond that. Because the best cure is not to get the disease. Then you don't need the cure. 6 So 7 if we can do it right, then we have remedy. Now, it means legally we can go after them. 8 And whether they have the money is another story. 9 10 at least we need to keep that legal right available. CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: Yeah. I have some comments 11 12 in trying to summarize, but I want to make sure that all 13 Board Members have a chance to comment or ask questions. 14 I'm calling if there are any additional comments. 15 Okay. I think Josh has given us really good 16 He basically used -- coined the phrase 17 content-neutral information. I'm absolutely certain that you can create content-neutral criteria in order to 18 19 make this more responsible and to prevent some of the lessons -- hard lessons that we've learned on this case. 20 21 In addition, on the policy perspective, I've 22 already made reference and I have no problem making it 23 time and time again about the communication. You made 24 really good reference to the system that occurred over 25 there in Anaheim. So I think that's also an opportunity for the Tenant Liaison to seek.

2.2

Now, so content neutral. And as the Chair, because I have an interest in this type of work, I'll provide to the committee additional information and samples and models that I think should be considered in regards to content-neutral criteria to make sure we don't end up on the losing side of the costs, on the losing side of public safety, which is to me the highest criteria.

And so I believe we have gotten good counsel on the terminology to focus on how to reform the policies and procedures, the content neutral, and I'm absolutely convinced you can do that without breaking any Constitutional — person's rights or anything like that. And then it's good business and then it's also — it's exceptionally good relations with our neighbors. So that's one thing.

The other thing with regards to the moneys owed, so I believe that in addition the Tenant Liaison should continue to get from staff updates with regards to the moneys owed. I for one want every single penny to be paid by the Trump organization because it cost us money, and I am not happy with the prospects of having to subsidize that particular event. Absolutely wrong if there is any risk in subsidizing that event.

1 I think we're going to have more clarity when we meet with the city manager and the police chief with 2 3 regards to the City of Costa Mesa. I think our communication with city and deputy sheriff and whomever 4 5 handles security for whatever third-party event, in this case the Secret Service, there needs to be more 6 7 content-neutral clarification on what it is. 8 It's okay to say no because we don't have the 9 capacity and there's just too much risk assessed with 10 regards to if we say yes. It is okay. That is content-neutral decision making. That is good 11 content-neutral decision making. There's nothing wrong 12 13 with that. 14 And as -- as Nick mentioned, you know, we --15 we owe it to our neighbors, to the city, and to the 16 people of California on how this land is used, you know? 17 I for one feel that staff needs to make sure that 18 whatever happens, without breaking the law, in 19 third-party events should be as much as possible 20 prioritization to help support that mission. I believe 21 that is an appropriate business type of thing. 2.2 I'm not saying that you don't allow this or that if it doesn't fit. I'm just saying that it is good 23 24 to have. By the way, so the Tandem contract, it's okay for the Tenant Liaison to review it because that is a 25

tool that staff uses to be able to do third-party
events.

So you should review it. You should review
the Tandem contract. And the Tandem folks are
incentivized; right? They're incentivized to make money
and say, hey, I've got something for you and all that,
and staff has a responsibility of making sure that the
process.

Tandem should not have any authority to say yes or no. It's staff. Tandem brings a prospect and lays out whatever they're contracted to do, and then staff is responsible.

2.2

So I understand how it works. But if there's some improvement process with regards to Tandem or whatever the process is, I think we should look at it.

I think the Tenant Liaison should do it.

So I believe this has been very healthy discussion. I think legal counsel has advised us on how to reform the tools to improve it in a legal sort of way. I think we're all very much astute to the times, and it's a very interesting time.

We're not immune to be -- we don't live in an island. We realize what's going on, what happened in Anaheim. We understand that this is a public venue.

Tomorrow or today there might be another request. Even

1 though we don't have it in official, I think we know enough, from my perspective, as one Board Member saying 2 that this better not happen like it happened again. It's just very clear. It wasn't good for our neighbors. 5 It wasn't good for our budget. It wasn't good for relations and image building. It just wasn't good. 6 7 You know, and this -- it doesn't matter 8 whether it's this particular political candidate or 9 whatever. I'm being content neutral here, so I'm just 10 wanting to say that -- that we don't have to -- staff doesn't have to wait for the T's to be crossed and the 11 dots to be marked for them to realize some of the 12 13 lessons to be learned on this. 14 You know, I understand sometimes you're going 15 to continue getting requests. This is a great place. 16 Of course, you're going to continue getting requests. 17 The season is on. It is on, you know? 18 So those are my summaries, bringing things -but, Barbara, you have something? 19 20 DIRECTOR BARBARA BAGNERIS: I'm sorry. 21 payment, so the settlement contract, it's already gone 2.2 out? 23 MS. MICHELE RICHARDS: Uh-huh. 24 DIRECTOR BARBARA BAGNERIS: And is there a date 25 specific when it's due back to us?

1 MS. MICHELE RICHARDS: You mean the follow-up invoice? 2 3 DIRECTOR BARBARA BAGNERIS: MS. MICHELE RICHARDS: Yes. I don't know what 4 5 that is offhand. DIRECTOR BARBARA BAGNERIS: So there is a date. 6 7 So the 18 percent rate does apply to the settlement 8 contract, as well? 9 MS. MICHELE RICHARDS: Uh-huh. 10 DIRECTOR BARBARA BAGNERIS: I just wanted to make sure I saw that --11 CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: We do have a contract to 12 13 deal with in the tabled issue. 14 VICE CHAIR NICK BERARDINO: I know you're trying 15 to sum it up. For me, the reason I wanted to have staff 16 look at Tandem paying, you know, everybody knows by now 17 that when it comes to me, my feeling about taxpayers here, and not -- prefacing my remarks, I'm not amused by 18 19 what I've seen over the six years here in terms of who 20 runs the show in terms of -- not runs the show, but who 21 gets the big bump out of here. And I know who does, and 2.2 I don't like it. I wish we could reform it. And I'm going to work my tail off in a broader way to reform it. 23 24 But taxpayers cannot be on the hook for this. 25 So we got to get our money back. They deserve their

1 money back. And if the company booked it, we can't get it from anybody else, they're going to have to pay. 2 3 Someone's going to have to pay, but it's not going to come out of the taxpayers' pocket. And that's what 5 I'm --6 (Applause.) 7 CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: Thank you, Nick. 8 have that issue on the contract that we tabled that we 9 need to address, but yeah. 10 DIRECTOR DOUGLAS LA BELLE: I think it was mentioned earlier, but I would think in terms of the 11 12 policy, content neutral, but capacity is a key issue. 13 CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: Yes. DIRECTOR DOUGLAS LA BELLE: And I think a 14 15 significant part of this problem was related to the 16 capacity. You know, somebody wants to rent Baja Blues 17 for a birthday party and they're going to have a hundred people there, that's different than, you know, a 18 19 thousand people or 2,000 people. So I think that needs 20 to be incorporated into the policy. 21 VICE CHAIR NICK BERARDINO: Going back to that 22 contract thing --23 CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: Yes. 24 VICE CHAIR NICK BERARDINO: -- I just think we 25 should table it. I think why -- I don't see that

1 there's any risk. We already have the money. I don't They had the event. What's --2. think we're in breach. 3 what could we possibly breach? They had the event. They got everything. We got the 39,000. They owe us 4 5 more money. I think we ought to just -- I mean we're in a --6 7 DIRECTOR ASHLEIGH AITKEN: We don't gain anything 8 by not approving the contract that has already been 9 entered into and --10 VICE CHAIR NICK BERARDINO: I think legally maybe 11 not. DIRECTOR ASHLEIGH AITKEN: That word. We don't 12 13 gain anything. 14 (Multiple overlapping speakers.) 15 VICE CHAIR NICK BERARDINO: I think we do. 16 don't want to discuss what I think we do --17 CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: Let -- let -- the way I think procedurally we should do this, there could be 18 19 further discussion. That's what I'm witnessing right 20 now, further discussion. 21 But staff had it on the agenda for approval. 22 It was part of the consent item. It was pulled. This 23 is an idea. Maybe we shouldn't approve because maybe it 24 will help leverage or not with regard to some kind of 25 discussions.

1 Let me -- before we further a decision, let me ask staff do you still want the Board to consider 2 3 approving it or not? I think that's the appropriate first question before getting into discussion. 4 5 MS. KATHY KRAMER: I mean it is, like Josh said, a business decision. The events happened. 6 It was 7 executed. Do we not have any leverage if it's an 8 executed contract? I mean if it's -- I hate to defer 9 back to the Board, but it's not going to impact what we 10 need to do going forward. CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: So I believe that staff is 11 12 still asking up or down on the contract. 13 DIRECTOR ASHLEIGH AITKEN: Can we table it for a 14 month? 15 CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: Can we table it for a month? That's another option. 16 17 DIRECTOR ASHLEIGH AITKEN: Just table it for a month. 18 19 CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: So let me -- before I call 20 for a motion, are there any other questions or 21 discussions? And then I'll have someone make a motion, 2.2 and then I think that's the procedure. 23 So before we call a motion, are there any 24 other questions on this issue, on this particular 25 contract for discussion? If not, I'm open for a motion

1	to be made.
2	DIRECTOR DOUGLAS LA BELLE: I move to table
3	it until
4	CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: I'm sorry. One second. If
5	you can hold on because he had a question.
6	DIRECTOR NEWTON PHAM: I actually have a comment.
7	Personally, I think it's bad business. The event's been
8	done, contract been executed, and everything's been put
9	into place. And just tabling it for leverage sake is
10	it's in bad faith in terms of business decision making.
11	That discussion should have been done
12	beforehand. But the key is, you know, lessons learned.
13	And I think maybe we should just vote to approve it, not
14	approve it, and move on rather than just table it and
15	prolonging it.
16	CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: I appreciate your comment.
17	Sandra, did you have your hand up. No?
18	DIRECTOR SANDRA CERVANTES: I agree with him. I
19	agree with Director Pham.
20	CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: Okay.
21	DIRECTOR BARBARA BAGNERIS: Make a motion.
22	CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: And, Doug, you're about to
23	make a motion?
24	DIRECTOR DOUGLAS LA BELLE: I was going to move
25	to table it until the June meeting. At that point in

1 time, we'll have a report back relative to whether we have the money or don't have the money. And I don't see 2 any harm in doing that. It's kind of a neutral sort of 3 thing. 4 5 VICE CHAIR NICK BERARDINO: I second Doug's motion. 6 7 CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: Okay. So I believe Doug 8 made the motion to tabling it for a month. 9 DIRECTOR DOUGLAS LA BELLE: Yes. Until the June 10 meeting. CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: To the June 23rd meeting. 11 And Nick seconded it. 12 13 Okay. I'm going to ask Jessica to call for 14 the vote; right? 15 BOARD CLERK JESSICA ZIMMERMAN: Chair Mouet? 16 CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: Yes. 17 BOARD CLERK JESSICA ZIMMERMAN: Vice Chair 18 Berardino? 19 VICE CHAIR NICK BERARDINO: Yes. 20 BOARD CLERK JESSICA ZIMMERMAN: Director Aitken? 21 DIRECTOR ASHLEIGH AITKEN: Yes. 2.2 BOARD CLERK JESSICA ZIMMERMAN: Director Tkaczyk? 23 DIRECTOR STAN TKACZYK: No. 24 BOARD CLERK JESSICA ZIMMERMAN: Director La Belle? 25

1 DIRECTOR DOUGLAS LA BELLE: Yes. 2 BOARD CLERK JESSICA ZIMMERMAN: Director 3 Bagneris? 4 DIRECTOR BARBARA BAGNERIS: 5 BOARD CLERK JESSICA ZIMMERMAN: Director Cervantes? 6 7 DIRECTOR SANDRA CERVANTES: No. 8 BOARD CLERK JESSICA ZIMMERMAN: Director Pham? 9 DIRECTOR NEWTON PHAM: No. 10 CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: So that's three nos. if I can get someone to do the math and there's a 11 12 balance of six -- 6-3. So it's tabled. Motion passes. 13 Thank you so much. Moving on to the next item. 14 Now, it's 11:30 right now. The next item is 15 9B. If it is okay, we'll take a five-minute recess 16 because there are people needing to go to the rest room. 17 I'm one of them. 18 (Recess taken from 11:25 a.m. until 19 11:37 a.m.) 20 CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: Let me call the meeting back to order at 11:37. And she'll be able to join in 21 2.2 the discussion in a little bit. 23 But the next item is 9B, which is update on 24 the City of Costa Mesa, quote, "Initiative to Require 25 Voter Approval on Certain Development Projects."

1 We have one public comment request and then a 2 staff report and then discussion on the item if there 3 are any. So I'm going to call on Jay Humphrey. You 4 5 have three minutes. 6 MR. JAY HUMPHREY: Thank you again. And I would 7 like to let you know first that on your prior comments 8 for the prior item, I do appreciate all of your comments 9 and the -- the steps you're looking to take. And I look 10 forward to seeing both the policy and whatever other successes you have in resolving it. So thank you very 11 much for that. 12 13 Secondarily, I think it's important on this 14 item -- when I looked this up for the staff report, I 15 didn't have any staff report. I had basically a 16 background letter that said, hey, this is what happened, 17 i.e., all the way through to the end of the ballot, and then, B, I had the initiative but no commentary of any 18 19 kind about staff report on what -- and you had asked 20 specifically, Mr. Chair, and you asked specifically for 21 them to come back to you with what effects this may have 2.2 on the -- on potential development in the fairgrounds. 23 And having not seen that, I think it's 24 part --25 CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: I think we're going to hear

1 from staff in a bit. So --MR. JAY HUMPHREY: Okay. And then the only thing 2 3 I would comment on simply is it should have no effect on anything going on on the fairgrounds. And for one 4 5 reason, it specifically states in the initiative that the initiative will do nothing to violate state law. 6 7 And since you are controlled by state law and not by 8 city law, that supersedes anything the city may or may 9 not have, which is already the situation we stand by. 10 But I'll wait for the staff report on that too. Thank you all very much. I appreciate your 11 time. 12 13 CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: Thank you, Mr. Humphrey. 14 We have another request to speak on this 15 Resident One? item. 16 RESIDENT NUMBER ONE: Yes. I'll make this really 17 quickly. I agree with everything that Jay is saying. And what I'm requesting is that on this particular item, 18 19 it certainly would be more relevant if we could have the 20 public comments after your discussion and the report. 21 Because Jay is very familiar with it, and maybe there is 2.2 something that could be -- have an input from that from 23 the source. Thank you. 24 CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: Okay. And that concludes 25 the public comment cards that we've gotten so far at

1 this point. Let me turn it over to staff so that they can 2 3 give us an update on this item, and then we'll open it up for Board discussion. 5 MS. KATHY KRAMER: Great. Thank you. Mr. Humphrey, part of the reason there was 6 7 not any -- the lens that we took on the update was to 8 liaise with the city to see if there had been any more 9 changes, things like that. 10 It's my -- the update that we have, and you were waiting until the last minute, because things are 11 12 changing constantly. And the city has advised the City 13 of Costa Mesa is in the process of creating a competing growth measure that will be added to the November 14 15 ballot. So that is the only update we have. 16 And my apologies if I misunderstood from the 17 Board. But I -- the understanding was it's almost too early for us to understand. I think that was some of 18 19 the comments last time, unless the Board wants to give different direction. 2.0 2.1 CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: No, no. 2.2 MS. KATHY KRAMER: Yeah. It was just monitoring to see what changes, amendments might be happening as 23 24 we're getting closer there to remain neutral. 25 CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: What I recall -- obviously,

1 there's people in this room probably that are much closer to this -- this initiative. 2 3 What I recall was, you know, that the Board seemed to have articulated that we want to make sure 4 5 that -- that not just in a -- a verbal conversation, 6 like in an analytical way that there isn't going to be 7 any kind of negative impact on the stuff that we do 8 and -- and keep us updated. And when you have the -the -- the analysis, the information, then please keep 9 10 the Board updated. I think that's what's happening. 11 I think I just heard news that the City of 12 Costa Mesa's contemplating on adding an initiative, as 13 well. 14 MS. KATHY KRAMER: Right. Competing growth 15 measure. CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: I don't know if there's 16 17 enough information already made public in regards to what that is all about. But I think that's -- the 18 spirit of last month's direction is -- so now it's --19 20 now there might be two initiatives; right? So please 21 let us know how it might impact. I think it's still the

23 And so that's -- and I've -- I've heard 24 comments like, no, it won't have any impact, the 25 state -- I understand that. Notwithstanding that, it

2.2

same question.

1 doesn't mean that the Board doesn't want to be kept 2 updated on the more detailed analysis, understanding 3 that that's our job. So let me open it up for further comments. 4 5 DIRECTOR ASHLEIGH AITKEN: My question -- I mean taking this initiative aside, are we as a Board -- we 6 7 are not allowed to take political positions on 8 initiatives. 9 MR. JOSHUA CAPLAN: That's correct. 10 DIRECTOR ASHLEIGH AITKEN: Right. So I just want to make that clear because if something comes down the 11 12 pipeline eventually that we agree with, we disagree 13 with, we're just not legally allowed to -- while I 14 agree, I'm glad that we're updated. But thank you. 15 CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: Good question. 16 Any other questions to staff on this item or 17 issues? As far as I know, it just needs to continue being on the agenda so that we are kept updated on it. 18 19 There's really no action item. 20 MS. KATHY KRAMER: If I can, for clarification, 21 Chair Mouet, I certainly am not a lawyer, don't want to 2.2 be, don't want to act like one. But so as far as the 23 staff analyzing that initiative, that I would have to 24 ask that we involve Josh and team to understand that and 25 give a report maybe next month of clarification.

1 Because staff can watch what happens in the City Council as far as are there initiatives or changes to it, but 2 3 the legal opinion we'll get Josh to come back and make, if you're okay with that, Josh. 5 MR. JOSHUA CAPLAN: We can talk about it. MS. KATHY KRAMER: Okay. 6 7 DIRECTOR ASHLEIGH AITKEN: Do we need to incur 8 those costs? We can't take a position on it either 9 way --10 CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: Incur --DIRECTOR DOUGLAS LA BELLE: I agree with 11 12 Ashleigh. It's just information only. That's neutral 13 because we can't do --14 DIRECTOR ASHLEIGH AITKEN: While I'm not trying 15 to infringe on your billable work --16 MR. JOSHUA CAPLAN: There is none. 17 DIRECTOR ASHLEIGH AITKEN: -- do we need to incur that cost? If -- and, you know, there's a lot of things 18 19 he needs to work on. We can't take a position either 20 way. We can read in the newspaper. We can get 21 informed. I mean I don't know if that's something that 2.2 we need to have our --23 CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: I think what I heard is in 24 the overall services that the Attorney General has, if 25 staff has, like, you know, does this impact in a legal

1 way, I think that's just day-to-day kind of -- that's 2. what I understand. 3 So, hey, what do you think about this language, Attorney General Office? How does it impact? 4 5 Because it's their job, ongoing services to the DAA. So I'm not -- I'm not --6 DIRECTOR ASHLEIGH AITKEN: 7 (Indiscernible.) 8 CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: I don't think that I'm 9 saying, by the way, do a major project on bringing 10 back -- you know, I think --DIRECTOR ASHLEIGH AITKEN: No. 11 I thought we were asking for independent legal analysis on the pros and 12 13 cons of this initiative, which I just don't think we can -- since we can't make a decision on it, it would be 14 15 pointless. 16 CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: Yeah. 17 VICE CHAIR NICK BERARDINO: And I think it's good to continue the analysis because I don't know if --18 19 anybody here who's lived in Orange County for more than 20 30 years, have you ever seen a land use issue that 21 initiative that people said isn't going to impact 2.2 anybody ultimately impact you? 23 I think that's the story of Orange County development going back to the '70s. And so while I have 24 25 great admiration personally for Mr. Humphrey, you know,

1 when people say on any kind of land use issue in Orange County -- it's a great county -- it isn't going to 2 3 impact you --MR. JAY HUMPHREY: I was talking direct impact, 4 5 i.e., control of what you do, not what could be. VICE CHAIR NICK BERARDINO: Yeah. So I think 6 7 it's good because we -- that's why we have to have our 8 own eyes on it. Because the thing I'm most concerned 9 about reading, this 200-car trigger or 200-trips I want to be sure that doesn't -- I mean if --10 trigger. let's say we had -- you know, built out a great 11 12 educational center here in cooperation with everybody 13 around us and this is -- we have this great opportunity, 14 and it increases with 201 trips or whatever it is. Does 15 that impact us? 16 You know, and I just know that you can ask 17 any Orange County resident that's been around here, land 18 use issues, and people say it ain't gonna impact you --19 (indiscernible). 20 CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: Yeah. I'm going to -- as 21 soon as you're done, Nick, I'm going to call on Doug. 2.2 Anything else, Nick? 23 VICE CHAIR NICK BERARDINO: No. That's it. 24 CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: Doug? 25 DIRECTOR DOUGLAS LA BELLE: I just wanted to

1 comment that I think we need to continue to monitor it. We have no idea what the city's going to come up with in 2 terms of an alternative measure. So I'd like to, you know, have it come back to us for information as 4 5 appropriate every meeting, whatever's necessary. So I think that's a key point. 6 7 And Director Berardino's absolutely right. 8 You know, when you start -- anything that happens 9 relating to the properties or the areas that surround us 10 potentially have an impact on us. CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: Stan? 11 12 DIRECTOR STAN TKACZYK: Josh, help me again. 13 heard that we can't really do anything. But if there's 14 something that does impact us, can we do anything? 15 MR. JOSHUA CAPLAN: No. The Board generally 16 can't -- that would be considered grass roots 17 campaigning. And as a matter of state law, the Board is 18 prohibited from doing that. 19 DIRECTOR STAN TKACZYK: So the only thing we can do is look? 20 21 MR. JOSHUA CAPLAN: Yes. The Board can look, and 22 certainly from -- the Board has authority to do things at a state level. For example, you've already retained 23 24 the services of Gonsalves & Son to essentially be a 25 lobbyist to look into certain interests on behalf of the

1 Board. If there is interest that you want to look at 2 3 beyond state law, it's something that we have to look into. But certainly the Board can't take an up or down 4 5 vote whether they support the initiative or not. DIRECTOR STAN TKACZYK: So we really -- it's just 6 7 hands-off look, listen? 8 MR. JOSHUA CAPLAN: And determine how, if at all, 9 if would affect the DAA. 10 DIRECTOR STAN TKACZYK: And then our only recourse would be legislative action recourse? 11 12 CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: I don't think we know yet. 13 DIRECTOR STAN TKACZYK: No. I'm not suggesting 14 I just want to know when we do know what we anything. 15 could do because I heard we couldn't do anything. 16 he just verified --17 CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: Yeah. I think from a political campaigning, like taking a stand we're for 18 19 this or against that. But my sense is that 20 operationally, there's some issues that is going to 21 infringe on some of the abilities that we have as the 2.2 DAA, then we work with legal counsel and staff and all 23 that and bring it back to the Board. 24 That's not necessarily making -- taking an 25 It's just kind of wanting clarity and seeing how issue.

if it might infringe on some of the things that we're 1 2 already kind of expected to do. See what I'm saying? DIRECTOR STAN TKACZYK: I get what you just said. 3 And then I guess what I was asking is if the conclusion 4 5 is it does, we can't do anything. DIRECTOR ASHLEIGH AITKEN: You can write a 6 7 strongly worded letter. 8 DIRECTOR STAN TKACZYK: That's what I'm saying. 9 That's what I heard him say. 10 CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: Why don't you repeat what 11 you just said? 12 DIRECTOR ASHLEIGH AITKEN: No. I mean we can 13 write a strongly worded letter. 14 DIRECTOR STAN TKACZYK: So we can't do anything. 15 DIRECTOR NICK BERARDINO: Or we can seek 16 legislative --17 DIRECTOR STAN TKACZYK: Yeah. If it's appropriate. 18 19 DIRECTOR ASHLEIGH AITKEN: We can't get involved with local elections. We can't get involved in local 20 21 initiatives. We can't -- as a Board. I mean 22 individually we are allowed to do what we want to do. 23 As a Board, we cannot support a mayoral candidate 24 that -- we just can't do that. 25 DIRECTOR DOUGLAS LA BELLE: Yeah.

1 individually we can. 2 DIRECTOR ASHLEIGH AITKEN: Individually, you can, 3 yes. DIRECTOR DOUGLAS LA BELLE: And as a Costa Mesa 4 5 resident, I want to preserve that right to speak as a resident. 6 7 DIRECTOR ASHLEIGH AITKEN: You are allowed to 8 vote. 9 CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: No. Absolutely. 10 Absolutely. DIRECTOR STAN TKACZYK: No. I just wanted 11 12 clarity, again. It's been really very informative, this 13 meeting. 14 CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: Very good discussion. 15 Any other questions? There's really no 16 I think it just continues to be something that we monitor and -- and, you know, learn about what's 17 18 going on. 19 Okay. With that in mind, I think, having 20 finished, I think, 9B, we're going to go to 9C. 9C, as it reads, is Board of Directors All Access Policy. It's 21 2.2 an action item. Let me turn it over to Kathy. 23 MS. KATHY KRAMER: Okay. Thank you. 24 At the April Board Meeting, Vice Chair 25 Berardino asked staff to agendize a discussion creating

an all-access policy for the Board of Directors. This policy is for access for the Board Members only to any year-round event or specific areas on the property.

As part of creating this policy, staff has made some recommendations on the implementation of how this logistically would work. And I'll just pull the highlights of the bullet points.

We're asking that the Board Members wanting to come on property and see something through that lens as not just a general attendee coordinate this with Gate 5 with our security and safety office. If that's closed, we've got a phone number that's answered 24 hours a day.

Safety and security can be -- play a key role in assisting the Board Members with parking. And also coordinate -- that coordination point's going to be critical because there's going to be -- the safety and security staff will be apprised of areas that may be hazardous, high traffic, or have some impact.

We're also recommending that at this point the safety and security staff can escort the visiting Board Members to assist with event entry -- we might have some areas that would be secured -- and assist the Board Members to make sure we're not getting into any potential areas.

1 We are asking that in an instant in which 2 Board Members wish to bring a quest, that we arrange a 3 site visit, that my office be coordinated as part of that. 5 We have taken the liberty to provide an all-access badge. There you go. Perfect. 6 So it's a 7 photo I.D. It does say "All Access." And we're asking 8 that -- Nick's got on his own. He's ready to go. 9 would make this -- we'll renew this badge. It will be 10 coterminous with the renewal of our employee badge. So 11 in January everyone will be getting a new badge for the 12 next year. 13 So any questions or comments around this? 14 DIRECTOR BARBARA BAGNERIS: I have a question. 15 So we don't need a fair badge now? 16 MS. KATHY KRAMER: I don't think so. 17 CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: I quess --DIRECTOR BARBARA BAGNERIS: This is it. 18 MS. KATHY KRAMER: This is all access. 19 20 DIRECTOR BARBARA BAGNERIS: We used to get a fair 21 badge. 2.2 MS. KATHY KRAMER: You're going to get another 23 one at fair time, Director Bagneris, that will be an 24 oversize -- almost about like what Nick's wearing with 25 Fight Club, a little bit bigger, that will give you the

1 Pacific Amphitheater All Access Pass. So you'll need this with a photo I.D., and you'll be given the Pacific 2 3 Amphitheater pass. 4 CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: I think this is a good 5 thing. Any other comments or questions? 6 7 The only thing is that I -- I keep on telling 8 my -- when I visit my 87-year-old mom in San Diego, I'm 9 keeping the beard. So I think I'm going to have to 10 figure out how to get a new photo for this. MS. KATHY KRAMER: You can step right out --11 12 (Multiple overlapping speakers.) 13 MS. KATHY KRAMER: -- and we'll be glad to take 14 your photo. 15 DIRECTOR ASHLEIGH AITKEN: This is two hair 16 colors ago. 17 (Multiple overlapping speakers.) 18 MS. KATHY KRAMER: For those of you who wish to 19 update your vanity photos H.R. -- (multiple overlapping 20 speakers) -- will be glad to assist you. 21 DIRECTOR ASHLEIGH AITKEN: I'm not getting 2.2 anywhere with this. 23 MS. KATHY KRAMER: So if the Board moves to 24 approve this, the staff will adopt the policy and make 25 it part of the policy -- part of the Board policy.

1	DIRECTOR SANDRA CERVANTES: So moved.
2	DIRECTOR ASHLEIGH AITKEN: Second.
3	CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: It's been first by Sandra
4	and second by Ashleigh.
5	Jessica, call for vote.
6	BOARD CLERK JESSICA ZIMMERMAN: Chair Mouet?
7	CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: Yes.
8	BOARD CLERK JESSICA ZIMMERMAN: Vice Chair
9	Berardino?
10	VICE CHAIR NICK BERARDINO: With appreciation and
11	thank you for doing it, yes.
12	BOARD CLERK JESSICA ZIMMERMAN: Director Aitken?
13	DIRECTOR ASHLEIGH AITKEN: Yes.
14	BOARD CLERK JESSICA ZIMMERMAN: Director Tkaczyk?
15	DIRECTOR STAN TKACZYK: Yes.
16	BOARD CLERK JESSICA ZIMMERMAN: Director
17	La Belle?
18	DIRECTOR DOUGLAS LA BELLE: Yes.
19	BOARD CLERK JESSICA ZIMMERMAN: Director
20	Bagneris?
21	DIRECTOR BARBARA BAGNERIS: Yes.
22	BOARD CLERK JESSICA ZIMMERMAN: Director
23	Cervantes?
24	DIRECTOR SANDRA CERVANTES: Yes.
25	BOARD CLERK JESSICA ZIMMERMAN: Director Pham?

1 DIRECTOR NEWTON PHAM: Yes. CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: Motion passes. Thank you 2 3 so much. We're now going to 9D, and it's the approval 4 5 to release the Master Plan RFP. 6 Kathy? 7 MS. KATHY KRAMER: I'm going to turn this over to 8 Ken Karns, our VP of Operations. 9 Thanks, Kathy. MR. KEN KARNS: 10 I'll give you some background information 11 with regards to the approval of a Master Site Plan 12 request for proposal. In 2001 the OC Fair & Event 13 Center started a Master Site Plan request for proposal 14 process, and in 2003 a Master Plan was approved by the 15 Board of Directors. The Master Plan was a vision for 16 the fairgrounds for the next 10 to 12 years, and that 17 took us to 2015. As part of this, we will be reviewing the accomplishments of that Master Site Plan to see what 18 19 is still relevant today. 20 The anticipated time line for the new Master 21 Site Plan request for proposal is to have an RFP in the 22 market on around July 7th, 2016, with an anticipated award date of October 17, 2016. And we carefully chose 23 24 this time line to allow for prospective proponents to 25 attend this year's annual fair.

1 So we are looking forward to working with this Board and the newly formed Master Site Plan 2 committee over the next 16 months on what will be an 3 exciting process. 4 5 In addition, this time was put forth because we really want to see a very robust stakeholder set of 6 7 Inside the current budget, \$200,000 was meetings. 8 approved. And we really see this undertaking as 9 tremendously significant in providing a blueprint for 10 the new future of the OC Fair & Event Center. So there is a recommendation from staff to 11 12 have the Board approve a release of the Master Site Plan 13 for 2015. DIRECTOR SANDRA CERVANTES: 14 So moved. 15 DIRECTOR NICK BERARDINO: Second. 16 CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: Any discussion, any 17 questions? Doug. 18 DIRECTOR DOUGLAS LA BELLE: Yes. If I could, when Robert and I met with Kathy and Ken, I gave them my 19 20 thoughts in terms of the process. And this is really an 21 update of the existing Master Plan. I'm sure there will 2.2 be -- pardon me -- some changes. 23 But I would like to -- to move towards having 24 the process completed prior to next year's fair. If we 25 start in October, I would think we've got eight to nine

1 months to conclude it by June of next year. And I think that is something we ought to look at. 2 3 One of the things I think is going to be important is early on having a discussion by the full 4 5 Board as to what we see individually and collectively as priorities that we have for the Master Plan, and I'd 6 like to request that we agendize for the next meeting a 8 brief discussion where we can get an update from staff 9 as to where we are in the current projects and begin the 10 dialogue by the Board in terms of things that we would like to look at in terms of the -- the updating process. 11 CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: Yeah. This would be for 12 13 June 23rd? DIRECTOR DOUGLAS LA BELLE: 14 Yes. 15 CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: And the type of discussion 16 that you think is needed is talking about, like, 17 projects or physical needs? 18 DIRECTOR DOUGLAS LA BELLE: What I'd like to see is -- we, obviously, have a Master Plan now, and it's in 19 20 various stages of implementation. I'd like to get an 21 update from staff as to where we stand on that. 2.2 CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: Okay. I see. 23 DIRECTOR DOUGLAS LA BELLE: And then that would 24 give this Board an opportunity to share some thoughts on 25 things that we would like to begin looking at in terms

1 of the future. CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: I think, actually, the 2. 3 suggestion of reminding all of us of the existing Master Plan and what the scope was and the progress it has had, 4 5 et cetera, is a good, healthy thing. Is that something that staff might be able to be ready to do -- to review 6 7 the existing Master Plan, the current one that we have, 8 on June 23rd? 9 MR. KEN KARNS: If that's the direction you're 10 giving, we will have to have it done. CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: Yeah. 11 VICE CHAIR NICK BERARDINO: Right answer. 12 13 DIRECTOR DOUGLAS LA BELLE: Good answer, Ken. 14 Also, if there are any concerns that we have 15 in terms of requirements that have been imposed upon us by past actions, I think we ought to know what those 16 17 constraints are too. 18 CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: That's a good question, as well, I mean a good thought, as well. 19 20 DIRECTOR DOUGLAS LA BELLE: And I think Reggie 21 raised that issue at a previous meeting, and I think we 2.2 ought to look at that and see what -- things can change, 23 obviously. But if there are things in place that we 24 need to be aware of, we should be looking at that up 25 front.

1 CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: And I'm going to call -did someone -- I'm going to call on Stan. I'm not sure 2 3 if anybody beat -- raised their hand before Stan. But Stan. 4 5 DIRECTOR STAN TKACZYK: Doug, what you said, I just wanted just some clarification from you. You 6 7 mentioned about doing a report before the fair, and I 8 know what Ken just said was different, was after the 9 fair. 10 And I'm -- I'm all for doing things quickly, 11 but --DIRECTOR DOUGLAS LA BELLE: No. I don't want to 12 13 create any additional work for staff. But just give us 14 an update on where we stand now in terms of the 15 projects. And then we, as a Board, can have --16 DIRECTOR STAN TKACZYK: Where I'm going -- where 17 I was going -- I hope I'm making myself clear. You mentioned that you were -- the date that you were 18 looking at was, I think, I'm going to say what I heard, 19 20 like the end of the year you wanted it to be done. 21 CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: Before the --2.2 DIRECTOR DOUGLAS LA BELLE: The award of the RFP 23 would be at the October meeting of the Board. 24 DIRECTOR STAN TKACZYK: October meeting of the 25 Board. And then the process --

1 MR. KEN KARNS: A 16-month process. takes us to the end of -- or December 2018. 2 3 DIRECTOR STAN TKACZYK: But you mentioned. MR. KEN KARNS: Sorry. '17. 4 5 DIRECTOR DOUGLAS LA BELLE: This is my city manager background coming out. I'd like to have it done 6 7 sooner rather than later. It's really an update. 8 mean we're not going back and remaking the wheel, not 9 that there won't be changes. And I -- I think the 10 sooner we can get that accomplished, the better off we're going to be. 11 12 CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: The -- by June 2017, we 13 probably need -- by December 2017 is what I'm hearing 14 there's this -- we need a meeting of the minds, which is 15 why we have a task force. 16 DIRECTOR STAN TKACZYK: What date are you --17 MR. KEN KARNS: So for clarity, a 16-month process in its entirety. When we look at spelling it 18 19 out, it took us to June 1, 2018, for two goals, casting 20 a very wide net on broad, robust stakeholder meetings. 21 Part of all the things that we want to do to include the 2.2 public and all our stakeholders to have the time for 23 properly vetting and really getting out there. 24 The June date also gives us time to then have 25 the Board approve a new Master Site Plan and then

prioritize what might be the first project we undertake, and it would be included in the budgeting process. So that's the part of the thinking for that time line.

DIRECTOR STAN TKACZYK: So I was going to state I appreciate what you brought up. But having gone through the meeting that we went through today with the concerns of the neighborhoods and all that, boy, if there's one thing I don't want to err on is not enough outreach to our community and to our stakeholders here.

So if staff is saying that they want to go this distance, I would hope maybe we could consider what they're suggesting.

DIRECTOR DOUGLAS LA BELLE: Well, I don't disagree with the stakeholders. That's absolutely important. What I'm saying is that I think it could be done sooner rather than later. I think it's a priority that the Board can set saying, you know, we can have the stakeholder meeting every week for the next 12 months if we need to.

And I just think that we're in a point now where we're doing certain things in terms of the Master Plan. Maybe we ought to put all that on hold until we have this.

And my main concern is that we, as a Board, should have a dialogue early on as to where we want to

1 take this thing. And I feel very strongly about that. CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: Yeah. I hear that. 2 3 DIRECTOR STAN TKACZYK: I get all that dialogue before. I think that's great. I'm just concerned that 4 5 we are putting the pressure on staff to get it done and not have maybe skipped some meetings and things like 6 7 that. Again, I'm oversensitive to what we heard today, 8 and I just want to make sure the general public is fully 9 apprised. 10 CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: That's a very good 11 question. 12 Barbara, did you have your hand up first? 13 DIRECTOR BARBARA BAGNERIS: I think Ashleigh beat 14 me. 15 DIRECTOR ASHLEIGH AITKEN: If I understand, I 16 think are you asking that the staff's report to us as to 17 what we're looking for and what the priorities are happen after fair time so that we don't take resources 18 19 away from the run-up of the fair since it's a month-long 20 thing. 21 We're more than 50 days to fair time. 2.2 want to add that burden to staff at this point? Because I -- I tend to think I agree with you in that I would 23 24 like to have this discussion after fair, maybe at our 25 September meeting. Would that be okay if we have it --

1 because I'm just very concerned. We have a lot of things happening on this property in the next 50 days. 2 DIRECTOR DOUGLAS LA BELLE: And I'm comfortable 3 with that. What I don't want to have happen is, you 4 5 know, award a contract in terms of the update, and we have not had a discussion as a Board as to what 6 7 direction we want to go in. And if that's going to 8 occur in October, we can have the update in September. 9 CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: So here's -- here's what I 10 think is -- I heard that there is an expected release of the RFP by July the 7th; is that correct? 11 MR. KEN KARNS: That's correct. 12 13 CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: That's what I heard. The 14 value -- not to take too much time. Okay. The value of 15 reviewing the existing Master Plan which exists is just 16 kind of reviewing what it said and what has been done 17 and what hasn't been done with regards to the Master Plan I think is a reasonable, doable thing for the 18 19 June 23rd date. But then -- then staff is tasked to release 20 21 an RFP, and the RFP is usually the basis of an agreement 2.2 once you issue it. And so, therefore, it needs to be -if we're going to meet the deadline of releasing the RFP 23 24 in July, some level of discussion makes sense from the 25 Board because something is going to be written out to

1 the world and released in July. DIRECTOR ASHLEIGH AITKEN: I quess my follow-up 2 3 is is there a reason we need to release it July 7th? Can we release it October 7th after our September 5 meeting? CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: Well, it just pushes all 6 7 the time line. That's --8 DIRECTOR ASHLEIGH AITKEN: I mean we've been 9 talking about this for four years, since I've been on 10 the Board. Is six months really -- I'm just concerned that you are -- it's not a burden on us to listen to the 11 12 report, but it's going to be an enormous burden on staff 13 to put on what they -- they put on A-plus presentations. 14 And for them to put on an A-plus presentation reviewing 15 an entire Master Plan is going to be pretty much -- it's 16 going to be more than a part-time job for the next four 17 weeks. Again, I am concerned that I don't -- I don't 18 think kicking this down the road six months -- maybe we 19 20 just don't release the RFP until September. But that's 21 a priority of the Board to have the discussion before we 2.2 release the RFP. 23 CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: I'm just saying agendizing 24 it for June 23rd for having another opportunity to 25 discuss and understand, not -- not a major, like, oh, my

1 gosh, you know, detailed --DIRECTOR DOUGLAS LA BELLE: Where are we at now? 2. 3 What's the status? CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: Right. Where are we at 4 5 now? I don't foresee that as -- like I have a zoo Master Plan, where are we on the zoo Master Plan. 6 I'm 7 It exists. It's a document. You going to do that. 8 basically share -- and, by the way, that's been taken 9 That's the level I'm talking about. 10 Then I believe -- staff has just told us 11 they're prepared to release on July the 7th. That's 12 what I heard, you know, and the -- I want to respect 13 staff's suggestion, and I want to respect the Board 14 Members saying can we just get an update where we're at 15 on the Master Plan. I don't think that's like a heavy level workload. 16 17 DIRECTOR DOUGLAS LA BELLE: Well, and if I could say I think the intent that Kathy and Ken have is that 18 when they drafted the RFP, that Robert and I will be 19 20 given the opportunity as a Board committee to review 21 that RFP. 2.2 So I would just like to have -- before that 23 thing hits the street, I would like to have some 24 discussion by this Board as to where are we trying to 25 get to.

1 CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: Yes. 2 DIRECTOR STAN TKACZYK: You're next. 3 DIRECTOR BARBARA BAGNERIS: This is quick. going to say we should have had this discussion before 4 5 2015 ended so -- because it ended in 12 years. So we're probably late doing this. As well, we've been talking 6 7 about since I've been on the Board. 8 So -- but my only comment, I agree with Doug 9 that at the next meeting we have an update because I 10 think we should see and be able to talk about this before the July 7th release. That's all. 11 12 CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: Yeah. I mean -- so Stan 13 and then Ashleigh. 14 DIRECTOR STAN TKACZYK: Okay. Now I'm going to 15 question what I think I'm hearing. Okay. 16 really -- or I was talking about the final date. That's 17 what I was talking about. 18 CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: Yeah. 19 DIRECTOR STAN TKACZYK: The final date. And what 20 I heard Doug say, I thought, was a date maybe six months 21 before staff's date. 2.2 DIRECTOR DOUGLAS LA BELLE: Yeah. What T --23 again, as one Board Member, my thought is we're not 24 remaking the wheel. We're going out and evaluating 25 what's out there now, and we're going to update it.

1 I just think between October of this year when the contract is awarded and June of next year we 2 3 ought to have the Master Plan update completed. that mean we'll have all the environmental done relative 5 to a given project? Not necessarily. But we at least ought to have that framework as to where we want to get 6 7 to. And I think nine months is more than enough time to 8 do that with all kinds of stakeholder meetings every 9 week, if necessary. 10 DIRECTOR STAN TKACZYK: Okay. Now I'm looking at 11 staff, and now I'm defining what the issue may be. 12 you're hoping to have more time? 13 MR. KEN KARNS: So, if I can, there's three 14 issues. One, if by the June Board Meeting, done, done, 15 done, not done, not done, don't know if it's relevant or 16 not anymore, but this got done, over the last ten years 17 this didn't get done, a one page. 18 Two, the process that gets us to July 7th, on or about, you got about a week of flexibility to hit the 19

Two, the process that gets us to July /th, on or about, you got about a week of flexibility to hit the fair date of having our stakeholders here. We're prepared to deliver inside that process of getting us to on or about July 7th, absolutely the committee will have a view of the draft and their input back to staff on the RFP draft.

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

And we also anticipate the entire Board

seeing that draft before it hit the street. So you will
see inside the RFP the vast number of stakeholder
meetings that we're anticipating on a global -- so it
will give you a real insight into how robust this might
be. So you'll get to see it, and then you'll get to
have some discussions.

The very first stakeholder meeting, once approved and it's on the street and we have a proponent working with us, would be a public Board-stakeholder meeting that's inside the RFP. And any other meetings that you want to have you'll have an opportunity for inside the RFP.

2.2

The last thing that's being debated is the time line of having us take us — because by the time October hits and you execute a contract, we might be at the end of the year. We're into budgeting and those kind of things. You might start the stakeholder meetings in January. A year, a second fair, and then by the time a Master Site Plan is approved, you're into 2018, and you start the budgeting process. So that's just the thought process. So the difference is having 16 months versus six.

CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: Yeah. I have -- there will probably be more discussion, but I have a point to share on that.

Are there any other comments or questions on this? Because -- so staff is saying they're prepared to deliver on the release of an RFP. They said this on July the 7th.

I think it's clear that Robert and Doug as the committee members for the Board, for now the new Master Plan Committee, is going to be meeting and having an early review of the draft with staff. And they're going to have an opportunity to talk about the mechanics with regards to the end date, the final deliver. And I believe that the committee's going to have an opportunity to have a discussion and hopefully having a meeting of the minds.

So we're a little -- there's no draft yet.

We don't know all of the particular things. But having
a one-pager -- that's what I had in mind, a one-pager -on where we're at with regards to the existing Master

Plan is not a bad thing, and I don't think it will be a
heavy burden on staff. That's just my opinion.

I mean speak up now. But, you know, it's -it's actually something that one has to continually do
on an ongoing basis. It is our Master Plan. We should
probably know right away what has been done and what
hasn't been done. That's good public information.

And then if for some reason staff believes,

1 you know what? We now know something and all that and we thought we were going to be able to release July 7 2. and we don't -- I don't know. But right now they're 3 saying they can release on July the 7th. Difference of 4 5 opinions on when the deliverable date is going to be from Doug and staff. But I don't know if we know enough 6 7 to conclude one way or the other right now on that 8 because they're going -- they have to meet and review 9 the draft; right? Am I missing something? 10 DIRECTOR DOUGLAS LA BELLE: That's a very good point, Gerardo. And I think it is wise to work towards 11 12 that July date for -- for transmitting the RFP because 13 that gives the promoters an opportunity or the proposers 14 an opportunity to observe the operations during the 15 And I think it will -- you know, it will --16 CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: Because July 7th is staff's 17 suggestion. 18 MR. KEN KARNS: Within a week. We have a week flexibility and still encompass fair. 19 20 DIRECTOR STAN TKACZYK: My issue was the end 21 date. 2.2 CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: Yeah. I get it. 23 DIRECTOR STAN TKACZYK: Because having 24 experienced what we did today, I just want to over be 25 sure that the general public and our stakeholders --

1 there's enough time because I don't want us -- I don't 2 want to hear from anybody in the general public that we 3 didn't take the time to inform them. I just don't want --5 CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: I have a feeling the end date is going to be heavily discussed by Robert, Doug, 6 7 and staff. That's my sense. 8 DIRECTOR STAN TKACZYK: Okay. That's all. 9 DIRECTOR DOUGLAS LA BELLE: And there's no intent 10 to -- (indiscernible). 11 DIRECTOR STAN TKACZYK: I'm not suggesting that 12 at all. I just don't want to get us in a schedule that 13 superficially puts us in a position to produce something 14 and then not get the stakeholders involved because, oh, 15 we have a date we have to do. That's what I don't want 16 to see. 17 DIRECTOR DOUGLAS LA BELLE: I think it's the scope of work that ends up in the RFP that's going to 18 19 dictate the end of the process. 20 CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: Yeah. 21 DIRECTOR STAN TKACZYK: So I guess we can kind of 22 say it's work in progress? 23 CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: Yeah. I mean I'm familiar 24 with giving -- giving an end date to a consultant to do 25 a Master Plan and the consultant coming back to the

1 mayor and council and saying, "You know what? Because of this, because of that, we're going to need more 2 time." 3 And then the council has the ability to -- I 4 5 mean I'm very familiar with that. And you write RFPs in order to be able to provide you with that flexibility 6 7 because you never know what's discovered. You never 8 I'm very familiar with that kind of thing. 9 Anyway, I think what's on the table was the 10 staff recommendation of proceeding with the goal of working towards releasing the RFP on July the 7th. And 11 I think it's very clear there's going to be committee 12 13 meetings on this item, and I think it's an item to be 14 voted on according to the agenda. 15 DIRECTOR STAN TKACZYK: So is it going to be 16 there's no end date, and you were just going to let it 17 develop itself? 18 CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: No. I think probably on June 23rd we're going to hear a little bit more. I have 19 20 a feeling the committee's going to be meeting. We'll hear a little bit more if there's agreement or why 21 2.2 there's agreement on the end date or not. 23 You know, but what I've heard is staff is 24 working towards releasing the RFP, this is what their

recommendation is, et cetera.

25

1	DIRECTOR STAN TKACZYK: Right.
2	CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: And so I think we'll learn
3	more about your concern about the end date, I think,
4	on
5	DIRECTOR STAN TKACZYK: Okay.
6	CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: because you'll end up
7	knowing more when staff is writing the RFP. So number
8	of outreach meetings and so forth
9	DIRECTOR STAN TKACZYK: So we're not voting on an
10	end date?
11	CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: No. We're voting on
12	release on July the 7th, give or take.
13	VICE CHAIR NICK BERARDINO: Now I'm confused. I
14	don't know what we're voting on.
15	DIRECTOR STAN TKACZYK: Vote the way I vote.
16	VICE CHAIR NICK BERARDINO: Okay. That sounds
17	good. I thought we were voting on Doug's motion. Can
18	you read back the motion that Doug made?
19	CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: Did you make a motion,
20	Doug?
21	BOARD CLERK JESSICA ZIMMERMAN: No. He didn't
22	make a motion.
23	DIRECTOR DOUGLAS LA BELLE: Not in the form of a
24	motion. But my request was we agendize this for the
25	23rd, and then we have a dialogue regarding the status

1 of the matter. CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: I believe that's the 2. 3 discussion of the Chair. And I'm asking that one-pager -- I'm asking staff to put it on the agenda. 4 5 So I agree with Doug. There's not -- you know, unless you tell me, wow, it's going to really put 6 7 a damper on the ability to do this one-page update, 8 maybe a two-pager. But the -- I don't see anything 9 wrong with doing that. 10 It's like, you know what? Make a copy of the existing Master Plan and bring it to us. It's like 11 12 that. And, by the way, the things that are highlighted 13 have been done. I mean that's what I'm talking about. That's the level of presentation. 14 15 DIRECTOR DOUGLAS LA BELLE: That's part of the 16 budget document we have, anyway. So --17 CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: Yes. So that's his recommendation. As a Board Member, I don't have a 18 19 problem. And what staff is saying, can we go ahead and 20 proceed and aim for July 7 for release of the RFP, 21 that's really what's on the table. 2.2 DIRECTOR BARBARA BAGNERIS: So barring anything that might cause us to delay July 7, I move that we 23 24 approve the release of the Master Site Plan RFP for 25 July 7th.

1	CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: That's a that motion has
2	been made.
3	DIRECTOR DOUGLAS LA BELLE: Second.
4	CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: It's been second.
5	Jessica, if you can call for a vote.
6	BOARD CLERK JESSICA ZIMMERMAN: Chair Mouet?
7	CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: Yes.
8	BOARD CLERK JESSICA ZIMMERMAN: Vice Chair
9	Berardino?
10	VICE CHAIR NICK BERARDINO: Yes.
11	BOARD CLERK JESSICA ZIMMERMAN: Director Aitken?
12	DIRECTOR ASHLEIGH AITKEN: Begrudgingly, yes.
13	DIRECTOR STAN TKACZYK: Yes.
14	BOARD CLERK JESSICA ZIMMERMAN: Director Tkaczyk?
15	Director Tkaczyk?
16	DIRECTOR STAN TKACZYK: I said, "Yes."
17	BOARD CLERK JESSICA ZIMMERMAN: Director
18	La Belle?
19	DIRECTOR DOUGLAS LA BELLE: Yes.
20	BOARD CLERK JESSICA ZIMMERMAN: Director
21	Bagneris?
22	DIRECTOR BARBARA BAGNERIS: Yes.
23	BOARD CLERK JESSICA ZIMMERMAN: Director
24	Cervantes?
25	DIRECTOR SANDRA CERVANTES: Yes.

1 BOARD CLERK JESSICA ZIMMERMAN: Director Pham? 2 DIRECTOR NEWTON PHAM: Yes. 3 CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: Motion passes unanimously. Thank you. 4 5 DIRECTOR ASHLEIGH AITKEN: Begrudgingly. CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: I have a feeling Robert 6 7 would too, but who knows? 8 We finished 9E already. Moving along to 9F. 9 9F, as it reads, is discussion on providing concert 10 tickets and business development dinners to foundations and volunteers during fair time. It's an information 11 12 item. Pass it over to Kathy. 13 MS. KATHY KRAMER: Thank you, Chair Mouet. 14 This item -- Vice Chair Berardino requested 15 this item be placed on the agenda for the Board of 16 Directors discussion. This is a request to discuss 17 offering concert tickets, business development dinners, 18 and/or fair date admission tickets to our two foundation 19 Board of Directors and volunteers. 20 As a matter of background, we have presented 21 the Centennial Farm Foundation with a draft MOU that 2.2 under -- that is under review by their Board of 23 Directors. It is staff's intent to finalize the 24 Centennial Farm Foundation MOU and then use that 25 document as a template for creating the MOU for the

1 Heroes Hall Veterans Foundation. The Centennial Farm -- I just pulled an 2 3 excerpt as it relates to what is on the table related to comps, and that particular MOU that's in a draft form 4 5 says, the Centennial Farm Foundation currently provides -- may provide each active foundation board 6 7 member one complimentary pass to the District's annual 8 fair -- that means they can -- the entire time they can 9 come as many times as they want -- which pass may 10 include an F lot -- that's directly off the administration -- parking hangtag. 11 12 The type and quantity of complimentary pass 13 will be assessed by the District on an annual basis and 14 shall comply with California law and the District's 15 ticket distribution policy. 16 That is directly from the current draft MOU 17 just as a point of background for conversation. 18 CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: Yes. 19 VICE CHAIR NICK BERARDINO: Mr. Chairman, I asked 20 this be put on the agenda because I think for both of 21 these foundations -- and when we learned that legally we 2.2 can do it, it doesn't require Board action. 23 So that being said, I wanted to find a way 24 to -- for the -- people that are volunteering, doing 25 things that add value to the organization, we have to

1 reach out to them and -- and thank them. And one of the things that -- and I -- I'm 2. not familiar with -- I'm not familiar with the 3 Centennial Farm Foundation, so I don't know. But I know 5 the people both on the Heroes Hall Foundation Board and the support people, I mean, spend countless hours 6 7 trying -- I mean we have people here, and I keep 8 bringing it up because I'm just beyond irritated by it. 9 We have people here who come on taxpayer 10 property with their own private business entities and take millions out. We don't have a lot of people coming 11 12 here putting money in, you know, and -- or -- or going 13 after money to help. 14 And so my thought was that -- and I didn't 15 want to -- the reason why I asked, even though we can 16 legally do this, I just wanted to be sure as more of, 17 you know, discussion that the Board is comfortable with 18 these two foundations. 19 What I thought were the people that were on 20 the foundations that have worked and they volunteer 21 their time, that we do something for them, and they 2.2 would get two tickets to two concerts. 23 The support volunteers -- you know, somebody 24 did the foundation's taxes. I mean taxes. Their own

time to do the foundation's taxes to help because we're

25

bringing money in to help. And you'll hear a little bit later the kinds of things I hope the foundations will both do to enhance the grounds and give back instead of take. And so I wanted to have a way to do that.

1

2.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So the only way that I think we can -- the reason why I singled out the two foundations for the first time, it's really kind of a matter of time. would hope later on that -- and not now because there isn't the time, but that we figure out a way for volunteers -- because there's a lot of other people that volunteer here, that give, and that we figure out a way to set some sort of standard that maybe -- and this is just if you do 50 hours of volunteer time to help, you get one ticket or you get two tickets or whatever, you know, the case may be, that we have a standard so that we're recognizing and providing value not just to those who take from this place in a huge way and take from public property in a huge way -- it's a whole industry, and I won't even go into that -- but for those people that give, that they too are rewarded.

So for now I'm just saying the two foundation boards, the one group of support people that have done a lot of work, like doing taxes, the person that takes the minutes and does all that on their own time, we have those people identified. They'll get that. And then

for the next fair that we look at an initiative of
really doing something to thank and show gratitude to
the givers. God bless us. We do enough for the takers
around here, but for the givers. So that's why I

CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: Let me call on Barbara.

2.2

brought it up.

DIRECTOR BARBARA BAGNERIS: Yeah. I am not comfortable with this for a lot of reasons. One, I think you open Pandora's box.

You say foundations and volunteers. Is that a hundred people who are going to be dipping into the proceeds from the Pac Amp? We are giving back when we give you tickets, entry to the fair for the duration of the fair. When we give you special parking privileges, we are giving back.

The foundations, I can see Centennial Farm.

They're servicing Centennial Farm. So that reward should be from Centennial Farm, access to Centennial Farm, whatever. Same thing for Heroes Hall. Maybe free access to the Heroes Hall, whatever. Not access to the entire fair operation.

I just don't think that's fair, and I think we're going to open Pandora's box. Other people will come in and want this. Again, we'll be taking from the proceeds from our fair operation when we do that.

1	So I really, really, really am struggling
2	with this, and I don't think it's a fair thing. I only
3	get two tickets to the concert. I don't go to all the
4	concerts, and I only get two. So now other people
5	the people who are servicing Heroes Hall are going to
6	get the same thing I'm going to get?
7	So I have a struggle with this whole concept,
8	and I think this is something we don't want to deal with
9	right now. We don't even have Heroes Hall up and
10	running. So I think we should wait on that.
11	Then you have to have criteria. This person
12	did 50 hours. This person did 20 hours. This person
13	gave this time. This board member didn't do anything.
14	We never saw this board member didn't even attend a
15	meeting. But all of a sudden, we're going to give them
16	some benefit just because they sit on the board.
17	So I'm really struggling with this. I'm not
18	in favor of something like that at this time.
19	CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: Thank you, Barbara, for
20	your comments.
21	Other other Board Member comments? Yes.
22	DIRECTOR ASHLEIGH AITKEN: And I had some of the
23	same concerns, especially when we're going to up the
24	ante with concert tickets and whatnot.
25	People volunteer out of their heart. And

either you're going to volunteer or you're not going to 1 volunteer, but I don't want to be in the position where 2 this Board is valuing people's volunteer hours whether to Heroes Hall, the docents that run Centennial Farm, 5 and there are a lot of them, you know, that's overvalued, or I think that's more important and this is 6 7 more important. 8 I do think we have the ability, and they do, if you're a docent, don't they get fair admission? 9 10 get fair tickets? MS. KATHY KRAMER: I don't know that for sure. 11 I'll defer to staff. 12 13 Michele? 14 MS. MICHELE RICHARDS: I don't believe so, no. 15 There are some of them that volunteer during the fair, 16 so they have a credential -- a working credential to get 17 in. 18 DIRECTOR ASHLEIGH AITKEN: Yeah. I'm --19

obviously, I'm okay if you're volunteering during the fair. But I think we need to be really careful about how many tickets we're giving out to the fair and how we're valuing people's work.

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

I also think to the extent that we are giving up to 4 percent of these courtesy fair admissions, I would like to have that -- I mean I know it's public,

1 but I would like to have that also post fair brought to our attention as to who are we giving free tickets to 2 3 and why so that we can explain that to the public. Because 4 percent of our fair, that's a lot 4 5 of people. That's a lot of people. And I would like to know and have it be something we discuss who are those 6 7 people, why are they getting it, and where are they 8 going? I know we have that -- the whole business 9 development dinner area, I think that that should be 10 more brought to the forefront as to who are we letting 11 in and why. 12 MS. KATHY KRAMER: If I may, a clarification, 13 that is part of up to 4 percent. We'll come back. I 14 don't have those numbers right now to know if we're even 15 close to that. But we have to stay within that 16 4 percent. And I'd imagine that's a pretty big number 17 when you're looking at 1.3 million people. 18 So we can get that number, what we are doing 19 currently, with our -- and that -- that's what you're 20 talking about, Ashleigh, is specific to the gate ticket 21 admission. It has nothing to do with the concert 2.2 ticket. It's a gate -- fair gate admission. 23 CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: Are there -- Stan and then 24 Doug. 25 DIRECTOR STAN TKACZYK:

1 DIRECTOR DOUGLAS LA BELLE: Go ahead, Stan. shirt, by the way. 2 3 DIRECTOR STAN TKACZYK: Thank you. I appreciate everything that you just said 4 5 about wanting to do things for the people that are on the boards, and I think that's commendable. But as 6 7 Barbara and Ashleigh and some of them have said, Nick, I 8 have a problem with the tickets on the -- for the 9 Pacific Amphitheater. 10 Some of the entry issues I think are very reasonable. And the reason I bring up the ticket thing 11 is because I know we've had a history that this Board 12 13 previous to us was a poster child of ticket issues. 14 And I'm just, as I heard the term "slippery 15 slope, " concerned that we could present the wrong image 16 out there to the general public when we go to this 17 ticket thing right now. 18 And, you know, there are other issues I'd rather address before that. As you brought up, the -- I 19 20 think the pendulum went too far the other -- the wrong 21 way, I'll say. That certainly needed to be corrected. 2.2 But there are members that are single that only had one or two tickets, and there are -- and I'm 23 24 not trying to say anything bad. But the previous 25 Boards, there were members that had families, and they

1 get six tickets. So the whole -- whole process is -- is 2. not fair. 3 So -- and as was mentioned, to give tickets to other people when our own issue with this hasn't 4 5 been, I think, totally resolved, this may be a little premature that -- tickets into the fair and maybe some 6 7 parking considerations I think would be certainly a 8 strong consideration. 9 CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: Doug? 10 DIRECTOR DOUGLAS LA BELLE: Just to add to what 11 Stan said. We, as Board Members, have the ability to buy tickets. I think we all do to a certain degree. 12 13 And I -- then I give them out to the people that I know 14 that want to come to the fair. So I think we have that 15 ability to do that. 16 And I know Stan's purchased a bunch, and I've 17 purchased a bunch in the past and will do so in the 18 future. And that way I can, you know, reward an 19 individual who has an interest in going to the fair. So 20 I think that that goes a long way to address that issue. 2.1 DIRECTOR SANDRA CERVANTES: May I? 2.2 CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: I'm sorry. Sandra? 23 DIRECTOR SANDRA CERVANTES: I was going to say 24 exactly the same thing. I also do that, and I support a 25 lot of the underprivileged kids and their parents to

1 come in. So I think that's, as an individual, something that we can all do -- (indiscernible). 2. 3 CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: Any other comments? Let me -- this is an information item, so let 4 5 me suggest the following unless there's any other discussion on it. 6 7 This is not an action item. This is an 8 information item. What -- the Board has already decided 9 that there should be a Memorandum of Understanding with 10 Centennial Farm Foundation and a Memorandum of Understanding with Heroes Hall. 11 We're waiting to hear feedback from 12 13 Centennial Farm Foundation Board on the draft that staff 14 prepared and that was sent to Centennial Farm 15 Foundation. That is also going to be occurring with Heroes Hall. That's what we have said. Because from 16 17 my -- in my perspective, it's a good thing to have an 18 agreement. Okay. 19 So Centennial Farm Foundation today, this 20 afternoon, might say, "You know what? We agree with Nick. We want this for our Board Members. 21 We want that and all that." And I would say that's the -- that's 2.2 23 what they're saying. They're providing feedback on the 24 deal points in the Memorandum of Understanding, and the 25 MOU needs to be approved by us. We just share the draft

1 with them. It needs to come back. The same with Heroes 2 Hall. 3 So, in other words, this is not an action But I'm just saying out loud that -- that there 4 5 is -- Memorandum of Understanding is an agreement between two parties. And before you execute it, there 6 7 has to be an agreement, an understanding of what the 8 issues are. That's my sense. And so this issue could 9 be brought up, and then that's when people vote on it. 10 I've experienced saying, you know, I think --I've seen people saying "I can vote on that Memorandum 11 12 of Understanding except on this part or that part," and 13 that's how you end up getting to an agreement. Let me say this. I appreciate both 14 15 foundations. I'm in awe of the success that you guys 16 are doing. And I realize that it's not all -- it's 17 never enough. And I realize that the business community needs to do more, and I agree with you. 18 19 And I believe we're working on trying to help Centennial Farm Foundation volunteers -- it's a 20 21 volunteer board -- to figure out how to be more 2.2 successful. 23 I also believe a Memorandum of Understanding 24 is going to be something that will add clarity and I 25 believe these issues with regards to how to -- how to

1 motivate your board members, how to recognize your volunteers is an okay thing for -- to be discussed and 2 considered. But that would be the tool or the vehicle where it would be done, I believe. That's why you end 5 up having a Memorandum of Understanding. VICE CHAIR NICK BERARDINO: And I think it makes 6 7 sense. All your comments make sense, and I agree. You know, the reason why I wanted to do it and so -- was I 9 want to underline on this public property, and I 10 underline this is my -- how I feel as a sworn person. This property is divided among givers and 11 takers. This whole fair business, the thing that I've 12 13 learned over the six years, there's givers and there's 14 takers off the public dole. I'm very offended by it, 15 very offended by what I see, not being able to do much. 16 I consider it a very difficult, very 17 difficult industry to -- I mean we all know, I mean, 18 from our own legislative histories this is a very 19 difficult industry to -- to handle, a lot of cash, a lot

So while the foundations -- I understand your view, but I just want you to know that these are not people that are taking. These are people who are giving to support our projects. I mean I think one of the things that gets a little bit confused is these

of all kinds of other issues.

20

21

22

23

24

25

- 1 | foundations weren't set up to help themselves because
- 2 | they -- there's nothing they can help themselves with.
- 3 | They're set up to give back to us because the fair is
- 4 | the one that has Centennial Farm and supports it. The
- 5 | fair is the one that has the Heroes Hall.
- 6 So these foundations aren't as appealing,
- 7 | giving stuff to people or getting stuff, no. They're
- 8 giving to us. They're giving to our projects. They're
- 9 out raising money.
- I wish this -- and so I would wish and I hope
- 11 | in the -- I think you're right, though, about we need to
- 12 | think this through more. So I'm not trying to -- and I
- 13 | think the MOU -- I would hope that this Board -- because
- 14 | I always feel like I'm the only one talking about it --
- 15 | it may not be true, and I hope this changes in the
- 16 | future -- that we are equally concerned about the kinds
- 17 of prices that get charged here.
- The people on their own property, paying 6
- 19 and 7, \$12 for a ride. I mean come on. Paying 12 bucks
- 20 or 8.50 for a hot dog. Come on. I mean come on.
- 21 So I don't hear anybody but me screaming
- 22 | about this, and I don't like that. But I realize it's a
- 23 | big hill to climb because of all these relationships
- 24 | that exist long term. I mean I see staff members treat
- 25 vendors in here with hugs, and that's good.

1 I mean -- so you know -- I mean Robert isn't here. We come from a world that you can't do that. I 2 3 mean there's got to be a real tight distinction. can't do that. You can't go out to lunch with people. 4 5 You can't take lunch from them. You can't -- you know, you got to do business. You got to do it where it is. 6 7 So I support it. You changed my mind, 8 actually, in terms of supporting the idea until we kind of flesh it out more and figure out, like you folks were 9 10 saying. But I implore the rest of this Board, 11 12 please -- may not get much from it, but let's start 13 talking about the takers a little bit more, and let's be 14 equally aggressive. Because I'm hearing things coming 15 from -- I'm always like -- don't hear anybody else, 16 but -- and I know I'm putting you on the spot, but I 17 think my fellow Board Members need to be on the spot. 18 Let's talk about the takers. 19 DIRECTOR ASHLEIGH AITKEN: You know, I don't think that's a fair assessment. I think that we have 20 21 revamped Imaginology to a degree where we are providing 2.2 a lot of free services --23 VICE CHAIR NICK BERARDINO: Yeah. I know. 24 DIRECTOR ASHLEIGH AITKEN: -- to the people. 25 mean where we were two years ago where we were charging

1 people outrageous amounts of money to do the bounce houses, to do all this other stuff. 2 3 VICE CHAIR NICK BERARDINO: Yeah. talking so much about that. I'm talking about the 4 5 prices that are charged here. The people have to come to the property that they're paying for, they pay for 6 7 it, they own it, they got to pay here to park on it, pay 8 to come into it, and then they got -- okay. I'm all for 9 that, but then they have to come in here and get gouged. 10 And there's a lot of people who don't have a lot of 11 money, and it kills them. And we don't scream about 12 that. 13 DIRECTOR ASHLEIGH AITKEN: No. I think we --14 VICE CHAIR NICK BERARDINO: I think it is a fair 15 assessment. I disagree with you, Ashleigh. 16 DIRECTOR ASHLEIGH AITKEN: No. I think we talk 17 about it a lot. I think there's got to be --18 VICE CHAIR NICK BERARDINO: What have we done? 19 DIRECTOR ASHLEIGH AITKEN: There's got to be 20 something between -- this is -- we serve our public by 21 running an efficient business. 2.2 VICE CHAIR NICK BERARDINO: Not just that. 23 DIRECTOR ASHLEIGH AITKEN: And a utopian view 24 where the fair should be free and everything --25 VICE CHAIR NICK BERARDINO: I don't think that.

```
1
           DIRECTOR ASHLEIGH AITKEN: I mean we need to
    somehow -- and I think we are starting to -- the
 2
 3
    pendulum is swinging. And I think by protecting our
    asset when it comes to having a fair assessment as to
 5
    what people get -- you know, it doesn't help the
    business, and it doesn't help the purveyors of this
 6
 7
    property to let every single person into the fair for
 8
    free --
9
          VICE CHAIR NICK BERARDINO: I agree.
10
          DIRECTOR ASHLEIGH AITKEN: -- or free concert
   tickets.
11
12
          VICE CHAIR NICK BERARDINO:
                                       I agree.
13
          DIRECTOR ASHLEIGH AITKEN: So by us not agreeing
14
    with that assessment doesn't make us bad people.
15
    makes us stewards of the property.
16
          VICE CHAIR NICK BERARDINO: No.
                                            I agree with
17
   your assessment. Yeah. I agree. But that's not how --
18
           DIRECTOR ASHLEIGH AITKEN: So you lost us on
    concert tickets. I really feel like you lost us on
19
20
   concert tickets.
21
          VICE CHAIR NICK BERARDINO: No.
                                            I did.
                                                    And I --
2.2
           CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: The Chair has something to
23
    say.
24
           VICE CHAIR NICK BERARDINO: But I -- just let me
25
   finish here because I take this -- because I don't agree
```

1 with this. I mean I love all of you people here, and 2 I -- and I like them. I just think -- I agree with what 3 you said, and I agree on -- and I don't feel lost on the concert tickets. 5 But I think the people made very good points. It is a slippery slope, and I agree with that. What I 6 7 don't like is that the Board and my fellow Board Members 8 I don't believe have been aggressive enough, and I 9 haven't heard it, and I think we ought to be about the 10 pricing that's going on. DIRECTOR ASHLEIGH AITKEN: That's a different 11 issue. That's the -- let's let Chair -- I'm sorry. 12 13 Chair? 14 CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: The Chair wants to say 15 something. So -- because people can -- legal can back 16 me up on this. I may be wrong on this. Because the 17 item is the providing concert tickets and business development dinners to foundations and volunteers during 18 19 fair time. 20 I think we had a discussion on that, and we kind of finished the discussion. Now we're talking 21 2.2 about something else. It's not that agenda item. 23 DIRECTOR BARBARA BAGNERIS: Right. Right. 24 VICE CHAIR NICK BERARDINO: You're right. You're 25 right. You're right.

1 CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: However, you bring it up, and I think it's appropriate to kind of close it because 2 3 I seem to recall, just as far as memory and record goes, that there has been some level of discussion, perhaps 5 not as passionately as you because there's no way we can compete with your passion, but that's a compliment, you 6 7 know? 8 And, however, though, I agree with your 9 sentiments with regard to reviewing and making sure that 10 pricing is fair for consumers. We have a task force; right? Don't we have a --11 VICE CHAIR NICK BERARDINO: Well --12 13 CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: One second. One second. 14 Let me just finish my statement. Do we not -- didn't 15 this Board not create a task force for consumer tasks? 16 Does it still exist? That pretty much to me is an 17 action item that was taken in order to continue to 18 review this. 19 I believe there was a recommendation at one 20 point, and I -- I heard staff agree about the not over 21 the 25 percent on the contracts. Am I mistaken? Wasn't 2.2 that related to that issue? 23 VICE CHAIR NICK BERARDINO: Yes. 24 Twenty-five percent. 25 (Overlapping speakers.)

CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: Yeah. So there was 1 concurrence on that. I heard. I remember. 2 DIRECTOR BARBARA BAGNERIS: 3 Yeah. CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: So that was action. 4 5 was, like, direction, and that seemed to be good. I'm just kind of making sure -- I'm not in 6 7 disagreement with your passion and continue to focus on 8 that. I think we just need to agendize it and really 9 get into the meat of it because we do have a committee 10 that is supposed to help us with that. 11 And now I'm going to call on -- I know that I 12 might be stopped because now we're talking about a 13 different issue, but why don't we say that this is part of the Consumer Task Initiative Amendment. 14 15 DIRECTOR STAN TKACZYK: Nick, I'm going to take 16 issue with what you said. You know, we all need to work 17 together. I know you have strong feelings about the 18 people that are taking here. 19 I don't look at them as takers. I look at 20 them as givers and being part of the whole overall fair 21 family that gives back to the community. 2.2 without them, we don't exist. Without us, they don't 23 exist. And it's a mutual give-and-take. And that's 24 what we need to look at. 25 I don't want to throw rocks at one side or

the other. I want both sides to work. And when it comes to giving -- and I've said it to you before, and I'm going to say it to you again -- this Board and this property has given 3.4 -- -5 million dollars and may be climbing because of the subject that you bring up about the foundation. We were the cornerstone, this Board, and you specifically to get that off the ground.

VICE CHAIR NICK BERARDINO: Yeah.

DIRECTOR STAN TKACZYK: And as I said to you before and I'll say it again you should hold your head high and be very proud of what you've done.

But there can only be so much we can do.

When you bring up about the amount of money that was spent, Barbara and I and the staff spent a lot of time researching the pricing and everything that exists on this fairground. We came back with a report to this staff and to this Board telling you that what we had was fair, it was competitive, and in most cases we were lower than any other existing programs out there like us. So I feel very confident that we've done our job.

And specifically when you talk about the pricing, the two of us were directly involved in bringing that recommendation back. So I stand very strong with you as far -- not strong with you. I stand very -- what you said, I take -- I'm not going to say I

- 1 object to what you're saying, but I'm going to comment on what you're saying because we've done a good job 2 3 And the people have been treated fairly. programs that you have exist because of everyone that's 5 here, the vendors, the staff. Without that, it doesn't
- 7 VICE CHAIR NICK BERARDINO: Well, let me comment 8 on that. Just, first of all, Heroes Hall is not part of this, what I'm talking about. 9
- What I'm talking about is the pricing, the gouging -- I'm going to use the word "gouging" -- that goes on, not in just this fairgrounds, but in this --13 and I can speak out against it.
- 14 CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: The industry.

exist.

6

10

11

12

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- VICE CHAIR NICK BERARDINO: This entire industry is to me -- so you can say, well, hey, we're great because, you know, we charge, you know, \$16 for chicken and thing, and the other fairs, they charge \$16 and something for chicken and something else. So in comparison, we're great.
- I -- I mean I'm sorry that I'm disagreeing with all of you. I -- not all of you because I don't know how everybody feels about it, but I don't think that's fair. I think -- I don't like it. And as long as I'm up here, I'm going to speak out against it and

1 try to get it changed. Tough to get it changed. I'm working with 2 3 some people in Sacramento who called me and said they are trying to work at the Cal Expo. Same thing. Just 4 5 got to stop. It's unfair. And we're probably all going to get a memo after this because --6 7 CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: Well, no. 8 VICE CHAIR NICK BERARDINO: But I think it is. 9 And that's just my feeling. 10 CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: Going on record, this is just a continuation of the earlier update on Consumer 11 12 Initiative Task Force. 13 MR. JOSHUA CAPLAN: It's on the agenda. 14 CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: It's on the agenda. So 15 I'll call on Doug and maybe others. 16 Doug, you had your hand up? 17 DIRECTOR DOUGLAS LA BELLE: Yeah. Back to the agenda item, Kathy, could you review for us the process 18 19 we go through in terms of the business development 20 dinners, how they're set up, how do we decide who gets 21 invited, that sort of thing? Can you give us a quick 2.2 overview on that? 23 MS. KATHY KRAMER: Yes. The business development 24 dinners, we select different members of the community, 25 business partnerships, chambers of commerce,

political -- political groups. You know, I'm going to turn it over to Michele because she really handles that program and knows all the groups probably by heart.

MS. MICHELE RICHARDS: Right. It's an opportunity for -- for the Board and staff to thank the -- you know, the partner groups in the community and within the business that, you know, help support the fair.

You know, we honor our two foundations one night, so the foundation board members are invited.

Sponsors are invited one night. We have an emergency partners night, so Costa Mesa P.D., the sheriff's department, fire department, EMTs, et cetera, come one night.

We have a night where we welcome all of the city elected officials, the Orange County supervisors, and our state representatives who are able to come, you know, so the list goes on. We honor our merchants and our concessionaires with the Concessionaires Cup and the Merchant of the Year Award one night.

We have Costa Mesa educators night, so all of our education neighbors, Vanguard University, Orange Coast College, the school district. We invite members one night of the 4-H and FFA educators that support our Junior Livestock Auction and the livestock program.

1	So I'm sure I've missed some, but that's a
2	good representation of the groups that are invited to
3	join us.
4	MS. KATHY KRAMER: Also potential clients
5	MS. MICHELE RICHARDS: Oh, yes.
6	MS. KATHY KRAMER: potential prospects that
7	might want to get into event rentals or sponsorships
8	DIRECTOR SANDRA CERVANTES: Hotels, travel
9	MS. MICHELE RICHARDS: Correct.
10	MS. KATHY KRAMER: Hotel, travel. Yes. Thank
11	you.
12	CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: Thank you, Michele.
13	Any other questions? Any additional
14	comments?
15	DIRECTOR BARBARA BAGNERIS: Yes.
16	CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: Before Barbara, let me go
17	to Newton and then Barbara.
18	DIRECTOR NEWTON PHAM: Back to the other thing.
19	I'm semi new, so I'm having conflict on determining what
20	a taker is.
21	CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: Yeah.
22	DIRECTOR NEWTON PHAM: Because in terms of most
23	business transactions, unless there's significant
24	leverage, it's mutually beneficial whether it be
25	monetary, time, resources, whatever. When someone

1 gives, someone else receives and vice versa. 2 So whether it's like whether you give 3 something, you -- you give your time or you give your money, so these takers -- I'm assuming takers is 4 5 financially giving something back to the property, which we are a stewards of, which, in return, goes back to the 6 7 taxpayers in terms of revenue for the property or tax on 8 the property. I don't understand. 9 VICE CHAIR NICK BERARDINO: Can I? Let me tell 10 you what a taker is. DIRECTOR ASHLEIGH AITKEN: Let him finish. 11 12 DIRECTOR NEWTON PHAM: And then in regards to, 13 you know, the price -- the price gouging, as long as, 14 you know, staff isn't giving preferential treatment to a 15 vendor for some reason, for, like, an exorbitant lunch 16 or whatnot, everything functions supply and demand. 17 So if they're overcharging, they're not going to have customers. Customers are going to go to another 18 vendor on the property. And over time that vendor will 19 20 go out of business because they're overcharging. 21 So I'm understanding, you know, with regards 22 to fair pricing, I think it's determined by supply and demand, and the consumers of the fair determine that. 23 24 CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: Yeah. Thank you for that. 25 The -- I saw Nick wants to respond. Is there anybody --

1 and Barbara, you had --DIRECTOR BARBARA BAGNERIS: I had a comment. 2 3 I was going to say I know Director Pham is new, and we do have two foundations. But even if those 4 5 foundations did not exist, we would still have a Centennial Farm, we would still have a Heroes Hall. 6 7 so I just want you to understand that. 8 We chose that we wanted these foundations. 9 One has been around for over 25 years, and the next one 10 is brand-new. And so, again, if we didn't have the foundation, we would still have these activities on this 11 12 property, and we fund those activities. 13 So what I don't know and at some point I 14 really would like a great explanation is I know you 15 raised a lot of money. What does that money go to? How 16 does it offset the cost of what we pay out of our 17 budget, and what are these services that the Board is 18 giving to the fairgrounds? At some point in time, I'd 19 love to have that discussion. 20 CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: And you'll have that 21 opportunity in the Memorandum of Understanding. 2.2 exactly what that is for. 23 DIRECTOR BARBARA BAGNERIS: 24 CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: I'm going to call on Nick 25 because I think he was next, and then we need to --

1 VICE CHAIR NICK BERARDINO: I'm going to give you the definition of a taker. Okay. A taker is someone 2 3 who comes on property that's owned by the taxpayer. And they come onto this property, and they set up a 4 5 business. And they have what's called -- and I think 6 you should read a book by Gavin Newsom called -- I think 7 it's -- what's it called? Citizen- --8 MULTIPLE SPEAKERS: Citizenville. 9 VICE CHAIR NICK BERARDINO: But they come onto 10 taxpayer property, the people who are funding, and there's a thing called pricing is -- confined audience 11 12 or confined audience pricing. 13 DIRECTOR ASHLEIGH AITKEN: Captive audience. 14 VICE CHAIR NICK BERARDINO: Captive audience 15 pricing. That's what a taker does. A taker comes and 16 has confined audience pricing, and they charge an 17 enormous amount of money, triple, quadruple in some cases of what the normal cost for the item is that would 18 19 be purchased outside of state property and outside of 20 captive audience pricing. That's a taker. 21 And to think that we can -- and it's been 22 tried for decades to try to impose the -- to impose the issue of free market with captive audience pricing where 23 24 the taxpayers subsidize your -- we're probably getting

off the thing. But where they do it --

25

1 CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: Yeah. Yeah. Okav. So let's -- let's bring this to a conclusion and then 2 decide whether we recess for lunch and then come back in 3 a bit because it's late and --5 DIRECTOR ASHLEIGH AITKEN: We have two quick things; right? Let's just wrap it up. 6 7 DIRECTOR STAN TKACZYK: I have something today. 8 I usually have the whole day. 9 CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: We're starting to drift a 10 little bit, and let's focus on this. Just to finish the discussion on the task force, interesting discussion. 11 12 think it's clear that this is a very successful fair 13 with regards to revenue. I mean it's very, very 14 successful. We create -- we generate a lot of revenue. 15 We don't really ask Sacramento for any money because 16 it's a very successful fair. Not all fairs have that. 17 I think it's clear -- I for one agree with Nick with regards to always looking for opportunities so 18 19 that we can benefit the public in creative ways, legal 20 ways. That's all I'm saying. I'm not saying -- it's --21 to me, there are potentially lots of creative ways to 2.2 figure out how to make sure that in such a successful 23 venture in this public land that we're able to figure 24 out how to make sure that there's more public value, 25 more public -- and there could be a variety of different

ways.

2.0

I mean that's why -- I think that's how I see why we have a task force to work with staff and come up with different ideas. There isn't just one way to get to this objective, in my opinion, with regards to getting -- giving more value to the public. As you mentioned in your analogy, that's one way. But there could be other ways, and that's why every day's a new day; right? And we look for different opportunities.

That people make money off of this land and it's good business and not necessarily a bad thing, but it could be -- there's absolutely -- I for one have no -- I'm not closed-minded on continuing the exploration is what I'm saying. And I -- I appreciate your leadership on this.

It is always mindful to remind us that this isn't private land. This isn't a venue to maximize dollar amount. It is a way to be able to bring back value to the public. I mean that's what I signed up for.

So bringing that to a closure, the -- I heard a Board Member needs to leave by 1:30. I've already leaned over, and I told that if -- if Sandra is -- I have to be here at 3:30. So we may have to postpone the agricultural committee. But maybe not. We have --

1 let's see. We have the -- to go now the discussion on 2 3 political campaign during fair time. I don't know. That doesn't feel like a quick thing to me. And then H, 4 5 proposal to establish scholarship program to honor employees. That's a very important thing and really 6 7 brought up to us because of a bad, very sad loss. 8 I don't think there's anything in closed 9 session. And that -- that basically is it. Does the 10 Board want to proceed? DIRECTOR ASHLEIGH AITKEN: I'd like to make a 11 12 motion to establish an OCFEC scholarship program 13 honoring our employees that have passed away while in 14 service. 15 CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: It's been first. 16 DIRECTOR BARBARA BAGNERIS: Second. 17 BOARD CLERK JESSICA ZIMMERMAN: I'm sorry. 18 was first? 19 CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: Ashleigh. And Barbara was 20 second. This is 9H. The recommended action is being a 21 motion to approve. We can call for the vote. 2.2 BOARD CLERK JESSICA ZIMMERMAN: Chair Mouet? 23 CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: Yes. 24 BOARD CLERK JESSICA ZIMMERMAN: Vice Chair Berardino? 25

1	VICE CHAIR NICK BERARDINO: Yes.
2	BOARD CLERK JESSICA ZIMMERMAN: Director Aitken?
3	DIRECTOR ASHLEIGH AITKEN: Yes.
4	BOARD CLERK JESSICA ZIMMERMAN: Director Tkaczyk?
5	DIRECTOR STAN TKACZYK: Yes.
6	BOARD CLERK JESSICA ZIMMERMAN: Director
7	La Belle?
8	DIRECTOR DOUGLAS LA BELLE: Yes.
9	BOARD CLERK JESSICA ZIMMERMAN: Director
10	Bagneris?
11	DIRECTOR BARBARA BAGNERIS: Yes. Yes.
12	BOARD CLERK JESSICA ZIMMERMAN: Director
13	Cervantes?
14	DIRECTOR SANDRA CERVANTES: Yes.
15	BOARD CLERK JESSICA ZIMMERMAN: Director Pham?
16	DIRECTOR NEWTON PHAM: Yes.
17	CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: So we only have one item
18	left, 9G. Is it okay to proceed?
19	Okay. 9G. Staff?
20	MS. KATHY KRAMER: All right. This is as an
21	information item and really about action that staff is
22	going to be taking around a particular area of the fair.
23	Each year as part of the fair we have an area
24	called OC Connections. If you're familiar, it parallels
25	the backside of the farm, some of the growth there, in

- 1 that area. And I believe, Michele, we have about six
- 2 | vendors that usually participate in the OC
- 3 | Connections -- nonprofits, community resources, and
- 4 | political parties -- that have an opportunity to have a
- 5 booth during the fair.
- 6 Certainly, in light of the conversation this
- 7 | morning and in light of the very unique political
- 8 | landscape this year and to ensure the ongoing safety of
- 9 fairgoers, staff will be reviewing safety and security
- 10 policies, as well as our exhibit guidelines and standard
- 11 of conduct for all the exhibitors in the OC Connection
- 12 area.
- 13 Staff's made the commitment to meet with
- 14 | these six vendors this year and make sure they
- 15 understand these new exhibit guidelines and they comply
- 16 | with them prior to the fair.
- 17 DIRECTOR ASHLEIGH AITKEN: I understand we're
- 18 under the same obligations as we would be -- we cannot
- 19 prevent somebody -- can we prevent a nonprofit from
- 20 coming on this property based on political content? If
- 21 | we open it up to nonprofits, we have to let the Orange
- 22 | County Democratic Party and the Orange County Republican
- 23 | Party exist.
- 24 So I would just like to direct staff to put
- 25 them as far away from each other as possible.

1 MS. MICHELE RICHARDS: We always do. DIRECTOR ASHLEIGH AITKEN: Yeah. 2 I mean I --3 it's always extremely contentious. I think it's not necessarily the people that volunteer at those booths. 4 5 It is the concertgoers that come out that are drunk that 6 think it's appropriate to go up to a cutout of President 7 Obama and punch him in the face, which I saw multiple 8 times last year. It's just -- that's their right. 9 But maybe if we could really direct there 10 shall be know -- I guess we can't tell them no cutouts. But if you're going to have something outside your 11 booth -- no cutouts of a specific figure outside your 12 13 booth. 14 MS. KATHY KRAMER: We can. 15 DIRECTOR ASHLEIGH AITKEN: And do not -- anything 16 that goes into -- I mean you have Reagan in the middle 17 of the hallway -- anyone. It's just so offensive to 18 treat public servants this way. But people do treat 19 public servants this way. Let's just make sure --20 that's maybe not a Board motion. It's a staff directive 21 that you do not put anything outside -- we can even 2.2 direct them not to tie their political speech to a specific person. 23 24 Do we have Trump -- are we going to have 25 Trump and Clinton books at the fair?

```
1
           CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: The parties, probably, will
    have. They'll have their nominees --
 2
 3
               (Multiple overlapping speakers.)
 4
           DIRECTOR ASHLEIGH AITKEN: They'll have
 5
    information on that, but not any Trump for whatever and
    Hillary for --
 6
 7
           MS. KATHY KRAMER: Well, that's one of the things
 8
    that --
9
           DIRECTOR ASHLEIGH AITKEN: Are they going to have
10
    separate booths from the parties is what I'm
11
    trying to --
12
           MS. KATHY KRAMER: No.
                                   No.
                                        The political party.
13
          MS. MICHELE RICHARDS: If I could maybe, just for
14
    clarification, first of all, it's a challenge every year
15
    that staff works with. And no merchant or exhibitor of
16
    any kind is allowed to take things from inside their
17
    booth and put it outside their booth. People don't
18
    always follow the rules, and that's why staff, you know,
    is --
19
20
           DIRECTOR ASHLEIGH AITKEN: And then what are the
21
    repercussions when they don't follow that rule?
2.2
           MS. MICHELE RICHARDS: You know, there's a
    process. We're working with them to comply. And if
23
24
    they don't comply, we can ask them to leave.
25
           CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: Shut them down.
```

1	DIRECTOR ASHLEIGH AITKEN: Yeah.
2	MS. MICHELE RICHARDS: And we have had to do that
3	in not in that particular area, but in other areas of
4	the fair too.
5	Their purpose for being there is supposed to
6	be to register people to vote. And if they stick with
7	that purpose
8	DIRECTOR ASHLEIGH AITKEN: That's not why the
9	Republican party is there. They're not trying to
10	register for votes.
11	CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: This area you have a
12	term for it. It's an island. It's called what?
13	MS. KATHY KRAMER: OC Connections.
14	CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: It's OC Connections. So
15	it's an important area where not just the political
16	parties have booths, but other informational public
17	service type of things.
18	Have we ever had the Registrar of Voters have
19	a booth?
20	MS. MICHELE RICHARDS: I don't know that.
21	CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: Here's a suggestion.
22	DIRECTOR ASHLEIGH AITKEN: That's a great idea.
23	CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: Here's a suggestion. I
24	just heard that they have
25	MS. MICHELE RICHARDS: No. I said I'm not sure

1 if they have. I'm not aware. 2 DIRECTOR SANDRA CERVANTES: I think they have. 3 CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: Let me make this suggestion. The Registrar of Voters has a tremendous 4 5 opportunity to register voters. Doesn't matter what party. That's not their job. Has a tremendous 6 7 opportunity. 8 Whatever -- whatever we can do to reach out 9 to have them, I'm going on record, how many days of fair 10 time, operational days? MS. MICHELE RICHARDS: Twenty-three. 11 CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: I will volunteer 24 hours 12 13 during fair time to help register to vote not in any 14 political party booth, but as a registered voter. 15 that to Kelly I think is his name, the secretary. 16 VICE CHAIR NICK BERARDINO: Oh, yeah. 17 CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: And tell them that the Chair wants to volunteer to help register voters. 18 19 can have a booth over here, and it's nonpolitical. But 20 it's exactly why we're so sensitive because it is a 21 civic duty and a good thing for people to vote. 2.2 DIRECTOR ASHLEIGH AITKEN: Can we have a double booth where the Republicans and the Democrats and the 23 24 Registrar of Voters all share one booth? 25 (Multiple overlapping speakers.)

1 CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: I know enough on this type of creative things that it's -- it's hard, but at a 2 3 minimum you really have to now --DIRECTOR ASHLEIGH AITKEN: I want a super booth. 4 5 CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: The Registrar of Voters and my volunteer 24 hours stands. You know, I might do four 6 7 hours in one particular day, but that -- I will do that. 8 And -- and it's a good thing to get people to register 9 to vote but in a neutral booth because that's the job of 10 the Registrar of Voters. MS. MICHELE RICHARDS: Well, we can certainly 11 12 approach them about that, but we can't compel the 13 Registrar if they're -- if they don't want to do that or 14 they don't have the resources. 15 CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: Well, I know. This is a 16 public meeting, and I think what we just said is how 17 many people do we have that are coming to the fair for 18 those 23 days? How many people do we expect? 19 MS. MICHELE RICHARDS: 1.3 million. 20 CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: 1.3 million. If they 21 ignore this invitation, they're not being very smart. 2.2 It is their job. That's all I'm saying. And please 23 convey that to them. 24 VICE CHAIR NICK BERARDINO: Those are my former 25 members, so wait a second. I think they do come.

1 CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: I think they will come. I'm sure they'll 2 VICE CHAIR NICK BERARDINO: 3 be --CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: Yeah. 4 5 DIRECTOR DOUGLAS LA BELLE: I like Ashleigh's 6 idea. Bonding is a good thing. 7 DIRECTOR ASHLEIGH AITKEN: People are a lot less 8 rude when you have to look somebody in the eye. 9 CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: Security. 10 DIRECTOR ASHLEIGH AITKEN: Sit close to somebody for four hours --11 12 CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: I may need a vest. 13 DIRECTOR ASHLEIGH AITKEN: -- because you bring 14 in a bunch of volunteers, the young Republicans, the 15 young Democrats, put a little sheet before them, and 16 then they become jerks. 17 You put them all in a super booth, and they each get their table but they're in a super booth, put 18 19 the Registrar of Voters right in between them, and all 20 you're going to hear is "Please register as a 21 Republican." 2.2 "Nah, don't do that. Register as a 23 Democratic." 24 CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: Yeah, yeah. My volunteer 25 time still stands. Let's explore that creativity and

figure out. But I do believe that we need to reach out.

I agree with Nick. I think you'll probably get a yes.

VICE CHAIR NICK BERARDINO: I think one of the things that's important with staff that will be talking to them is, yes, just to -- I screwed up and was -- I actually reached out to the chairman of the Democratic party and chairman of the -- not the chair, but one of the members of the Republican Central Committee, and I found out that, yeah, before we can reach out to anybody -- and my thing was give us guidance on -- what I wanted to say to them was, hey, can you guys talk to your people? Because I know these people. And say everybody get over it. You know, let's all get along. We've had enough politics.

You know, the last -- if we take five weeks where, geez, you know -- but everybody, you know -- nobody wants to miss the opportunity of 1.3 million, as Ashleigh put it. They're going to be, you know, pushing their candidates.

So, staff, when you work with them, if you could also talk with them -- they themselves I'm sure would be more than happy to sell -- they're volunteers. They put out -- one said to me we put out some very old people on our things, and they get -- people come by and flip them off and do all kinds of stuff to them. So --

1	DIRECTOR ASHLEIGH AITKEN: How is this an action
2	item? I'm sorry. I'm unclear.
3	CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: Well, it was originally
4	thought that it was going to be an action item, and I
5	think it's more of an informational item. But
6	because but because then we got legal counsel. We
7	can't disallow. So that's why we're not really taking
8	an action. It's just information.
9	But there was direction that was discussed.
10	So the unless there's any other questions, I think we
11	can move along. I think that's the last business item.
12	Are there any other issues? If not, there's
13	no closed session.
14	Now we're going to start with 11, Board of
15	Directors Matters of Information. Let me start over
16	here to my right, and we'll go this way.
17	Newton, anything you want to share before we
18	close?
19	DIRECTOR NEWTON PHAM: No. I'm good.
20	CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: Okay. Sandra?
21	DIRECTOR SANDRA CERVANTES: I'm also good too.
22	CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: Okay. And Nick?
23	VICE CHAIR NICK BERARDINO: I'd like to see on
24	the next agenda a request that the Chair ask that every
25	concessionaire that for food, drink, otherwise,

1	rides, carnival, things, but before we approve those
2	contracts next month, I would like to see every
3	concessionaire submit their price sheet. So
4	CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: Their what?
5	VICE CHAIR NICK BERARDINO: Their price sheet.
6	You may already have them.
7	MS. KATHY KRAMER: We do.
8	VICE CHAIR NICK BERARDINO: And if you can, also
9	compare it to I think they said it would be the same
10	price this year as last year. So if we could just
11	CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: Double-check.
12	VICE CHAIR NICK BERARDINO: Yeah. Double-check
13	that. But if you could submit them so we can review
14	them.
15	MS. MICHELE RICHARDS: They're a part of the
16	rental agreement, so you'll see that.
17	VICE CHAIR NICK BERARDINO: Thank you.
18	CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: Any other comments, Nick?
19	VICE CHAIR NICK BERARDINO: No.
20	CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: Ashleigh?
21	DIRECTOR ASHLEIGH AITKEN: No. I think one of
22	the things I'd like to look into, not before fair, but
23	maybe after, is I think it's come up in the four
24	years that I've been on the Board, and I'm sure as
25	political times change, but I'd like to really explore a

1 voluntary, because we cannot constrain people's First Amendment rights, Board Member code of conduct. 2 3 CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: Okay. Anything else, 4 Ashleigh? 5 DIRECTOR ASHLEIGH AITKEN: No. Thank you. CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: Stan? 6 7 DIRECTOR STAN TKACZYK: Nothing. 8 CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: Barbara, any comments, 9 closing comments? 10 DIRECTOR BARBARA BAGNERIS: I have nothing. CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: Okay. Doug? 11 12 DIRECTOR DOUGLAS LA BELLE: I already 13 complimented Stan on his shirt, so I'm good. 14 CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: I want to thank you, 15 everyone here from the public, and staff and my fellow 16 Board Members. I think this was a very good meeting. I 17 think we're very sincere about the lessons learned, very 18 sincere making sure that public safety is a high 19 priority. We have a lot of work to be done. So excited about this fair season. I can't 20 21 I think it's going to be wonderful for this wait. 2.2 community. And we do a good thing here for the 23 community. I think it's a great thing. So I want to 24 thank everyone, and I appreciate everyone's different 25 role and contribution to this great mission.

```
So with that, I think I -- we'll call for
 1
    adjournment of the meeting.
 2
 3
           DIRECTOR ASHLEIGH AITKEN: I move to adjourn.
           DIRECTOR BARBARA BAGNERIS: I second.
 4
 5
           CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: First by Ashleigh, second
 6
    by -- all in favor, say aye.
 7
           BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.
 8
           CHAIR GERARDO MOUET: Meeting closed at 1:09.
 9
                              * * *
10
                (Whereupon, the OCFEC Board of Directors
11
        meeting was hereby adjourned at 1:09 p.m.)
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1 Certificate of 2 3 Certified Shorthand Reporter 4 5 6 The undersigned certified shorthand reporter 7 of the State of California does hereby certify: 8 That the foregoing proceedings was taken 9 before me at the time and place therein set forth and 10 was recorded stenographically by me and thereafter 11 transcribed, said transcript being a true copy of my 12 shorthand notes thereof. 13 I further certify that I am neither 14 financially interested in the action nor a relative or 15 employee of any attorney of any of the parties. 16 In witness whereof, I have subscribed my name 17 this date, June 8, 2016. 18 19 20 21 tified Shorthand Reporter Certificate Number 9463 22 23 24 25